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### ABBREVIATIONS AND ACRONYMS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Abbreviation</th>
<th>Full Form</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CI</td>
<td>Confidence Intervals</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CPR</td>
<td>Contraceptive Prevalence Rate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DICH-GCP</td>
<td>Declaration of Helsinki and International Conference on Harmonization Guideline on Good Clinical Practice</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ERC</td>
<td>Ethical Review Committee</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FGD</td>
<td>Focus Group Discussions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FGM</td>
<td>Female Genital Mutilation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FP</td>
<td>Family Planning</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FPAK</td>
<td>Family Planning Association of Kenya</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GoK</td>
<td>Government of Kenya</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HIV/AIDS</td>
<td>Human Immunodeficiency Virus / Acquired Immuno Deficiency Syndrome</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ITROMID</td>
<td>Institute of Tropical Medicine and Infectious Disease</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IUD</td>
<td>Intrauterine Device</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KDHS</td>
<td>Kenya Demographic and Health Survey</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KEMRI</td>
<td>Kenya Medical Research Institute</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KII</td>
<td>Key Informants Interview</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
MMR       Maternal Mortality Ratio
MOH       Ministry of Health
OR        Odds Ratios
SID       Subject Identification Number
SSC       Scientific Steering Committee
STD       Sexually Transmitted Disease
TFR       Total Fertility Rate
WFS       World Fertility Survey
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Term</th>
<th>Definition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Accessibility</td>
<td>Easy to approach, reach or use.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Affordability</td>
<td>Believed to be within some ones financial means.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Availability</td>
<td>Defined as the quality of being able to be used or obtained.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beliefs</td>
<td>Are the assumptions we make about ourselves, about others in the world and about how we expect things to be.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contraception</td>
<td>The deliberate prevention of conception or impregnation by any of various drugs, techniques, or devices; birth control.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Costs</td>
<td>will refer to any payments done/incurred related to the delivery in cash or in kind.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distance</td>
<td>The length of space in terms of kilometers.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Family planning</td>
<td>The practice of controlling the number of children in a family and the interval between the births.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health Care Utilization</td>
<td>The quantification or description of the use of services by persons for the purpose of preventing and curing health problems, promoting maintainance of health and well-being, or obtaining information about one's health status.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Income status</td>
<td>Approximate average income in Kenya Shillings.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Level of education</td>
<td>Will be defined as primary, secondary and tertiary.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Marital status</strong></td>
<td>Will indicate whether married, single, widowed, separated or divorced.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Parity</strong></td>
<td>Parity will reflect the number of children. Will be the number of deliveries before (those living and dead).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Religion</strong></td>
<td>None, Christian, Muslim, traditionalist.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reproductive age</strong></td>
<td>in women, those years between menarche and menopause, roughly from ages 15 to 49 while in men, those years between onset of pubery and loss of fertility.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Utilization</strong></td>
<td>Defined as the action of making practical and effective use of something.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
ABSTRACT

Unmet need for modern family planning methods is an important health issue for women. The purpose of this study was to determine factors associated with utilization of family planning among women aged 15-49 years in Mandera County. This cross-sectional study enrolled 117 eligible women from April 2015 to September 2015. Data were collected using semi structured questionnaire; key informant interviews (KIIIs) and focused group discussions (FGDs). Twelve (12) FGDs were conducted among women in health, leadership, education and religious sectors. Twelve (12) KIIIs among influential and knowledgeable members of the county were also conducted to gather qualitative data. The FGDs and KIIIs were conducted to confirm and clarify any pending or new issues described in the structured questionnaires. STATA version 13 was used for quantitative data analysis. The thematic content analysis was used to analyze qualitative data. The mean age of the respondent was 29.9 (SD± 9.8) years. 80% of these 117 women were aware of contraceptive and family planning methods mainly through family and friends (52.1%). Of the 41.9% who reported using family planning (FP) methods, 26.5% used condoms. In multivariate analysis, women who were from either the Northern (OR 4.3, 95% CI 1.1 to 18.2), Southern (OR 7.5, 95% CI 1.7 to 33.4) or Eastern of Mandera County (OR 4.7, 95% CI 1.1 to 20.8); had either secondary (OR 11.1, 95% CI 2.7 to 46.1) or tertiary (OR 11.9, 95% CI 2.6 to 55.9) level of education; were employed (OR 4.3, 95% CI 1.2 to 19.1); used either condoms (OR 5.7, 95% CI 1.3 to 24.5) or hormonal family planning methods (OR 5.8, 95% CI 1.4 to 25.2) were independently associated with utilization of FP. The FGDs and KIIIs confirmed the continuous increase in the level of utilization of FP. The thematic analyses of FGDs and KIIIs identified location of origin, awareness, income, employment, religion and cultural practices as some of the factors limiting the utilization of FP. In conclusion, a high proportion of women from Mandera County an arid region in the North Eastern Kenya, were aware and embraced FP. Factors such socio-cultural, lack of education and awareness were major deterrent to utilization of FP in this region. Ultimately for the
improvement in the proportion of women embracing FP in Kenya especially in the initially marginalized counties experiencing hardship (such as droughts) and insecurity; it is recommended that concerted efforts must be undertaken by the County and National government to promote and to tackle the socio-cultural deterrents of FP utilization. Further, improvement in the general awareness rate of FP among women at family level should be rolled out by the Mandera County Government in conjunction with the National Government. Should this be achieved, then this County could record one of the highest utilization rates of FP in Kenya.
CHAPTER ONE
INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background Information

Globally, improved utilization of family planning contributes in achieving the 3.7 Universal Sustainable Development Goal (USDG), which focuses on ensuring universal access to sexual and reproductive health-care services, including for family planning, information and education, and the integration of reproductive health into national strategies and programmes by 2030 (ICSU, ISSC, 2016). Fostering family planning has been associated with acceleration of socio-economic development, promotion of gender equality, and decreased maternal and infant mortality (UNFPA & PATH, 2008). The past five decades have been marked by significant decrease in fertility rates in Asia, Latin America and North Africa. Sub-Saharan Africa, however, has not experienced the same rapid trend, and today, the region still has total fertility rates (TFR) of around five births per woman (Bongaarts, 2011).

Kenya, like many developing economies, is characterized by exponential population growth. This is partly attributed to high fertility and birth rates, steady decline in death rates, low contraceptive utilization rate and high but declining mortality rate (Oyedokun, 2007; Lawoyin et al., 2007; Cleland et al., 2012; Population Reference Bureau, 2013). High population growth rate has been an impediment in the reduction of child mortality, improvement of maternal health, achievement of universal primary education, environmental sustainability and combating HIV and AIDS and other diseases as part of the Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) (Health Policy Initiatives, 2017).

In response, Kenya concentrated on birth control measures using family planning (FP) services which enable couples to determine whether, when, and how often to have children (USAID, 2011). FP has a profound effect in controlling population growth. At the micro level, FP contributes critically to birth spacing and controlling family size. Some forms of FP also play the dual role of controlling family size and protection against sexually transmitted diseases (STDs) (Mayo, 2004; Asiimwa et
al., 2013). Other benefits include improved maternal and child health, reduced cases of induced abortion and improved household welfare. At the macro level, the benefits of a well-controlled population growth include improved infrastructure and reduced burden on national budgets (USAID, 2011).

Since the Kenya Government incorporated FP into the country’s overall development policy in 1965, FP use increased from 18% (1987) to 58% (2014) with a decline in the fertility rates from 8.1 children per woman in 1977 to 3.9 in 2014 (Kenya Demographic and Health Survey, 2014). However, this increase has not been matched with a reduction in the unmet need for FP which has stalled at around 25% and is highest among the less privileged women and those in rural areas (Ojakaa, 2008). The fertility rate in Kenya is lowest in Nairobi County (2.7 children per woman) and highest in North Eastern region (where Mandera county is located) (6.4 children per woman) (Kenya Demographic and Health Survey, 2014). Challenges facing reproductive health in the Mandera County include, but are not limited to inaccessibility to FP services, inadequate health personnel, lack of youth friendly clinics, high incidence of female genital mutilation (FGM), reluctance to accept modern FP methods and lack of sufficient education (National Coordination Agency for Population and Development Ministry of Planning and National Development, 2005). The sustained increase in the use of FP services among women aged between 15 and 49 years is a major factor in fertility transition, providing women and couples with the means to help them plan pregnancies (Campbell et al., 2006; Republic of Kenya, 2007; USAID/HPI, 2009).

With the 2013 devolution of political power and economic resources from the Central government to the Country’s 47 Counties, Mandera County is ranked among the top 3 among Counties receiving the largest share of budgetary allocation. In the 2014/2015 financial year (FY) Mandera County received KES 7.8 billion (about USD 78 million) which was increased to KES 8.9 billion (about USD 87 million) in the 2015/2016 FY, representing 3.5% of Kenya total revenue collection (Republic of Kenya, 2015). The World Bank, Danish International Development Agency (DANIDA) among other agencies contributes significantly to Mandera County’s
health needs. All these are allocated to mitigate the health challenges in Mandera county; upgrade of existing hospitals and construction of others, increase supplies of both pharmaceutical and non-pharmaceutical items, increased health personnel, enable free maternal health care, public health education campaign, improve public education, service delivery, restore public confidence in public health facilities and improve service utilization (Mandera County Government, 2015). This study sought to evaluate the utilization of FP services amongst women of reproductive age in Mandera County.

1.2 Statement of the Problem

Since the Kenya Government incorporated FP into the country’s overall development policy in 1965, FP use increased from 18% (1987) to 58% (2014) with a decline in the fertility rates from 8.1 children per woman in 1977 to 3.9 in 2014 (KDHS, 2010; 2014). However, this increase has not been matched with a reduction in the unmet need for FP which has stalled at around 25% and is highest among the less privileged women and those in rural areas (Ojakaa, 2008; Mutombo et al., 2014). The fertility rate in Kenya is lowest in Nairobi County (2.7 children per woman) and highest in North Eastern region (where Mandera county is located) (6.4 children per woman) (KDHS, 2014). Challenges facing reproductive health in the Mandera County include, but are not limited to inaccessibility to FP services, inadequate health personnel, lack of youth friendly clinics, high incidence of female genital mutilation (FGM), reluctance to accept modern FP methods and lack of sufficient education (National Coordination Agency for Population and Development Ministry of Planning and National Development, 2005). The sustained increase in the use of FP services among women aged between 15 and 49 years, is a major factor in fertility transition, providing women and couples with the means to help them plan pregnancies (Campbell et al., 2006; Republic of Kenya, 2007; USAID/HPI, 2009).

With the 2013 devolution of political power and economic resources from the Central government to the Country’s 47 Counties, Mandera County is ranked among the top 3 among Counties receiving the largest share of budgetary allocation. In the 2014/2015 financial year (FY) Mandera County received KES 7.8 billion (about
USD 78 million) which was increased to KES 8.9 billion (about USD 87 million) in the 2015/2016 FY, representing 3.5% of Kenya total revenue collection (Republic of Kenya, 2015). The World Bank, Danish International Development Agency (DANIDA) among other agencies contribute significantly to Mandera County’s health needs. All these are allocated to mitigate the health challenges in Mandera county; upgrade of existing hospitals and construction of others, increase supplies of both pharmaceutical and non-pharmaceutical items, increased health personnel, enable free maternal health care, public health education campaign, improve public education, service delivery, restore public confidence in public health facilities and improve service utilization (Mandera County Government, 2015). This study sought to evaluate the utilization of FP services amongst women of reproductive age in Mandera County

1.3 Justification of the Study

In 2015, United Nations member states signed up to the Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs), a set of seventeen international development targets intended to catalyze development and reduce global poverty (ICSU, ISSC, 2016). To date progress towards these goals has been uneven. Of particular concern is Sustainable Development Goal 3 (SDG 3) aimed at ensuring healthy lives and promote wellbeing for all at all ages. Of interest the SDG goal 3.7 which by 2030 aims at ensuring universal access to sexual and reproductive health-care services, including for family planning, information and education, and the integration of reproductive health into national strategies and programmes. Current estimates suggest that this initiative is behind schedule. Only 23 countries out of a surveyed 181 are likely to meet the SDG target on time despite increasing volumes of official development aid being provided by donors (Greco et al., 2008; Hogan et al., 2010; ICSU, ISSC, 2016).

In Kenya, knowledge of FP is almost universal at 95% for women of reproductive age, with male condoms, injectable contraceptives and pills being the most commonly known methods (KNBS, 2010). Generally Kenyan FP use has in the last two decades also increased from 18% (1987) to 39% (2008/09). However, this
increase has not been matched with a reduction in the unmet need for FP or reduction in fertility rates. The unmet need for FP in Kenya has stalled at around 25% and is highest among the less economically well-off women and those in rural areas (Ojakaa, 2008). Total fertility rate in rural areas has remained unchanged at 5.2. In addition, at the national level, only a slight decrease in fertility has been reported from 4.7 in 1998 to 4.6 in 2008/09 (Ojakaa, 2008). There are regional variations in fertility trends in Kenya. Fertility is highest in North Eastern, Nyanza and Western provinces at 5.9, 5.6 and 5.4 respectively (KNBS, 2010). North Eastern and Mandera District has population that is rapidly expanding estimated at 1,025,756 (CBS, Ministry of planning, Kenya; 2009), which cannot be comfortably supported by the existing resources. The use of family planning among women of child bearing age is not only important to their society and their health, but also a source of improvement of wellbeing of the entire family (MOH, 2001). Unfortunately, no equivocal data exist in Mandera District showing the level of awareness, utilization and factors affecting FP use.

1.4 Research Hypothesis

1. What are the social-cultural and demographic characteristics among women of reproductive age (15-49 years) in Mandera County?
2. What are the economic factors influencing utilization of family planning methods among women of reproductive age (15-49 years) in Mandera County?
3. What is the awareness level of family planning services among women of reproductive age (15-49 years) in Mandera County?
4. What are the types and levels of utilization of family planning methods among women of reproductive age (15-49 years) in Mandera County?

1.5 Research Hypothesis

Null Hypothesis (H0): Women of reproductive age (15-49 years) in Mandera County are not aware and do not utilize family planning methods. The associated factors are not similar with other factors in other parts of the Country.
1.6 Research Objectives

1.6.1 General objective

To determine factors associated with utilization of family planning methods among women of reproductive age (15-49 years) in Mandera County.

1.6.2 Specific objectives

1. To determine the social-cultural and demographic characteristics among women of reproductive age (15-49 years) in Mandera County.
2. To determine the economic factors influencing utilization of family planning methods among women of reproductive age (15-49 years) in Mandera County.
3. To determine the awareness level of family planning services among women of reproductive age (15-49 years) in Mandera County.
4. To determine the types and levels of utilization of family planning methods among women of reproductive age (15-49 years) in Mandera County.
CHAPTER TWO
LITERATURE REVIEW

2.1 Contraceptive and family planning

Contraceptive or family planning is a process of using basic knowledge, attitude and responsible decision by an individual or couples to practice contraception and promote health of the family. It allows a couple to well-plan their family size and the desired number of children (WHO, 2014). World Health Organizations (WHO) declared that family planning is an important step to reduce maternal mortality by allowing women to delay 23 motherhood, avoid unintended pregnancies and abortions (WHO, 2014). Furthermore, by practicing family planning, women will have more opportunity to be employed and able to support their children and families (Mosha et al., 2013; Spieler, 2014). This in turn will bring a positive impact to the community and country. Modern contraception such as oral contraceptive pills, intra-uterine contraceptive device (IUCD), condom, injectable hormone, Implanon, and tubal ligation are known effective methods of family planning (Mosha et al., 2013).

2.2 Fertility Rate and Contraceptive use

Contraceptives are used by the majority of married or in-union women in almost all regions of the world (United Nations, Department of Economic and Social Affairs, Population Division (2015). In 2015, 64 per cent of married or in-union women of reproductive age worldwide were using some form of contraception. However, contraceptive use was much lower in the least developed countries (40 per cent) and was particularly low in Africa (33 per cent). Among the other major geographic areas, contraceptive use was much higher in 2015, ranging from 59 per cent in Oceania to 75 per cent in Northern America (United Nations, Department of Economic and Social Affairs, Population Division (2015). Within these major areas there are large differences by region. Prevalence in 2015 was several times as high in Northern Africa and Southern Africa (53 per cent and 64 per cent, respectively) as in Middle Africa (23 per cent) and Western Africa (17 per cent). Contraceptive use has
been increasing recently in Eastern Africa and now stands at 40 per cent. At the other extreme, Eastern Asia had the highest prevalence (82 per cent) of all the world regions in 2015, due to the very high level of contraceptive use in China (84 per cent). Regional contrasts are smaller in Latin America and the Caribbean, although the level of contraceptive use was lower in the Caribbean (62 per cent) than it was in Central America (71 percent) and South America (75 per cent). Within Europe, prevalence in 2015 was lowest in Southern Europe (65 per cent) and highest in Northern Europe (77 per cent) (United Nations, Department of Economic and Social Affairs, Population Division (2015).

The total fertility rate in countries with a low CPR is usually high, as the case in Cambodia, Lao People's Democratic Republic and Papua New Guinea at 3.3-4.1 in 2008, while the Philippines at 3.2 and Pacific Island countries with a small population mostly at 3.9-4.4. Most of the countries with a high total fertility rate often have limited choice of contraceptive methods (WHO, 2013).

The policy developed by the Government of Kenya since 1968 remained dormant until the findings from the World Fertility Survey (WFS) in 1977 showed that Kenya had one of the highest fertility rates in the world of 8 children per woman (WFS, 1977). This statistic served to focus both policy and public attentions on fertility issues and tore invigorate the population policy, with the result that substantial national and international support was dedicated to developing and strengthening a vigorous national family planning program. The impact of this was remarkable, as the fertility rate declined from 8.1 children per woman in 1977 to 6.7 children per women in 1989, 4.9, 4.6 and 3.9 in 2003, 2008 and 2014 respectively, (KDHS, 2014). Indeed, the decline in fertility between 1977 and 1998, from 8.1 to 4.7 births per woman was one of the most rapid declines ever documented in the world. This consistent decline in fertility led to projections that total fertility rate (TFR) would decline gradually to about 3.5 by 2008. Fertility is lowest in Nairobi province (2.7 children per woman), followed by Central province at 2.8 children per woman, and highest in North Eastern province (6.4 children per woman). Fertility in Western (4.7), Nyanza (4.3), Coast (4.3), and Rift Valley (4.5) provinces is slightly above the
national average. These differentials in fertility are closely associated with disparities in educational levels and knowledge and use of family planning methods. This decline was attributed to increased contraceptive use among women aged between 15 and 49 years (Republic of Kenya, 2003). On the other hand, the contraceptive prevalence rate increased rapidly from 9.7 percent in 1984 to 39 percent in 2003. The sustained increase in the use of family planning services was a major factor in fertility transition, providing women and couples with the means to help them plan pregnancies (Backer, 2003; USAID/HPI, 2007; Republic of Kenya, 2007b).

2.3 Benefits of Family Planning

Family Planning has several benefits, some of which are specific to the health of mothers and their children. Others include socio-economic benefits; for example, women are able to advance their education and careers by delaying or limiting childbearing and this can bring better economic prospects to their household (WHO, 1999; Smith et al., 2009). FP serves to reduce child and maternal morbidity and mortality by preventing unintended pregnancies and unsafe abortions (Moreland & Talbird, 2006). The number of maternal deaths that could be averted during childbirth as a result of a reduction in the number of pregnancies and induced abortions would be significant (PAI, 2010). FP also enables birth spacing, ultimately reducing child mortality while enhancing the nutritional status of both mother and child (WHO, 1999). Moreland and Talbird’s (2006) analysis of the role of contraception use to the Millennium Development Goals showed that fulfilling the unmet need for FP in Kenya will prevent maternal mortality and child mortality by 14 040 and 434 306 deaths, respectively, and reduce poverty (Republic of Kenya, Kenya Vision 2030, 2007). Consequently, this could contribute to significantly empowering women, achieving universal education for all, and achieving long term environmental sustainability (Cleland et al., 2011). Several studies have assessed women’s and couples’ knowledge about, and use of contraceptives, in addition to barriers to the uptake of FP services (Nangendo, 2012; Mutombo et al., 2014). A study conducted in Bondo District of Western Kenya found that few women knew that FP prevented conception, enabled child spacing, reduced the risk of acquiring
and transmitting sexually transmitted infections and helped avoid high-risk pregnancies (Nangendo, 2012).

2.4 Types of family planning methods

There are five different groups of family planning methods including, Barrier methods, Hormonal methods, Emergency contraception, Intrauterine methods, Sterilization (Department of Health and Human Services, Office on Women's Health, 2011; Planned Parenthood Federation of America, 2012). Barrier Methods: are designed to prevent sperm from entering the uterus. Barrier methods are removable and may be an option for women who cannot use hormonal methods of contraception. Types of barrier methods include: Male and female condoms and Diaphragms. Condoms prevent pregnancies as well reduce the risk of spreading sexually transmitted diseases (STDs). Diaphragms are shallow, flexible cup made of latex or soft rubber that is inserted into the vagina before intercourse, blocking sperm from entering the uterus (Allen, 2004). Other barrier methods include cervical caps, contraceptive sponges and spermicides. These are also barriers to sperm reaching uterus (American College of Obstetricians & Gynecologists, 2011).

Hormonal methods of birth control use hormones to regulate or stop ovulation and prevent pregnancy. Ovulation is the biological process in which the ovary releases an egg, making it available for fertilization. Hormones can be introduced into the body through various methods, including pills, injections, skin patches, transdermal gels, vaginal rings, intrauterine systems, and implantable rods. Depending on the types of hormones that are used, these pills can prevent ovulation; thicken cervical mucus, which helps block sperm from reaching the egg; or thin the lining of the uterus. Health care providers prescribe, monitor, and administer hormonal contraceptives (National Center for Biotechnology Information. 2010).

Intrauterine Methods; An IUD is a small, T-shaped device that is inserted into the uterus to prevent pregnancy. A health care provider inserts the device. An IUD can remain and function effectively for many years at a time. After the recommended length of time, or when the woman no longer needs or desires contraception, a health
care provider removes or replaces the device. There are two types of intrauterine method; Copper IUD which acts by releasing a small amount of copper into the uterus, causing an inflammatory reaction that generally prevents sperm from reaching and fertilizing the egg. The hormonal IUD releases a progestin hormone into the uterus. The released hormone causes thickening of the cervical mucus, inhibits sperm from reaching or fertilizing the egg, thins the uterine lining, and also may prevent the ovaries from releasing eggs (Food and Drug Administration, Office of Women's Health. 2011).

Sterilization is a permanent form of birth control that either prevents a woman from getting pregnant or prevents a man from releasing sperm. This procedure is performed by health care provider and usually involves surgery. These procedures usually are not reversible. Sterilization includes; sterilization implants which is a nonsurgical method for permanently blocking the fallopian tubes (Conceptus, 2012). Tubal ligation which is a surgical procedure involving the cutting, tying or sealing of the fallopian tubes to block the path between the ovaries and the uterus preventing sperms from reaching the egg.

Vasectomy is a surgical procedure that cuts, closes, or blocks the vas deferens hence blocking the path between the testes and the urethra blocking sperm from leaving testes (National Library of Medicine, MedlinePlus, 2012).
2.5 Factors associated with Family Planning Utilization

Women’s education, employment, access to and knowledge of contraception have been highlighted as important predictors of fertility and contraception by demographers (Al Riyami et al., 2004; Duze & Mohammed, 2006). Previous studies have shown that couple attitudes towards family planning, fertility desire, and women’s ability to make decisions regarding the use of family planning were important predictors of family planning use. Some studies indicated that in cases where there was couple disagreement, childbearing was less likely to occur, whereas other studies in India and Nigeria have shown that men’s attitudes played a bigger role in determining actual childbearing behavior than that of their wives (Wolf et al, 2000).

In Kenya, a study conducted in Bondo District of Western Kenya found that cultural beliefs, fear of side effects, disapproval by couples and inadequate knowledge about contraceptive methods and their benefits are major barriers to contraceptive uptake (Nangendo et al., 2012; Korra, 2012; Tilahun et al., 2012). Women with knowledge about contraceptives and the benefits of FP are more likely to use contraceptives. Knowledge enables women to make informed decisions about what contraceptives to use and when to use them (WHO, 1999).

In the Middle East, knowledge and use of contraception are prevalent in varying degrees and have been shown to have a negative impact on fertility. Similarly, contraceptive use and delayed marriage have been shown to have positive effects on fertility reduction (Tabutinet al., 2005). A study amongst 615 married women between the ages of 15-49 in Kuwait (Hammoudehand Abu-Rmeileh, 2009) found that Bedouins, women married at younger ages, and women with a greater number of children desired larger families; whereas women’s education and husbands’ education had a negative effect on fertility desire. Women with higher education, women whose spouses were more educated, and women who had reached or exceeded their ideal family size were more likely to use contraception. Similarly, a cross-sectional study of 1,830 women in Oman (Hammoudeh and Abu-Rmeileh,
2009) indicated that education, employment, and increased autonomy made women more likely to use contraception. The study also indicated that in nearly half of cases, the husband decided whether contraception would be used. Another study conducted in the Bureij refugee camp in the Gaza Strip indicated that increased education, younger age, and husbands’ positive attitudes towards contraception had a positive effect on contraceptive use (Donati et al., 2000).

2.6 Conceptual Framework

Figure 2.1: shows the Andersen model of health care utilization adopted in this study. In this conceptual model factors which affect utilization of health product (Andersen, 1995; Andersen & Newman, 2005) are believed to be influenced by a complex interaction of many factors at individual, social and service delivery levels. Individually, parity, education, knowledge about contraception and HIV seropositivity do influence utilization of FP. Socially; cultural norms such as the fatalism attributed to HIV, designated gender roles, age of sexual onset and the demand for bigger families influence the individual’s conception choices. In addition, peer pressure; religious teachings and policy influence freedom of choice of an FP method. Also, FP service delivery factors such as attitudes and skills of the providers, method specific side effects, ease of use and access of FP method do act directly or indirectly to influence utilization of FP.
Figure 2.1: Andersen’s Phase-2 Model of Family planning/Health Services Utilization (adapted from Andersen, 1995)
CHAPTER THREE
MATERIALS AND METHODS

3.1 Study Site

The study was conducted in Mandera County. Mandera County is located in the North part of Kenya being one of the four Districts in North Eastern Province. The County lies between latitude 2.11’ North and 4.17’ North, and longitudes 39.47’East and 41.48’East. The county covers an area of 26,474 square kilometers and boarders Ethiopia to the north, Somalia to the east and Wajir County to the south and southwest. The county has six sub-counties namely Mandera East, Mandera South, Mandera West, Mandera North, Banisa and Lafey (Appendix IX). The sub-counties have a total of 18 administrative divisions. Mandera has a largely semi-arid climate with most areas lacking permanent water sources or water mass, and reporting low rainfalls throughout the year. The total population of the county recorded in the 2009 national census was 1,025,756. The Mandera Central Division has the highest population density of 436 per square km. The high density is due to the fact that it is the district’s headquarters and is served with social amenities. Other divisions with high densities include Mandera South, Mandera North, and Banisa which have permanent water sources (Racida, 2010).

3.2 Study Design

A cross sectional study design was adopted in this study. The study design was suitable in describing the factors associated with utilization of family planning among women of reproductive age (15-49) in Mandera County, Kenya.

3.3 Study population

The study targeted women of reproductive age group 15-49 years within Mandera County. The study population included all women of reproductive age 15-49 years in Mandera County. The qualitative data collected using FGD and KII were women
drawn from diverse backgrounds including formal or informal leadership, health sectors, religious or tribal leaders, education sectors as well as local communities.

### 3.3.1 Inclusion criteria

1. Women of childbearing age (15-49 years).
2. Women who had lived in the study area for at least two years.
3. Women willing to give informed consent.
4. Willing to undergo a 30-minute face to face interview.

### 3.3.2 Exclusion criteria

Women were excluded if,

1. Not in the reproductive age group.
2. Not resident of Mandera County for at least two years.
3. Unwilling to give informed consent

### 3.4 Sampling

#### 3.4.1 Sampling procedure

The study adopted a stratified sampling procedure where all the six Sub-Counties of Mandera namely; Mandera South, Mandera East, Mandera North, Mandera West, Lafey and Banisa were considered as strata. From each stratum women meeting the recruitment criteria were enrolled randomly on a first come first served basis until the desired sample size were attained. Equal numbers were randomly selected from health facilities in each and every stratum.

For FGDs and KII s, this study recruited women from health facilities, schools and colleges. To achieve this, the researcher approached the heads of various health facilities and schools in Mandera. The heads of schools and colleges were approached in order to gain contact with targeted women.

### 3.5 Sample size determination
The Fisher et al., (1998) formula was used to determine the minimum sample size required for this study:

\[ N = \frac{z^2_{1-\alpha/2} P(1-P)}{\delta^2} \]

Where; N= Expected sample size

\( Z = \) Degree of confidence at 95% that corresponds to 1.96

\( P = \) the estimated value of the proportion of women of reproductive age who have ever used any method of family planning in North Eastern Kenya (\( p = 0.075 \) referring to a prevalence of 7.5%) (KDHS, 2014).

\( \delta = \) Acceptance error at 0.05.

\[ \frac{1.96^2 \times 0.075(1 - 0.075)}{0.05^2} = 106.6 \approx 107 \]

The sample size was increased by 10% to take care of refusals. Therefore, the minimum number of subjects sampled was 117 participants. Equal number of participants were (19) meeting the recruitment criteria and those who consented were enrolled in this study.

3.6 Data collection tools

3.6.1 Recruitment and training of field workers

A total of ten women field workers were recruited for the study from local population but during training, eight field workers were selected and trained for two days on best practises of questionnaire administration and study protocol of sampling participants. Form four level of education was the minimum requirement to be recruited to be an interviewer for the study.

3.6.2 Pre-testing of study tools
Pretesting was conducted so as to ensure the reliability and suitability of the questionnaire. It was also used as a means of training and assessing research assistants who were involved in the main survey. Pretesting was conducted on a selected group of women who were randomly picked from the Mandera County Referal Hospital. A total of 30 respondents were sampled for the pre-test. This group was not included in the actual data collection exercise.

3.6.3 Questionaires

Structured questionnaires (Appendix I) were used to collect data and were administered to participants by field assistants. The questionnaire was translated to the local language (Somali language). The tool captured components of awareness rate, the utilization rate of family planning and factors associated with the utilization of family planning among women of reproductive age (15-49 years) in Mandera County.

3.6.4 Focus group discussions

A total of twelve (12) focus group discussions (FGD) were conducted to explore further the levels of FP service awareness, utilization and associated factors in this region. Randomly six women (two aged 15 – 25 years; two aged 26 – 36 years and two elders 37 – 49 years) of reproductive age from each of the six Sub-Counties gave consent to participate in the FGDs. These persons were invited to participate in a FGD on a fixed time and date at a convenient location to them in each sub county. Up to 2 FGDs in each sub-county (depending on saturation point of the issues being probed) were carried out in groups of 6 individuals, each group having been selected to reflect the age groups above. These women were drawn from diverse backgrounds including formal or informal leadership, health sectors, religious or tribal leaders, education sectors as well as local communities. From this pre-generated list by the county leadership (formal or informal) of women meeting the above criteria, a random number of 144 women participants were selected from the whole County. Female moderators were trained to help in conducting these discussions in the preferred language of the group and the moderators and note takers were fluent in the
language. A standard guide (Appendix II) was used for all focus groups, with appropriate modification for different age groups. Each FGD lasted for about 45 minutes.

3.6.5 Key informant interviews

Key informant interviews were conducted to confirm and clarify any pending or new issues described in the structured questionnaires and FGDs. Key informant interviews have been shown to provide a valuable foundation for a broader understanding of contextual matters relevant to the issues being explored (Bernard, 1994). Randomly 12 (two persons per Sub-County) knowledgeable members of the Mandera County were identified and intermittently interviewed at a place and time most convenient and confidential for the participants including their offices. The key informants were selected for their position of leadership, either formal or informal, in the community and their ability and willingness to reflect on the findings. The Key informants included health professionals, religious or community leaders, and experienced women in reproductive health well regarded in and from the community. In addition to being willing to share, reflect upon the findings of the study, key informants were observant, articulate and available for multiple interviews of varying duration on an assortment of family planning related to the study. A KII guide (Appendix III) was used in the discussions. The guide captured issues which needed more clarity from questionnaires and focus group discussion. The note taking and moderation were done in collaboration by the trained field researchers and principal researcher.
3.7 Data Management and Analysis

All subjects were assigned a subject identification number (SID). All data entered into the study databases were de-identified and only associated with a SID in password protected files. A double entry system for the data was maintained. All paper research records were kept in a password protected, locked filing cabinet located in a restricted-access room at the research station. Data entry, cleaning and validation were performed in order to achieve a clean data.

Quantitative data were analyzed using STATA version 13 (StataCorp LP, College Station, TX, USA). Descriptive statistics frequency (%), mean, standard deviation and medium (interquartile ranges at 25% and 75%) were used to express quantitative data. The overall utilization of FP was determined for all participants. In bivariate analyses, odds ratios (OR) and 95% confidence intervals (CI) for the association between utilization of FP and socio-demographic, household demographic, awareness and reproductive health patterns characteristics were calculated using Poisson regression. In multivariate analyses, a manual backward elimination approach was used to reach the most parsimonious model including factors that were associated with utilization of FP among women in Mandera County at the significance level of P ≤ 0.05.

The qualitative data (FGD and KII) were subjected to a thematic content analysis. This approach entailed the categorization of recurrent data collected under thematic areas (Green & Thorogood, 2010). The analysis was done manually using general purpose software tools using Microsoft Word (La Pelle, 2004).

3.8 Ethical considerations

The research protocol was presented for scientific and ethical approvals by the Scientific Steering Committee and the Ethical Review Committee of the Kenya Medical Research Institute prior to commencement of field activities. Written informed consent was obtained from each participant (Appendix IV, Appendix V and
Appendix VI). For participants aged 15-17 years assent form was used to obtain their assent (Appendix VII and Appendix VIII).

To maintain confidentiality, participants for FGDs were de-identified and only referred to as participant 1, 2, 3. Further, issues of confidentiality were explained to all participants, interviewers as well as note takers. The interviews were done in secluded secured locations.
CHAPTER FOUR
RESULTS

4.1 Socio-Demographic Characteristics of Participants

4.1.1 Region of origin

A total of 117 women of reproductive age in various locations in Mandera County were recruited in the study. The participant’s socio-demographic characteristics are presented in Table 4.1. Near equal participants were drawn from each of the six regions within Mandera County including; (13.7%) Mandera North, (15.4%) Mandera South, (15.4%) Mandera East, (17.1%) Mandera West, (19.7%) Banisa and (18.8%) from Lafey. There was no significant difference in the distribution of study participants with regards to region of origin ($\chi^2 = 1.821; \text{df} = 5; \ P = 0.879$).

4.1.2 Age

The mean age of the participants was 29.9 (± SD 9.8) years with a range of 15 to 48 years. Slightly under a quarter (33.3%) of the participants were aged 31 to 40 years while the least 15.4% were aged above 41 years. The age distribution was statistically significant ($\chi^2 = 8.231; \text{df} = 3; \ P = 0.042$). There was near equal distribution in the participant’s level of education; primary (19.7%), Secondary (22.2%), Tertiary (22.2%) and those with non-formal (such as Madrassa) (35.9%). There was no significant difference in the distribution of study participants with regards to education level ($\chi^2 = 7.615; \text{df} = 3; \ P = 0.055$).

4.1.3 Marital status

Many (59%) of the participants were married and only (19.7%) of them being single (never married). The rest (21.3%) were separated. There was significant difference in the distribution of study participants with marital status ($\chi^2 = 34.667; \text{df} = 2; \ P = 0.001$).

4.1.4 Religion
The majority of the participants (86.3%) were Muslims compared to (13.7%) who were Christians. A significantly higher proportion of the study participants were Muslims ($\chi^2 = 61.75; \text{df} = 1; P = 0.001$).

### 4.1.5 Occupation

Moreso, 49.6% of the participant were unemployed, 19.7% were in formal employment verses 30.8% that were self-employed. A significantly higher proportion of the study participants were unemployed ($\chi^2 = 16.05; \text{df} = 2; P = 0.001$).

### 4.1.6 Income

While the mean monthly income was 2031.9 (SD 2314.1) Ksh ranging from 1000 to 120,000 ksh, more than a quarter of the participants (38.5%) had no monthly income and only (12%) earned over 120,000ksh per month. A significantly higher proportion of the study participants reported no monthly income ($\chi^2 = 42.615; \text{df} = 4; P = 0.001$).
### Table 4.1: Socio-Demographic characteristics of the study participants

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Socio-Demographic Characteristic</th>
<th>Sample size</th>
<th>( \chi^2 )</th>
<th>df</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Region</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mandera North</td>
<td>16</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mandera South</td>
<td>18</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mandera East</td>
<td>18</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mandera West</td>
<td>20</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Banisa</td>
<td>23</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lafey</td>
<td>22</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Age</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean (± SD)</td>
<td>29.9</td>
<td>(± 9.8)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median (IQR)</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>(21-38)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>(15-48)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15-20</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>23.1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.042</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21-30</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>28.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31-40</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>33.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;41</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>15.4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Education level</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary</td>
<td>23</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Secondary</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>22.2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.055</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tertiary</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>22.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-Formal</td>
<td>42</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Marital status</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Single</td>
<td>23</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Married</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Separated</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>21.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Religion</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Christian</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>13.7</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Muslim</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>86.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Occupation</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employed</td>
<td>23</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Self employed</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>30.8</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unemployed</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>49.6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Monthly Income (Ksh)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean (± SD)</td>
<td>2031.9</td>
<td>(±23114.1)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median (IQR)</td>
<td>11000</td>
<td>(7250-26000)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range</td>
<td>119000</td>
<td>(10000-120000)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>None</td>
<td>45</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10000</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>29.9</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10001-20000</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>12.8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20001-30000</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;30001</td>
<td>14</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

No - Number; % - Percentage; \( \chi^2 \) - Chi square; df - Degree of freedom; P - Level of significance; \( P \leq 0.05 \) indicates the relationship is significant
4.2 Household demographic characteristics

Table 4.1 shows various household heads of the study participants.

4.2.1 Household headship

The table indicates that 61.5% of the households were headed by participant’s husbands. About (13.7%) of the households were headed by participant’s mother in-law while 24.8% by others (self, grandparents, and other relatives) (Figure 4.1). A significantly higher proportion of the study participants were headed by male spouses (husband) ($\chi^2 = 16.05; \text{df} = 2; P = 0.001$).

![Figure 4.1: Household headship](image-url)
4.2.2 Household population size

The mean household population was 5.22 (SD = 2.5) ranging from 1 to 13 persons. There was near equal distribution in number of households with less than or equal to 4 persons (42.7%) and those with equal to or greater than 5 persons (57.3%) (Table 4.2). There was no significant difference in the distribution of study participants with household population ($\chi^2 = 2.47; \text{df} = 1; P = 0.139$).

4.2.3 Physiological status

In addition, more than half (56.4%) of the participants in this study were neither pregnant nor lactating at the time of interviews. About (16.2%) were pregnant, (23.9%) lactating and some (3.4%) lactating and pregnant (Figure 4.2). A significantly higher proportion of the study participants were not pregnant at the time of the survey ($\chi^2 = 71.615; \text{df} = 3; P = 0.001$).

![Figure 4.2: Participants physiological state](chart)

4.2.4 Parity
The mean number of previous pregnancies was 3.92 (SD = 3) ranging from 0 to 12 pregnancies. Majority of the participants (46.2%) had had equal to or more than 4 pregnancies. Only (13.7%) had not been pregnant before (Figure 4.3). A significantly higher proportion of the study participants had had more than 4 pregnancies ($\chi^2 = 20.974; \text{df} = 2; P = 0.001$).

![Figure 4.3: Number of previous pregnancies](image)

### 4.2.5 Household number of live children

The mean number of children currently living with was 3.39 (SD = 2.68) ranging from 0 to 11 children. Majority of the participants (42.7%) had 1 to 3 children currently living with. Only 16.2% had not children living with currently (Table 4.2). A significantly higher proportion of the study participants were currently living with 1 to 3 children ($\chi^2 = 15.436; \text{df} = 2; P = 0.001$).
### Table 4.2: Household Demographic characteristics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Household Demographic Characteristic</th>
<th>Sample size</th>
<th>( \chi^2 )</th>
<th>df</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Household Head</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Husband</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>61.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Respondent's Mother</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>13.7</td>
<td>44.051</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Others</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>24.8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Household population</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean (± SD)</td>
<td>5.22</td>
<td>(±2.5)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median (IQR)</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>(4-7)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>(1-13)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \leq 4 )</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>42.7</td>
<td>2.47</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \geq 5 )</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>57.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Current physiological state</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pregnant</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>16.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lactating</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>23.9</td>
<td>71.615</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pregnant and lactating</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not pregnant and lactating</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>56.4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Previous pregnancies</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean (± SD)</td>
<td>3.92</td>
<td>(±3)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median (IQR)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>(2-6)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>(0-12)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>None</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>13.7</td>
<td>20.974</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-3</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>40.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \geq 4 )</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>46.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Number of children living with</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean (± SD)</td>
<td>3.39</td>
<td>(±2.68)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median (IQR)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>(1-5)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>(0-11)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>None</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>16.2</td>
<td>15.436</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-3</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>42.7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \geq 4 )</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>41</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

No - Number; % - Percentage; \( \chi^2 \) - Chi square; df - Degree of freedom; P - Level of significance; P ≤ 0.05 indicates the relationship is significant.
4.3 Participants awareness level of family planning methods

Figure 4.4 summarizes the awareness and knowledge of participants on family planning and contraceptives.

4.3.1 Contraceptive awareness

Majority (79.5%) of the participants compared to (20.5%) were aware of at least one type of contraceptive and family planning (figure 4.4). A significantly higher proportion of the study participants were aware of at least one type of contraceptive and family planning ($\chi^2 = 40.692; \text{df} = 1; P = 0.001$).

![Figure 4.4: Awareness of contraceptive and family planning](image)

Table 4.3 summarizes the awareness of reproductive health and contraception. When asked about the meaning of family planning 84.6% were aware of the meaning versus 14.4% who were not. A significantly higher proportion of the study participants were aware of the meaning of family planning ($\chi^2 = 57.966; \text{df} = 1; P = 0.001$).
Table 4.3: Awareness of reproductive health and contraception

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Awareness of contraceptive and family planning</th>
<th>Sample size</th>
<th>χ²</th>
<th>df</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>40.692</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>20.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Aware of meaning of Family planning</th>
<th>Sample size</th>
<th>χ²</th>
<th>df</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>57.966</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>14.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>First knowledge about reproductive health and contraception</th>
<th>Sample size</th>
<th>χ²</th>
<th>df</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Family and Friends</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>83.385</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Media</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>School</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>15.4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health care/Professional</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>18.8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not stated</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>10.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Methods of contraception heard</th>
<th>Sample size</th>
<th>χ²</th>
<th>df</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Natural (Calendar/Withdrawal)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barrier (Condoms)</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>12</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hormonal (Pills/IUD/Injectable)</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>74.4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>None</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>12</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Awareness of emergency contraceptive methods</th>
<th>Sample size</th>
<th>χ²</th>
<th>df</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>1.923</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>56.4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ideal time to have first child</th>
<th>Sample size</th>
<th>χ²</th>
<th>df</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Between 15-18</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>26.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Between 18-21</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>41</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Between 22-24</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>23.9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Between 25-27</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age of spacing between children</th>
<th>Sample size</th>
<th>χ²</th>
<th>df</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>One year</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>26.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>One to two years</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>47</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Three to five years</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>23.9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Five years or more</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cost of family planning services</th>
<th>Sample size</th>
<th>χ²</th>
<th>df</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Affordable</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>43.6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expensive</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Free</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No idea</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>46.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Attitude towards sexual health and family planning information with unmarried girl</th>
<th>Sample size</th>
<th>χ²</th>
<th>df</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Not common in our society to discuss</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>60.7</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sham to discuss/embarrass to discuss</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>26.5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Common topics in our society to discuss</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>9.4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I never think about this before</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Attitude when discussing with husband/partner about reproductive health and family planning</th>
<th>Sample size</th>
<th>χ²</th>
<th>df</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Embarrassing/avoid to discuss</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>12.8</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Positive/we enjoy discussing</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>37.6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Avoid/never discuss</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>49.6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

No - Number; % - Percentage; χ² - Chi square; df - Degree of freedom; P - Level of significance; P ≤ 0.05 indicates the relationship is significant
4.3.2 Source of family planning information

Slightly over half (52.1%) of participants first heard about reproductive health and contraception from family and friends and only 3.4% from media. A significantly higher proportion of the study participants obtained their first knowledge on reproductive health and contraception from family and friends (Figure 4.5) \((\chi^2 = 83.385; \text{df} = 4; P = 0.001)\).

![Figure 4.5: First knowledge about reproductive health and contraception](image)

Figure 4.5: First knowledge about reproductive health and contraception

4.3.3 Types of family planning

About three quarters (74.4%) of participants were aware of hormonal (Pills/IUD/Injectable) method of contraceptive. The least (1.7%) knew the natural (Calendar/Withdrawal) methods while (12%) were not aware of any contraceptive methods (Figure 4.6). A significantly higher proportion of the study participants were aware of hormonal (Pills/IUD/Injectable) method of contraceptive \((\chi^2 = 155.308; \text{df} = 3; P = 0.001)\).
4.3.4 Awareness of emergency contraceptive methods

Regarding the awareness of emergency contraceptive methods, Table 4.3 shows that more than half (56.4%) were not aware of the emergency contraceptive methods versus 43.6% who were aware. A significantly higher proportion of the study participants were not aware of emergency contraceptive methods ($\chi^2 = 1.923; \text{df} = 1; P = 0.001$).

4.3.5 Preferred childbearing age

The figure 4.7 further shows that more than half (67.5%) of the participants preferred to have their first child before the age of 21 (26.5%) between the age 15 to 18 years and (41%) between 18 to 21 years) and 8.5% preferred ideal age beyond 25 years aware. A significantly higher proportion of the study participants preferred the childbearing age between 18 to 21 years ($\chi^2 = 24.846; \text{df} = 3; P = 0.001$).
4.3.6 Preferred child spacing age

The figure 4.8 below indicate that more than three quarters (73.5%) of the participants preferred having below two years age of spacing between children (26.5% one year and below and 47% between one and two years) and 2.3% preferred spacing their children five years and more. A significantly higher proportion of the study participants preferred having below two years age of spacing between children ($\chi^2 = 46.385; \text{df} = 3; P = 0.001$).
Regarding the cost of family planning services, close to half of the participants (46.2%) had no idea on the cost of family planning services. Only (4.3%) of them reported these services as being free (Table 4.3). A significantly higher proportion of the study participants had no idea on the cost of family planning services ($\chi^2 = 74.145; \text{df} = 2; P = 0.001$). In addition, majority (60.7%) said it was not common in the society to discuss these issues.

4.3.8 Ease of discussing FP

Indeed (26.5%) were a shamed to discuss/embarrass to discuss including (3.4%) of them who had never thought of this issue before this study. Many participants either avoided or never discussed the issue (49.6%) or were embarrassed to discuss this topic with their husband/partner (Table 4.3) A significantly higher proportion of the study participants were a shamed to discuss or embarrassed to discuss the family planning issues with husband/partner ($\chi^2 = 92.88; \text{df} = 3; P = 0.001$).
4.4 Level of utilization of Family Planning

Table 4.4 summarizes the utilization of contraceptives and family planning among study participants.

4.4.1 Level of FP utilization

The table 4.4 indicates slightly over half (58.1%) of the participants compared to (41.9%) stated using contraceptive and family planning. A significantly higher proportion of the study participants were using one of the family planning methods ($\chi^2 = 3.085; \text{df} = 1; P = 0.096$). Apart from the (58.1%) who reported not using any of these methods, (32.5%) of the family planning methods were used by individual participants and (9.4%) by male partner. A significantly higher proportion of the study participants female were the ones using the family planning methods ($\chi^2 = 41.692; \text{df} = 1; P = 0.001$).

4.4.2 Types of FP utilized

Regarding use of method of contraception 58.1% who reported not using any of these methods, (26.5%) reported using barriers such as condoms while (12%) used hormonal (Pills/IUD/Injectable) and the least (3.8%) used natural (calendar/withdrawal) contraceptive methods. A significantly higher proportion of the study participants were not using any of the family planning methods ($\chi^2 = 83.786; \text{df} = 1; P = 0.001$).

4.4.3 Sources of FP

Majority of family planning providers (62.4%) were from the health facilities. Other (7.7%) and (9.4%) obtained theses services from work place, (20.5%) from other unstated places. A significantly higher proportion of the study participants obtained their family planning methods from the health facilities ($\chi^2 = 91.768; \text{df} = 3; P = 0.001$).
4.4.4 Rating of FP utilized

As far as quality of family planning services about 35% ranked the quality of family planning services as good, (26.5%) as poor, (16.2%) as better while (7.7%) as best. A significantly higher proportion of the study participants ranked the quality of family planning services as good ($\chi^2 = 27.145$; df = 4; $P = 0.001$).

4.4.5 Distance to FP provider

The table 4.4 further shows that 67.5% of the participants stayed less than 5 kilometers from the family planning providers verses 32.5% whose distance was beyond 5km. A significantly higher proportion of the study participants stayed less than 5 kilometers from the family planning providers ($\chi^2 = 14.368$; df = 1; $P = 0.001$).

4.4.6 Reasons for not uptaking FP

As to the justification for not seeking reproductive health services 53% identifies cultural as the major hindrance to women seeking reproductive health services. About (30.8%) were unaware of provider while 3.4% stated poor provider attitude. A significantly higher proportion of the study participants identifies cultural as the major hindrance to women seeking family planning services ($\chi^2 = 108.684$; df = 4; $P = 0.001$).
Table 4.4: Utilization of contraceptive and family planning

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Utilization of contraceptive and family planning</th>
<th>Sample size</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>df</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Using contraceptive and family planning</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>3.085</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.096</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>68</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Who uses contraceptives?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Self</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>32.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>husband</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>9.4</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>None</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>58.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Methods of contraception heard</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Natural (Calendar/Withdrawal)</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barrier (Condoms)</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>26.5</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hormonal (Pills/IUD/Injectable)</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>None</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>58.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Provider of Family planning</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health facility</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>62.4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Work Place</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>7.7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other sources</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>9.4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>None</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>20.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rating of family planning</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Best</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>7.7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Better</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>16.2</td>
<td>27.145</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Good</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>35</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fair</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>14.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>26.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distance to family planning provider</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean (+ SD) (Km)</td>
<td>6.75</td>
<td>(+7.734)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median (IQR) (Km)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>(1-10)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range (Km)</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>(1-40)</td>
<td>14.368</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;5 KM</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>67.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt; 5.1 KM</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>32.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Why women of reproductive age do not seek</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>reproductive health services</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unaware of provider</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>30.8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expensive/Costly</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distance</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>108.684</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cultural/Shame issues</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>53</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poor provider attitude</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

No - Number; % - Percentage;  2 - Chi square; df - Degree of freedom; P - Level of significance; P ≤ 0.05 indicates the relationship is significant
4.5 Factors associated with the utilization of family planning

4.5.1 Socio-demographic and Economic factors

Table 4.5 shows socio-demographic characteristics associated with utilization of family planning among women of reproductive age (15-49 years) in Mandera County. In the bivariate analyses, women who were from Mandera North were more likely to utilize family planning methods compared to women from Lafey (OR 3.3, (95%) CI 1.2 to 9.4). Women who had secondary (OR 4.8, (95%) CI 1.8 to 13.3) and tertiary (OR 7.4, 95% CI 2.8 to 19.5) level of education were more likely to utilize family planning methods compared to women who had non-formal education. Christian women (OR 2.3, (95%) CI 1.2 to 4.3) were more likely to utilize family planning methods compared to Muslim women. Further, women who were employed (OR 3.2, (95%) CI 1.6 to 6.1) were more likely to utilize family planning methods compared to unemployed women. Further women who had non-monthly income (OR 0.3, (95%) CI 0.1 to 0.6) and those with less than 10,000khs monthly income (OR 0.5, (95%) CI 0.2 to 0.9) were less likely to utilize family planning methods compared to women who had more 30,000ksh monthly income.
### Table 4.5: Socio-demographic factors associated with family planning utilization

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Socio-Demographic Characteristic</th>
<th>Utilization of Family</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sample size</td>
<td>Planning No</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>P - value</td>
<td>Bivariate OR (95% CI)</td>
<td>P - value</td>
<td>Multivariate OR (95% CI)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Region</td>
<td>Mandera North</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>24.5</td>
<td>0.025</td>
<td>3.3(1.2-9.4)</td>
<td>0.025</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mandera South</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>16.3</td>
<td>0.239</td>
<td>1.9(0.6-5.9)</td>
<td>0.013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mandera East</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>12.2</td>
<td>0.527</td>
<td>1.4(0.4-4.8)</td>
<td>0.217</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mandera West</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>18.4</td>
<td>0.221</td>
<td>1.9(0.7-5.9)</td>
<td>0.129</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Barisa</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>18.4</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>1.7(0.6-5.1)</td>
<td>0.306</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lofey</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>10.2</td>
<td>Referent</td>
<td>Referent</td>
<td>Referent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>15-20</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>14.3</td>
<td>0.906</td>
<td>0.9(0.3-2.9)</td>
<td>0.459</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>21-30</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>28.6</td>
<td>0.416</td>
<td>1.5(0.5-4.2)</td>
<td>0.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>31-40</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>46.9</td>
<td>0.127</td>
<td>2.1(0.8-5.6)</td>
<td>0.243</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&gt; 41</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>10.2</td>
<td>Referent</td>
<td>Referent</td>
<td>Referent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education level</td>
<td>Primary</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>26.1</td>
<td>0.195</td>
<td>2.1(0.7-7.2)</td>
<td>0.449</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Secondary</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>57.7</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>4.0(1.8-13.3)</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Tertiary</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>88.5</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>7.4(2.8-19.5)</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Non-Formal</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>11.9</td>
<td>Referent</td>
<td>Referent</td>
<td>Referent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marital status</td>
<td>Single</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>56.5</td>
<td>0.298</td>
<td>1.5(0.7-3.7)</td>
<td>0.504</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Married</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>39.1</td>
<td>0.828</td>
<td>1.1(0.5-2.3)</td>
<td>0.634</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Divorced/Widow</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>Referent</td>
<td>Referent</td>
<td>Referent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Religion</td>
<td>Christian</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>81.3</td>
<td>0.011</td>
<td>2.3(1.2-4.3)</td>
<td>0.174</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Muslim</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>35.6</td>
<td>Referent</td>
<td>Referent</td>
<td>Referent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Occupation</td>
<td>Employed</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>3.2(1.6-6.1)</td>
<td>0.125</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Self employed</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>36.1</td>
<td>0.471</td>
<td>1.3(0.6-2.7)</td>
<td>0.572</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Unemployed</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>27.6</td>
<td>Referent</td>
<td>Referent</td>
<td>Referent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monthly Income (Ksh)</td>
<td>Not stated</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>22.2</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.3(0.1-0.6)</td>
<td>0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&lt;10000</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.5(0.2-0.9)</td>
<td>0.328</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10001-20000</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>46.7</td>
<td>0.201</td>
<td>0.5(0.2-1.4)</td>
<td>0.344</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>20001-30000</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>0.789</td>
<td>0.9(0.3-2.3)</td>
<td>0.917</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&gt;300001</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>85.7</td>
<td>Referent</td>
<td>Referent</td>
<td>Referent</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| No - Number; % - Percentage; OR - Odds ratio; CI - confidence interval; NS - Not significant; ND - Not done

### 4.5.2 Household demographic characteristics

Table 4.6 shows household demographic factors (including household headship, household population, Women current physiological state, previous pregnancy and population living children) were associated with utilization of family planning services.
Table 4.6: Household related factors associated with family planning utilization

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Household Demographic Characteristic</th>
<th>Sample size</th>
<th>Utilization of Family Planning</th>
<th>P - value</th>
<th>Bivariate OR (95% CI)</th>
<th>P - value</th>
<th>Multivariate OR (95% CI)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Household Head</td>
<td></td>
<td>No %</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Husband</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>29 40.3</td>
<td>0.748</td>
<td>0.9(0.5-1.7)</td>
<td>0.599</td>
<td>1.2(0.6-2.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Respondent's Mother</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>7 43.8</td>
<td>0.959</td>
<td>1.1(0.4-2.4)</td>
<td>0.847</td>
<td>1.1(0.4-3.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Others</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>13 44.8</td>
<td>Referent</td>
<td>Referent</td>
<td>Referent</td>
<td>Referent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Household population</td>
<td></td>
<td>≤4 50 21 42</td>
<td>0.986</td>
<td>1.1(0.6-1.8)</td>
<td>0.834</td>
<td>0.9(0.5-1.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>&gt;5 67 28 41.8</td>
<td>Referent</td>
<td>Referent</td>
<td>Referent</td>
<td>Referent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current physiological state</td>
<td></td>
<td>Pregnant 19 5 26.3</td>
<td>0.143</td>
<td>0.5(0.2-1.2)</td>
<td>0.175</td>
<td>0.5(0.2-1.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Lactating 28 9 32.1</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0.6(0.3-1.3)</td>
<td>0.237</td>
<td>0.6(0.3-1.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Pregnant and lactating 4 0 100</td>
<td>0.998</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.998</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Not pregnant and lactating 66</td>
<td>35 53</td>
<td>Referent</td>
<td>Referent</td>
<td>Referent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Previous pregnancies</td>
<td></td>
<td>None 16 10 62.5</td>
<td>0.141</td>
<td>1.8(0.8-3.8)</td>
<td>0.631</td>
<td>1.9(0.1-25.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1-3 47 20 42.6</td>
<td>0.553</td>
<td>1.2(0.6-2.3)</td>
<td>0.949</td>
<td>1.1(0.3-3.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>&gt;4 54 19 35.2</td>
<td>Referent</td>
<td>Referent</td>
<td>Referent</td>
<td>Referent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of children living with</td>
<td></td>
<td>None 19 11 57.9</td>
<td>0.159</td>
<td>1.7(0.8-3.7)</td>
<td>0.961</td>
<td>0.9(0.1-11.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1-3 50 22 44</td>
<td>0.398</td>
<td>1.3(0.7-2.5)</td>
<td>0.663</td>
<td>1.3(0.4-4.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>&gt;4 48 16 33.3</td>
<td>Referent</td>
<td>Referent</td>
<td>Referent</td>
<td>Referent</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

No - Number; % - Percentage; OR - Odds ratio; CI - confidence interval; NS - Not significant; ND - Not done

4.5.3 Awareness of contraceptive and family planning factors.

Table 4.7 shows that women who were aware of contraceptive and family planning were more likely to utilize family planning methods compared to women who were not (OR 6.1, 95% CI 1.5 to 24.9). Women whose first knowledge about reproductive health and contraception was either school (OR 7.9, 95% CI 1.1 to 61.5) or health care /Professional (OR 7.6, 95% CI 1.0 to 58.1) were more likely to utilize family planning methods compared to women who did not state their sources of first knowledge.

Women who had heard hormonal (Pills/IUD/Injectable) as method of contraceptive (OR 7.1, 95% CI 1.1 to 51.4) were more likely to utilize family planning methods compared to women who had not heard any method of contraceptive. Women who
were aware of emergency contraceptive methods (OR 3.2, 95% CI 1.7 to 6.1) were more likely to utilize family planning methods compared to those who were not aware. Women who stated that the cost of family planning services were either affordably (OR 4.8, 95% CI 2.2 to 10.3) or expensive (OR 3.8, 95% CI 1.2 to 12.8) were more likely to utilize family planning methods compared to women who had no idea on the cost of these methods. Women whose husband/partners had positive attitude or enjoyed discussing reproductive health and family planning (OR 3.1, 95% CI 1.7 to 5.8) were more likely to utilize family planning methods compared to those who avoided or never discussed reproductive health and family planning.

Moreover, women whose ideal time to have first child was between 15 to 18 years (OR 0.1, 95% CI 0.03 to 0.6) or between 18 to 21 years (OR 0.4, 95% CI 0.2 to 0.9) were less likely to utilize family planning methods compared to women whose ideal time to have first child was between 25-27 years. Similarly, women whose age of spacing between children was one year were less likely to utilize family planning methods compared to women whose age of spacing between children was five years or more. In multivariate analyses, none of these factors were associated with utilize family planning methods.
Table 4.7: Family planning awareness and influence on utilization

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Awareness of contraceptive and family planning</th>
<th>Sample size</th>
<th>Utilization of Family Planning</th>
<th>P - value</th>
<th>Bivariate OR (95% CI)</th>
<th>P - value</th>
<th>Multivariate OR (95% CI)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>No</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>Ref</td>
<td>Refer</td>
<td>Refer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Awareness of contraceptive and family planning</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>50.5</td>
<td>0.013</td>
<td>6.1(1.5-24.9)</td>
<td>0.668</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>8.3</td>
<td>Refer</td>
<td>Refer</td>
<td>Refer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aware of meaning of Family planning</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>49.5</td>
<td>0.995</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.988</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Refer</td>
<td>Refer</td>
<td>Refer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>First knowledge about reproductive health and contraception</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Family and Friends</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>32.8</td>
<td>0.181</td>
<td>3.9(0.5-29.3)</td>
<td>0.991</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Media</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>0.143</td>
<td>5.6(0.5-66.1)</td>
<td>0.991</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>School</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>66.7</td>
<td>0.046</td>
<td>7.9(1.1-61.5)</td>
<td>0.991</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health care/Professional</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>63.6</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>7.6(1.0-58.1)</td>
<td>0.991</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not stated</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>8.3</td>
<td>Refer</td>
<td>Refer</td>
<td>Refer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Methods of contraception heard</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Natural (Calendar/Withdrawal)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.991</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.991</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barrier (Condoms)</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>28.6</td>
<td>0.215</td>
<td>4.1(0.4-35.8)</td>
<td>0.992</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hormonal (Pills/IUD/Injectable)</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>50.6</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>7.1(1.1-51.4)</td>
<td>0.992</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>None</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>7.1</td>
<td>Refer</td>
<td>Refer</td>
<td>Refer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Awareness of emergency contraceptive methods</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>21.2</td>
<td>Refer</td>
<td>Refer</td>
<td>Refer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ideal time to have first child</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Between 15-18</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>9.7</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.1(0.03-0.6)</td>
<td>0.462</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Between 18-21</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>35.4</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.4(0.2-0.9)</td>
<td>0.695</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Between 22-24</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>0.877</td>
<td>0.9(0.4-2.1)</td>
<td>0.308</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Between 25-27</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>Refer</td>
<td>Refer</td>
<td>Refer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age of spacing between children</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>One year</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>19.4</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.2(0.05-0.8)</td>
<td>0.745</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>One to two years</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>32.7</td>
<td>0.073</td>
<td>0.3(0.09-1.2)</td>
<td>0.791</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Three to five years</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>78.6</td>
<td>0.695</td>
<td>0.8(0.23-2.6)</td>
<td>0.783</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Five years or more</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>Refer</td>
<td>Refer</td>
<td>Refer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cost of family planning services</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Affordable</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>70.6</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>4.8(2.2-10.3)</td>
<td>0.249</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expensive</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>57.1</td>
<td>0.027</td>
<td>3.8(1.2-12.8)</td>
<td>0.133</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Free</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.777</td>
<td>1.4(0.2-10.8)</td>
<td>0.703</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No idea</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>14.8</td>
<td>Refer</td>
<td>Refer</td>
<td>Refer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Attitude towards sexual health and family planning information with unmarried girl</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not common in our society to discuss</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>46.5</td>
<td>0.541</td>
<td>1.9(0.3-13.6)</td>
<td>0.411</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shun to discuss/embarrass to discuss</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>22.6</td>
<td>0.924</td>
<td>0.9(0.1-7.3)</td>
<td>0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Common topics in our society to discuss</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>72.7</td>
<td>0.314</td>
<td>2.9(0.4-23.3)</td>
<td>0.609</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I never think about this before</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>Refer</td>
<td>Refer</td>
<td>Refer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Attitude when discussing with husband/partner about reproductive health and family planning</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Embarrassing/avoid to discuss</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>33.3</td>
<td>0.786</td>
<td>1.2(0.3-4.1)</td>
<td>0.533</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Positive/we enjoy discussing</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>70.5</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>3.1(1.7-5.8)</td>
<td>0.275</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Avoid/never discuss</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>22.4</td>
<td>Refer</td>
<td>Refer</td>
<td>Refer</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

No - Number; % - Percentage; OR - Odds ratio; CI - confidence interval; NS - Not significant; ND - Not done
4.5.4 Patterns of contraceptives use related factors

Table 4.8 shows that participants who reported using these contraceptive (OR 7.4, 95% CI 3.4 to 15.9) or by their husbands (OR 6.2, 95% CI 2.3 to 16.5) were more likely to utilize family planning methods compared to women who did not indicated either them or partners using these family planning methods. Participants who reported using either natural methods (calender/withdrawal) (OR 6.9, 95% CI 1.4 to 35) or barriers (condoms) (OR 12.9, 95% CI 4.9 to 33.4) or hormonal (pills/IUD/Injectible) (OR 12.9, 95% CI 4.9 to 33.4) as method of family planning were more likely to utilize family planning compared to women who did not indicated using any family planning methods. Laslty, women who rated quality of family planning as good (OR 5.4, 95% CI 1.9 to 15.6) were more likely to utilize family planning compared to those who rated the quality as poor.

In multivariate analysis, women who used barriers (condoms) (OR 5.7, 95% CI 1.3 to 24.5) and hormonal (pills/IUD/Injectible) family planning methods (OR 5.8, 95% CI 1.4 to 25.2) remained associated with utilization of family planning.
Table 4.8: Patterns of family planning and associated with the actual utilization

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patterns of contraceptive and family planning</th>
<th>Sample size</th>
<th>Utilization of Family</th>
<th>( P ) - value</th>
<th>Bivariate OR (95% CI)</th>
<th>( P ) - value</th>
<th>Multivariate OR (95% CI)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Who uses contraceptives</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Self</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>86.6%</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>7.4(3.4-15.9)</td>
<td>0.516</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Husband</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>72.7%</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>6.2(2.3-16.5)</td>
<td>0.774</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>None</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>11.8%</td>
<td>Referent</td>
<td>Referent</td>
<td>Referent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Methods of contraception heard</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Natural (Calendar/Withdrawal)</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>0.021</td>
<td>6.9(1.4-35)</td>
<td>0.113</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barrier (Condoms)</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>93.3%</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>12.9(4.9-33.4)</td>
<td>0.018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hormonal (Pills/IUD/Injectable)</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>13.8(4.9-38.3)</td>
<td>0.018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>None</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>7.2%</td>
<td>Referent</td>
<td>Referent</td>
<td>Referent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Provider of Family planning</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health facility</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>56.2%</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Work Place</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>77.8%</td>
<td>0.994</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.993</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other sources</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>9.1%</td>
<td>0.994</td>
<td>0.933</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>None</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>Referent</td>
<td>Referent</td>
<td>Referent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rating of family planning</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Best</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>33.3%</td>
<td>0.214</td>
<td>2.5(0.6-11.5)</td>
<td>0.513</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Better</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>31.6%</td>
<td>0.166</td>
<td>2.4(0.6-8.7)</td>
<td>0.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Good</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>70.7%</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>5.4(1.9-15.6)</td>
<td>0.784</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fair</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>41.2%</td>
<td>0.199</td>
<td>0.6(0.3-1.3)</td>
<td>0.746</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>12.9%</td>
<td>Referent</td>
<td>Referent</td>
<td>Referent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distance to family planning provider</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;5 KM</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>43%</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>1.1(0.6-2.1)</td>
<td>0.809</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt; 5.1 KM</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>39.5%</td>
<td>Referent</td>
<td>Referent</td>
<td>Referent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Why women of reproductive age do not seek reproductive health services</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unaware of provider</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1(0.3-4.3)</td>
<td>0.996</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expensive/Costly</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0.997</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.996</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distance</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>40%</td>
<td>0.797</td>
<td>0.8(0.1-4.3)</td>
<td>0.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cultural/Shame issues</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>40.3%</td>
<td>0.77</td>
<td>0.8(0.2-3.4)</td>
<td>0.926</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poor provider attitude</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>Referent</td>
<td>Referent</td>
<td>Referent</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

No = Number; % = Percentage; OR = Odds ratio; CI = confidence interval; NS = Not significant; ND = Not done
4.6 Outcomes of qualitative analysis

The qualitative data from FGDs and KIIIs were grouped into different themes which included

4.6.1 Awareness of methods of family planning

The FGDs and KIIIs analysis regarding awareness of family planning and contraceptive methods yielded varied responses but largely agreed in context with the quantitative outcomes. Example one FGD participant stated

“Even if we are asked to have less family size since the economy continues to worsens, the overall say lies on the hands of household head”. “If I use family planning methods without asking my husband, this will be tragedy for me. He will be seen as weak in the community which no man allows here. I must follow his decision; this is the tradition in this area”

One KII participant on religion and family planning said “Islam forbids a couple from choosing to practice FP through the use of surgeries which are irreversible”.

Another FGD participant said “I wish I could see these services here and cheaply, we could be all using these services”. One KII participant said” in this region of Mandera North some of these family planning services thanks to devolution are now available in some health facilities”.

From the interviews and group discussions (FGD), there were mixed response on the participant’s level of awareness about family planning and contraceptive methods. A Key informant participant KII stated;

“For increased uptake of family planning services, promotion that facilitates awareness about the available family planning services and their possible side effects and benefits is paramount”.

Not all were aware of family planning – a focus group participant (FGD) said;
“If I knew FP before, I would have not born all these children. My children would have been well spaced and much stronger”.

4.6.2 Sources of family planning methods

Various responses were reported regarding the first source of reproductive health and contraception information and types of contraceptive. An FGD participant confirmed;

“That most information including family planning issues are discussed in non-formal settings including Madrassa and in the family units”.

Another FGD participant said;

“Every woman should use family planning a service only after talking to their husband should emergency occurs he will be required to stand up and take responsibility”.

4.6.3 Types of family planning methods

Concerning the family planning types known, most qualitative participants were able to mention at least one modern method but not necessarily approving the method. One FGD participant;

“I am known method such as condoms which are available at the clinic”.

The second FGD participant said;

“I have seen condom shown to me by the village health worker who also told us about cutting off the Uterus which I cannot use I want to die with my whole organs”.
On the other hand, most of them were not aware about the emergency contraceptives;

“Most women here give birth every year because other than condoms no other family planning methods available can be used quickly to help prevent unplanned children, in fact most pharmacist do not stock these pills”

4.6.4 Cost of family planning methods

Mixed reactions were presented regarding cost of family planning services, source of information as noted by one KII informant;

"Cultural norms and religion affect discussion let alone utilization of family planning".

But a participant in FGD noted;

“\textit{We are majority Muslims and we really are taught about family planning methods. It is like a taboo for us to talk about sex and issues surrounding family planning among family circles}.”
CHAPTER FIVE
DISCUSSION, CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

5.1 Discussion

This study investigated the awareness and uptake of family planning methods and associated factors among women of reproductive age in Mandera County; an arid, region in the North Eastern Kenya. The study was conducted two years post the 2013 devolution of political power and economic resources from the central government to the devolved county governments. Although initial surveys have associated the county with low utilization of FP at 1.9% in 2014 (Asiimwe et al., 2013), this study has shown that the proportion of women aged 15 to 49 years embracing FP is considerably higher than previous studies standing at 41.9%. This rate was slightly lower than that of married women of reproductive age in Kenya (58%) who reported using FP in 2014 (Kenya Demographic and Health Survey, 2014).

During the study period, about 40.1% of these participants were either lactating or pregnant with more than 46.2% having given birth to ≥4 children, pointing to high fertility rate in the region. More than half of the participants were married, with close to 67.5% preferring to have children before the age of 21 years. This is a confirmation of a previous survey that indicated that in this region women have younger ages of sexual debut, young age of motherhood and younger age of first birth pointing to the unmet need for family planning services (Kenya National Bureau of Statistics (KNBS) and ICF Macro, 2009).

The FGDs and KIIs discussions confirms the young age of marriage and child birth. One participant in an FGD noted;

“Women here are married at a really young age… for me I was married at my 14th birth day”.

One KII participant said;
“If it were not for the current government administration....my husband's clans' men would have married off my three daughters before they attended secondary school, personally I have witnessed a lot of these cases before”.

5.1.1 Contraceptive use

Although 79.5% of the participants were aware of family planning only about half of them (41.9%) were currently using contraceptive and family planning. This is not unique to this region. In many developing countries reports shows that despite the campaign on the usefulness of family planning in having smaller and healthier families, contraceptive use is still low (Adeleye et al., 2010; KDHS, 2014; Lasisi et al., 2014; Nettey et al., 2015). One study in the Kintampo Districts of Ghana reported even higher family planning awareness level (97%) but lower (25.3%) utilization of any modern family planning method (Nettey et al., 2015). Our results and these others show that awareness does not necessarily influence utilization. From the FGDs and KII discussions this unmatched awareness and utilization of family planning methods was evident. One participant in an FGD participant observed;

“That most information including family planning issues are discussed in non-formal settings including Madrassa and in the family units”.

Concerning the family planning types known, most qualitative participants were able to mention at least one modern method but not necessarily approving the method. One participant in an FGD noted;

“I know method such as condoms which are available at the clinic”. The second participant in FGD participant said “I have seen condom shown to me by the village health worker who also told us about cutting off the Uterus...which I cannot use ...I want to die with my whole organs”.

A participant in an FGD reported that not all women in the region were aware of family planning;
“If I knew family planning before, I would have not given birth to these children.... My children would have been well spaced and much stronger”. Emergency contraceptives are not known as stated by KII respondent “most women here give birth every year because other than condoms no other family planning methods available can be used quickly to help prevent unplanned children, in fact most pharmacist do not stock these pills”. A Key informant participant stated “for increased uptake of family planning services, promotion that facilitates awareness about the available family planning services and their possible side effects and benefits is paramount”.

5.1.2 Factors associated with utilization of family planning

This study showed utilization of FP was significantly associated women’s: region of origin, education level, wealth status (occupation and income), religion, awareness and exposure to the media, and utilization of reproductive health services including modern hormonal contraceptives, and the perceived importance of family planning.

Women from Mandera North, East and South were more likely to utilize family planning. These regions are the most developed constituencies within the County; Mandera East hosts the County government offices and is by infrastructure the most developed. Mandera South and North follow in that order in terms of infrastructure and developed. As expected socioeconomic status, education level, availability and capacity of health care services as well as supplies of available modern family planning methods are considerably better in these three sub-counties compared to the other regions within the County. The relationship between development and use of FP has also been reported by other studies (Gizaw and Regassa, 2011).

The role of region of origin to utilization of FP was also captured. One respondent observed:

“I wish I could see these services here and cheaply, we could be all using these services”. One participant in the KII participant from Mandera East
said” in this region of Mandera North some of these family planning services thank to devolution are now available in some health facilities”.

Women who had secondary and tertiary level of education were more likely to utilize FP, which is consistent with other studies (Wanyenze et al., 2011; Creanga et al., 2011; Rutaremwa et al., 2011). Higher education level provides women with a better and wider understanding of the FP options including availability, and invariably the benefits of family planning and regulation. Further, education increases awareness of the side effects of contraceptive methods and preference for the most convenient ones (Mekonnen & Worku, 2011). One participant in an FGD reported;

“I use modern family planning methods because I was made aware of their importance when I was in college”.

High monthly income and employment equating to wealth had a direct relationship with women’s utilization of FP. Women from richer households or high wealth quintiles are empowered are able to afford modern FP services and are most likely better exposed to current reproductive health, FP and contraceptive related issues. Wealth and riches are equated to utilization of modern FP even in other studies (Rutaremwa et al., 2011).

One participant in an FGD said;

“I am able to use these family planning methods because I buy them on my own”.

Although majority of population in this region are predominantly Muslims, participants who were Christians were more likely to uptake family planning. Christian especially the Protestants are often highly accepting of contraceptive use compared to Catholic counterparts. This argument is consistent with literature elsewhere where Christian protestant women were more likely to use highly effective contraceptive methods (Jones & Drewke, 2011). Singh et al., (2003) notes that there is no mention of contraception in Quran (first source of Islamic law), and only
mentioned in the sayings of the Prophet Mohammed (the second source of Islamic law) advocating for coitus interrupts to control family size. Further, most Muslims either do not send their children to school or send them to madrasas run by Muslim trusts, the overall environment of the later institutions helps in the continuation of their traditional values and thus hindering social changes including family planning (Agadjanian et al., 2009). Regarding the role of religion on the uptake of FP one participant said;

“Islam forbids a couple from choosing to practice FP through the use of surgeries which are irreversible”. One participant in an FGD said; “we are majority Muslims and we rarely are taught about family planning methods. It is like a taboo for us to talk about sex and issues surrounding family planning among family circles:

Schools and health care as a source of knowledge family planning messages, increased use of FP. Further awareness of modern family planning methods such as emergency contraceptive, condoms and hormonal contraceptives (Pills/IUD/Injectable) were key in the utilization of FP. Exposure to information has been equated to increased demand for learnt services as well as in the long run, behavior change (Wakefield et al., 2010).

Positive attitude of husband/partner on reproductive health and family planning predicted uptake of utilization of FP. The socio-cultural role of husband or partner has been shown to influence family related issues including FP. This and other similar studies show strong male influencing in the overall family outlook (Rutaremwa et al., 2011). Therefore, male-to-male outreaches and identifying male champions for family planning in various settings are important in promoting modern FP utilization. Family planning utilization does not at all depend on the women. One respondent stated;

“For a woman to use family planning services, partner’s approval must be granted”.
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Another FGD participant on barriers to FP stated;

“Even if we are asked to have fewer families size since the economy continues to worsens, the overall say lies on the hands of household head”. “If I use family planning methods without asking my husband, this will be tragedy for me. He will be seen as weak in the community which no man allows here. I must follow his decision; this is the tradition in this area”.

5.2 Conclusions

1. Concerning FP awareness level among women of reproductive age (15-49 years) in Mandera County, a significant proportion of interviewed women (more than three quarters) were aware of contraceptive and family planning methods mainly through family and friends. Condoms were the most common family planning methods used in the County.
2. Significant proportions of women were using FP compared to the Kenya demographic health survey reports of the last 3 years.
3. Further, socio-cultural, religion and awareness attribute of the participants, provide an important avenue to evaluate the interplay if any of the multifaceted and multilevel factors that impact availability and utilization of FP.

5.3 Recommendations

Ultimately for the improvement in the proportion of women embracing FP in Kenya especially in the initially marginalized counties experiencing hardship (arising from droughts and insecurity) this study makes the following the recommendations;

1. Concerted efforts must be undertaken by the County and National government to promote and to tackle the socio-cultural deterrents of FP utilization. Should this be achieved, these regions could record one of the
highest utilizations of FP compared to other wealthy and affluent regions of Kenya.

2. To improve the general awareness rate of FP among women advocacy and sensitization campaigns at family level should be rolled out by the Mandera County Government in conjunction with the National Government. Involvement of faith-based organization, religious leaders and other stakeholders is of paramount.

3. Family planning services should be rolled out by County and National government in all public and private health facility in order to make easy access for women seeking the service.

4. County Government should allocate funds towards promoting family planning services by listing services of Community Health Workers (CHW’s) to visit women at household levels.

5. Setting up of mobile family planning services functional to offer services to nomadic population.
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APPENDICES

Appendix I: structured questionnaires

STUDY TITLE: Factors associated with the utilization of family planning services among women of reproductive age (15-49 years) in Mandera County

SOCIO-DEMOGRAPHIC INFORMATION:

1. Age of respondent (put absolute years) ____________


3. Religion of the respondent

4. Occupation of the respondent (Multiple response)

5. Main source of income in your house (Multiple response)

6. Approximately how much do you earn per month? ________________(Ksh)
7. How many times have you been pregnant? _________________

8. How many of these pregnancies resulted in a baby that was born alive? _________________

9. How many living children do you have currently? _________________


11. What is the highest level of school you completed?
   

12. What is the woman's current physiological state? 1. Pregnant 2. Lactating
   3. Pregnant and Lactating 4. Not pregnant and lactating


**FAMILY PLANNING AWARENESS AND KNOWLEDGE**

14. Have you ever heard about contraceptive and family planning? 1. Yes 2. No

15. Family planning is the planning of when to have a child. Do you agree? 1. Yes 2. No

16. Where did you get your first source of information about reproductive health and contraception?
   1. Parents/Siblings/family member
   2. Family planning clinic
   3. School
   4. Friends
   5. Sexual partner/Lovers
   6. Nurse or doctor after child birth
   7. Physician
   8. Magazine/Internet/Books
   9. TV/Movies/Media
   10. Husband after marriage
   11. Seminars
17. Which of the above do you consider the most useful source of information for you on issues relating to reproductive health?

1. Parents/ Siblings / family member
2. Family planning clinic
3. School
4. Friends
5. Sexual partner/Lovers
6. Nurse / doctor
7. Physician
8. Magazine/ Internet/Books
9. TV/Movies/ Media
10. Husband
11. Seminars

18. Have you ever received any reproductive health and contraceptive related education at your school or informally or in health clinics?

1. Yes 2. No

19. Which methods of contraceptives have you heard about?

1. Pills 8. Female sterilization
2. IUD 9. Male sterilization
3. Injectable (Depo provera) 10. Implants
5. Foam/ Jelly 12. Withdrawals
6. Female condom 7. Male condom
8. Female sterilization
9. Male sterilization
10. Implants
11. Emergency
12. Withdrawals
13. Calendar observation/ periodic abstinence

20. Have you ever heard about any emergency contraceptive method?

1. Yes 2. No 3. Do not know

21. What is ideal time to have first child?

1. Between 15-20
2. Between 18-21
3. Between 22-24
4. Between 25-27
5. Between 28-30
6. Above 30

22. How many children do you like to have or suggest to other? ______________

23. What is the ideal age space between children?
1. One year
2. One and half to two years
3. Three to five years
4. Five years or more

24. Would you describe the Family Planning Services and Friendliness of Staff in the facility/facilities you have attended?
   1. Unfriendly
   2. Friendly
   3. Uncertain

25. How could you describe cost of Family Planning Services?
   1. Affordable
   2. Expensive
   3. Free
   4. No idea

26. Did you get any education about reproductive health and contraceptive methods before you first sexual encounter?
   1. Yes 2. No 3. Do not know

27. Do you think unmarried young girl should know about reproductive health and family planning?
   1. Yes 2. No 3. Do not know

28. If you think yes that unmarried young girl need to know about reproductive health and family planning, then please mention

29. What do you think is the attitude towards discussion about sexual health and family planning information with unmarried girl, in your social context?
   1. Not common in our society to discuss
   2. Shame to discuss/ Embarrass to discuss
   3. Common topics in our society to discuss
   4. I never think about this before

30. Have you ever discussed with your husband/partner about reproductive health and family planning?
   1. Yes 2. No

31. What was your attitude when you discussed with your husband/partner or your surroundings about family planning?
   1. Embarrass/avoid to discuss
   2. Positive/ we are enjoying discussions
3. I never discussed

32. What was your husband’s/partner’s attitude or your surroundings attitude when he discussed with you about family planning?
   1. Embarrass/ avoid to discuss
   2. Positive/ we are enjoying discussions
   3. Avoid or never discussed

33. What is your view about contraceptive methods?
   1. I have used contraceptives without any problems
   2. I have used contraceptives in spite of problems
   3. It is troubles to use
   4. It has side effects
   5. It is against nature
   6. I don’t like to use
   7. I never used

34. If you don’t like contraceptive methods, then please mention why?
   ..................................................................................................................

35. If you have any bad experiences with using contraceptives, then please mention the experience with name of contraceptives method
   ..................................................................................................................

**FAMILY PLANNING UTILIZATION**

36. Have you ever used any family planning?
   1. Yes       2. No

37. If No, why have you not used any method of family planning method
   1. I want to be pregnant
   2. I dislike them
   3. Preferred traditional method
   4. Fear of side effect
   5. Not available
   6. Others______________ (specify)

38. Are all of your children born healthy?
   1. Yes       2. No       3. Don’t know

39. Do you like to have more children now?
   1. Yes       2. No       3. Don’t know

40. If you do not want to have more children then are you using any contraceptives now?
1. Yes  
2. No  
3. Don’t know  

41. Who in the family is/are using any contraceptives?  
1. Me  
2. My husband  
3. No one  

42. If you are using contraceptives then which method (s) are you using now?  
1. Condom  
2. Oral contraceptives  
3. Intra Uterine Device/Coil  
4. Injection  
5. Withdrawal method  
6. Breast feeding  
7. Male sterilization  
8. Female sterilization  
9. Diaphragm  
10. Calendar method  
11. None  

43. Why do you prefer this method (s)?  
1. Comfortable with it  
2. Partner preference  
3. Wish to have a short break  
4. Wish to have along break  
5. Motivated by friends / neighbor  
6. Cheap for me  
7. Others ______________ (specify)  

44. Who is (are) Family Planning Service Provider (s)?  
1. Health facility  
2. Mobile health workers  
3. Pharmacy  
4. Workplace  
5. Others  

45. What was the quality of family planning services?  
1. Best  
2. Better  
3. Good  
4. Fair  
5. Poor  

46. What is your proximity to family planning service provider?  
_______________(Kilometers)
47. Does your spouse know that you are using any family planning method?
   1. Yes  2. No

48. If No, give reason(s) why?
   1. Spouse dislike
   2. Want more children
   3. Fear of side effect
   4. Others ______________(Specify)

49. Are there any practices in your community that prevents women from using family planning?
   1. Yes  2. No

50. If yes, which ones?
   1. Polygamy
   2. Wife inheritance
   3. Pastoralism/nomadism
   4. ignorance
   5. Others ______________(Specify)

51. Were your last pregnancy planned?
   1. Yes  2. No  3. don’t know

52. What do you think are the Main Reasons why women of reproductive age do not Seeking RH Services?
   1. Did not know where to go  5. Too busy
   2. Services too expensive/Did not have money  6. Unfriendly staff
   3. Clinic too far  7. Parents refused
   4. Too scared/too shy  8. It is culturally shameful
Appendix II: structured questionnaires in somali

Lifaaqa 1b: Wareysiyo Qaabdhismeed Loo Yeelay

Cinwaanka Daraasada: Sababaha lala xiriirho ka faa‘ideysiga qorsheyn‘ta qoyska ee dumarka da’da dhalmada kujira ee 15-49 sano jir ee Dagmada Mandheera

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Taariikh</th>
<th>Shaksiga la wareystay</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Deegaanka</td>
<td>Waqtiga</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wareysiqaade</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**XOG LA XIRIIRTA QOFKA LA WAREYSANAYO OO DHANKA BULSHO AH:**

1. Qofka jawaabaha bixinaya da’diisa (inta sano ee uu jiro qor) ____________

2. Xaaladaada guurku waa maxay?
   1. Doob (weli ma guursan) 2. La qabo 3. Laga dhintay/Kala maqan ama kala daggan khilaaf dartii 4. Garoob

3. Diinta qofka

4. Shaqada qofka (Jawaabo badan ayaa la qaadan karaa)
Shaqooyin kale _________________ (Qeex)
5. Dakhliga ugu badan meesha uu qoyskaaga ka soo galo (Jawaabo badan ayaa la qaadan karaa)

5. Dakhli kale _________________ (Qeex)
6. Qiyaas ahaan meeqa ayaa ku soo gasha bishii? _________________ (Ksh)

7. Imisa jeer ayaad uur yeeatay? _________________

8. Imisa jeer ayaad inta uur yeeatay aad dhashay cunug nool? _________________

9. Imisa carruur ah ayaa hada kuu nool? _________________


11. Iskoolkii ugu sareeyay ee aad dhigato keebuu ahaa?


3. Cunug ayaan nuujiyaa

(qeex) _________________

WACYIGALINTA IYO AQOONTA QORSHEYNTA QOYSKA

14. Weligaa ma maqashay waxyaabaha laisaga ilaaliyo qaaditaanka uurka ama cudurada iyo qorsheynta qoyska?
   1. Haa 2. Maya

15. Qorsheynta qoysku waa qorsheynta markii la doonayo in ilmo la dhalo iyo marka aan la doonayn in ilmo la dhalo. Ma saxbaa sidaasi oo ma aqbashay?
   1. Haa 2. Maya
16. Halkee ama qofkee markaagii ugu horeysay ka heshay aqoonta ku saabsan caafimaadka taranka ama la xiriira galmada iyo waxyaabaha cudurada ama uurka qaaditaankiisa looga gaashaanto waqtiga galmada?

1. Waalidiin/Walaalo/xubnaha qoyska
2. Isbitaal laga helo adeegyada qorsheyninta qoyska
3. Iskoolka
4. Saaxiibo
5. Qof aan isu galmoono/Qof aan is jecelnahay
6. Kalkaaliso ama dhaqtar mar aan dhalay ka dib
7. Dhaqtar cudurada guud qaabilsan
8. Joornaal/ Internet/Buugaag
9. TV/Aflaan/Warbaahinta
10. Ninkayga markii aan isguursanay ka dib
11. Seminaaro

17. Ilaha sare kuxusan midee ayay kula tahay isha ugu mukiimsan ee laga helo xogta loogu tala galay dhallinyarada xogtaas oo ku saabsan arimaha galmada?

1. Waalidiin/Walaalo/xubnaha qoyska
2. Isbitaal laga helo adeegyada qorsheyninta qoyska
3. Iskoolka
4. Saaxiibo
5. Qof aan isu galmoono/Qof aan is jecelnahay
6. Kalkaaliso ama dhaqtar mar aan dhalay ka dib
7. Dhaqtar cudurada guud qaabilsan
8. Joornaal/ Internet/Buugaag
9. TV/Aflaan/Warbaahinta
10. Ninkayga markii aan isguursanay ka dib
11. Seminaaro

18. Weligaa iskoolkaaga ma ku baratay wax ku saabsan waxbarashada caafimaadka galmada iyo waxyaabaha looga gaashaanto uruka ama cudurada ama aqoontaa ma ku heshay si aan rasmi ahayn ama ma ka soo heshay isbitaalada?

1. Haa 2. Maya

19. Noocce ka mid ah waxyaabaha laisga dhowro uruka ama cudurada marka la galmoonayo oo aad maqashay adigu?

1. Kaniino
2. IUD
3. Cirbad(Depo provera)
4. Dahaar/Daboolka xubinta taranka dumarka la gasho
5. Xumbo/Xayr
1. Haa  
2. Maya  
3. Ma ogi

21. Waqtigee ugu habboon in la dhalo cunugga curadka ah?
   1. Inta u dhexeysa 15-20
   2. Inta u dhexeysa 18-21
   3. Inta u dhexeysa 22-24
   4. Inta u dhexeysa 25-27
   5. Inta u dhexeysa 28-30
   6. Wixii ka dambeeya 30

22. Imisa carruur ah ayaad jeclaan lahayd inaad dhasho ama aad kula talin lahayd dadka kale? ______________

23. Waqtìntee dhan ayaa habboon in loo dhexeysiiyo carruurta?
   1. Hal sano
   2. Hal sano iyo bar illaa laba sano
   3. Saddex illaa shan sano
   4. Shan sano iyo ka badan

24. Ma ku tilmaami lahayd Adeegyada Qorsheynta Qoyska iyo Shaqaalaha Caafimaadka ee ka shaqeeya?
   1. Kuwo aan wanaagsanayn
   2. Kuwo wanaagsan
   3. Aan la hubin inay wanaagsan yihii in iyo in kale

25. Maxaad ku tilmaami lahayd qiimaha Adeegyada Qorsheynta Qoyska?
   1. Kuwlo la goyn karo
   2. Kuwo qaali ah
   3. Kuwo lacag la’aan ah
   4. Ma ogi

26. Ma baratay waxbarasho kusaabsan caafimaadka taranka iyo hababka la isaga ilaaliiyo uruka ka hor intii aan lagu guursan?
1. Haa  
2. Maya  
3. Ma ogi

27. Ma kula tahay in ay wanaagsan tahay in gabdhaha yaryar ee aan la guursan ay wax ka bartaa caafimaadka taranka iyo qorsheynta qoyska?  
   1. Haa  
   2. Maya  
   3. Ma ogi

28. Hadii ay haa kula tahay in gabdhaha yaryar ee aan weli la guursan ay u baahan yihii inay wax ka ogaadaan caafimaadka taranka iyo galmada iyo qorsheynta qoyska, bal wax nooga sheeg fadlan marka

29. Maxaad ka qabtaa in lagala hadlo gabdhaha aan la guursan weli waxyabo ku saabsan caafimaadka taranka iyo galmada marka bulshadiina loo eego?  
   1. Bulshadeena sida gaalibka ah kama hadasho  
   2. Waa ceeb in laga hadlo/Waa wajigabax in laga hadlo  
   3. Waa wax caadiyan laga hadli karo bulshadeena  
   4. Weligey arinkaa hada ka hor kama fakarin

30. Weli makatashatey ninkaaga arimaha caafimaadka taranka iyo qorsheynta qoyska?  
   1. Haa  
   2. Maya

31. Muxuu ah ahaa fikirka aad qabtay markii aad kala hadlaysay ninkaaga ama dadka ku dhowdhow ee asxaabta aad tiihiin hababka uurka la isaga ilaalin karo?  
   1. Wajigabax weeye/ha ka hadlin  
   2. Haa waa arin wanaagsan/waan ubogeynaa mawduucaan  
   3. Weligey kama aanan hadlin

32. Muxuu ah ahaa fikirka ninkaaga ama dadka asxaabtaada ah ay ka qabeen markii aad ka wada hadleyseen hababka uurka laisaga ilaaliyo?  
   1. Wajigabax/ha ka hadlin  
   2. Haa waa arin wanaagsan/waan u bogeynaa mawduucaan  
   3. Maya weligay kama aanan hadlin

33. Waa maxay aragtidaada ku aaddan hababka uruka laisaga ilaaliyo?  
   1. Waxaan waxyabahan laisaga ilaaliyo uurka u isticmaalay si aan dhib lahayn  
   2. Waxaan isticmaalay waxyabaha laisaga ilaaliyo uurka inkastoo dhibaato ay leeyihiin  
   3. Waa dhibaato hadii la isticmaal  
   4. Dhibaatooyin ayay keenaan isticmaalka kadib  
   5. Dabiiciga ayay ka horimaanayaan  
   6. Ma jecli inaan isticmaal  
   7. Waligay ma isticmaal

34. Hadii aadan jeclayn hababka laisga ilaaliyo uurka, fadlan sababta bal noo sheeg?
35. Hadii dhibaatooyin xun ay kaa soo mareen waxyabaha uurka looga hortago, fadlan bal sheeg dhibaatada kaa soo martay iyo magaca waxaas aad isticmaashay

**KAA FAAIIDEYSIGA QORSHEYNTA QOYSKA**

36. Weligaa qorsheynta qoyska ma isticmaashay?
   1. Haa  2. Maya

37. Hadii jawaabtaada Nambar 41 ay maya tahay waa maxay sababta aadan u isticmaalin wax hab qorsheyn qoys ah
   1. Uur inaan yeesho ayaan rabaa
   2. Waan necbahay
   3. Hab dhaqameedka ayaan door bidaa
   4. Hadhow inay dhib igu keenaan ayaan ka baqaa
   5. Lama helo
   6. Sababo kale______________ (Qeex)

38. Carruurtaada oo dhan ma ayagoo caafimaad qaba ayay dhasheen?

39. Carruur kale inaad dhasho hada ma doonaysaa?

40. Hadii aadan doonayn inaad dhasho carruur kale hada ma wax uurka looga hortago ayaad isticmaashaa?

41. Yaa isticmaala waxyabaha uurka looga hortago?
   1. Aniga
   2. Ninkayga
   3. Midkeena ma isticmaalo

42. Hadii aad isticmaasho hada waxyabaha uurka looga hortago habkee ama hababkee ayaad isticmaashaa?
   1. Kondhom
   2. Waxyaaboo afka laga qaato
   3. Aalad xubinta taranka la dhax gasho/spiral
   4. Cirbad
   5. Biyaha oo bannaanka la geeyo
   6. Nuujin
   7. Qalliiin ninka lagu sameeyo
   8. Qalliiin naagaha lagu sameeyo
9. Dahaar
10. Habka kalandaariyaha
11. Waxba

43. Waa maxay waxa aad u doorbiday habkaa ama hababkaas?
   1. Raaxo weeye
   2. Ninka ayaa doorbida
   3. Waxaan rabaa inaan ilmaha ka nasto dhalitaankooda waqti gaaban
   4. Waxaan rabaa inaan ilmaha ka nasto dhalitaankooda waqti dheer
   5. Saaxiibo/daris ayaa igu dhiirigashay
   6. Waa ii raqiis
   7. Sababo kale ______________ (qeex)

44. Yaa bixiya Adeegyada Qorsheynta Qoyska?
   1. Xarun caafimaad
   2. Shaqaale caafimaad oo hadba meel taga
   3. Farmashi
   4. Goobta shaqada ayaa laga helaa
   5. Dad kale

45. Tayada adeegyada qorsheynta qoysku sidee ahayd?
   1. Wey ugu fiicnayd
   2. Aad ayay u fiicnayd
   3. Wey fiicnayd
   4. Xoogaa wey yara fiicnayd
   5. Waa xumayd

46. Intee masaafa u jirtaa meesha laga bixiya adeegyada qorsheynta qoyska?
    ______________(Kilometer ku qor)

47. Miyaa ninkaagu og yahay inaad isticmaasho hab ka mid ah hababka qorsheynta qoyska?
   1. Haa    2. Maya

48. Hadii ay Maya tahay, sababta ama sababaha sheeg?
   1. Ninka ayaa neceb
   2. Carruur badan ayaan rabaa
   3. Waxaan ka baqaa inuu igu kacsho xanuuno
   4. Dhibaatooyin kale ______________ (Qeex)

49. Miyay jiraan wax dhaqamo ah oo bulshadiinu leedahay oo ka hor joogsada dumarku inay isticmaalaan qorsheynta qoyska?
   1. Haa    2. Maya
50. Hadii ay haa tahay, waa kuwee?
   1. Ragga oo naago badan guursada
   2. Dhaxlitaanka xaaSaska-dumaalid
   3. Xoolo dhaqatonimada
   4. Reer baadiyenimada
   5. Kuwo kale ______________(Qeex)

51. Miyaa dhammaan uurarkii aad yeelatay la qorsheeyay?

52. Maxay kula tahay inay yiihin sababaha ugu waaweyn ee dhallinyaradu aysan u doonan adeegyada caafimaadka taranka?
   1. Meel ay ka doontaan
       ma aysan ogayn
   2. Adeegyadaa waa qaali
       aad/Lacag ma aysan
       haysan
   3. Isbitalka ayaa ka fogaa
   4. Aad ayay uga
       baqayeen/aad ayay u
       xishoodeen
   5. Mashquulkooda ayaa
       badan
   6. Shaqaalaha ayaan
       furfurnayn
   7. Waalidiintood ayaa u
diday
   8. Dhaqan ahaan waa
       ceeb
Appendix III: Focus group discussion guide

**Title:** Factors associated with utilization of family planning among women of reproductive age (15-49 years) in Mandera County.

**Introduction:**

a. Introduction of FGD Facilitator, Recorder, and participant organizations  
b. Express gratitude for group participation  
c. Disclaimer regarding confidentiality of collected information.  
d. The objectives of this project are to:

I. To determine the socio-demographic characteristics of women of reproductive age (15-49 years) in Mandera County.  
II. To determine awareness rate of family planning among women of reproductive age (15-49 years) in Mandera County.  
III. To determine the utilization rate of family planning services among women of reproductive age (15-49 years) in Mandera County.  
IV. To evaluate factors associated with the utilization of family planning among women of reproductive age (15-49 years) in Mandera County.

**Site _____________________________________**  
**date________________**

**Demographic data**  
**S/no** | Names | Age | Occupation  
---|---|---|---  
1. | | |  
2. | | |  
3. | | |  
4. | | |  
5. | | |

---
Questions for discussions

1. What are the problems associated with large population in this community?
2. Are there cases of unwanted pregnancies among the women whether married or not in this county?
3. What are the taboos associated with pregnancy in this community?
4. How does the community perceive the family planning services in the county?
5. Do women attend reproductive health services in your county and why?
6. What are the constituents of MCH service?
7. What do you understand with FP?
8. Identify examples of FP services in your county?
9. What is the level of awareness of FP services in your area?
10. Discuss how utilization of family planning services by women is achieved and how is it perceived by members of your community?
11. With whom do members of your community consult regarding questions and concerns about family planning?
12. What prevention methods, if any, do people use to protect themselves from unwanted pregnancies?
13. How do community members prepare themselves with pregnancy related emergency?
14. How do families prepare themselves when their family member is/are pregnant?
15. What do you think is needed to stop the maternal mortality due to pregnancies in this community?
16. In your opinion what are the attitude of health care providers towards mothers seeking family planning services?
17. What are the challenges of family planning or barriers to FP service utilization?
18. What are the solutions and recommendations to improve family planning service utilization?

Note: Please summarize discussion and thank the participants for their contributions and time.
**Appendix IV: Focus group discussion in somali**

**Lifaaqa 2b: Wadahadalka Kooxda**

*Cinwaana:* Sababaha la xiriira ka faaideysiga qorsheynota qoyska ee dumarka dhalmada wada (15-49 sano jirka) ee Dagmada Mandheera

**Hordhac:**

- a. Baritaanka kooxda magaca xiriiriyaha FGD-ga, Rikoorka, iyo ururada ka qeybqaateyaasha
- b. Umahadnaq kooxda kasooqeybalkooda
- c. Shardiga qarsoodi ka dhigida xogta la aruurinaayo.
- d. Ujeedoyinka mashruucaan laga leeyahay waa in:

I. La ogaado heerka wacyiga qorsheynta qoyska ee dumarka dhalmada wada (15-49 sano jirka ah) ee Dagmada Mandheera inta uu gaarsiisan yahay.

II. La ogaado heerka ka faaideysiga adeegyada qorsheynta qoyska ee dumarka dhalmada wada (15-49 sano jirka ah) ee Dagmada Mandheera.

III. Qiimeyn lagu sameeyo sababaha la xiriira ka faaideysiga qorsehynta qoyska ee dumarka dhalmada wada ee (15-49 sano jirka ah) ee Dagmada Mandheera.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site وأcido حيأدأا</th>
<th>Taariikh وأcido حيأدأا</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>S/no</td>
<td>Magaca</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Occupation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1. 

2. 

3. 

4. 

5. 

---
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Suaalaha laga wada hadlayo

1. Waa kuwee dhibaatooyinka lala xiriirsho dadka badan ee bulshadaan?
2. Dagmadaan miyay jiraan dumar uur aan la doonayn qaada hadii la qabo iyo hadii kaleba?
3. Waa maxay waxyaabaha lama taabtaanka ah eel ala xiriirsho uurka bulshadaan dhexdeeda?
4. Sidee bulshadu u aragtaa adeegyada qorsheynqoyska ee laga helo dagmada?
5. Miyaa dumarku soo xaadiraan wacyigalinta looga hadlaayo adeegyada caafimaadka taranka ee dagmadiina sababtuna maxay tahay?
6. Waa maxay waxyaabaha uu ka kooban yahay adeegga caafimaadka hooyada iyo dhallaanka?
7. Maxaad ka fahamtta oraaqda Qorsheyन Foys?
8. Sheego tusaaleyaal ah adeegyo Qorsheyn Qoys oo ka jira dagmadaa?
9. Ilaa heerkee ayuu gaarsiisan yahay wacyigalinta Qorsheynta Qoyska ee deegaanka? 
10. Ka hadla sida dumarku inay ka fahamistaan adeegyada qorsheynta qoyska loogu guuleysto iyo sida ay u arkaan xubnaha bulshadaa?
11. Yay xubnaha bulshadaadu kala tashadaan suaalaha iyo walaaca ay ka qabaan qorsheynta qoyska?
12. Waa maxay hababka uurka looga hortago, hadiiba ay jiraan, ee dadku isga ilaaliyaan gaar ahaan uurka aan loo baahnayn?
13. Sidee xubnaha bulshadaadu isugu diiyariyaan xaaladaha dagdaga ah ee la xiriira uurka?
14. Sidee qoysasku isugu diyaariyaan marka haweeney ka mid ah ay uur leedahay/dhowr dumar ah oo ka tirsan ay uur yihii?
15. Maxay kula tahay in loo baahan yahay si loo joojiyo dhimashada hooyada marka ay dhalayso ama ay uurka tahay bulshadaada dhexdeeda?
16. Fikirkaaga markii loo eego, waa maxay fikirka dadka adeegyada caafimaadka siiya hooyooyinka doonaya adeegyada qorsheynta qoyska?
17. Waa maxay caqabadaha soo wajaha ama mixnadaahoo wajaha adeegyada qorsheynqoyska ka faiideysigooda?
18. Waa maxay xalalka iyo talooyinka lugu horumarin karoo kafaiideysiga adeegga qorsheynta qoyska?

Xasuusnow: Fadlan uga mahadnaq ka qeybqaateyaasha ka soo qeybqalkoodii iyo waqtigii ay kuu soo hureen dartood.
Appendix V: Key informant interview guide

Title: Factors associated with utilization of family planning among women of reproductive age (15-49 years) in Mandera County

Introduction: May I take this opportunity to welcome you to this interview which may take between 40-50 minutes. The essence of this session is to get your views regarding factors associated with the utilization of family planning services among women of reproductive age (15-49 years) in Mandera County. The main objective is to help us identify community awareness, utilization rate and factors associated with the utilization of family planning. Please note that there are no right or wrong responses or answers to the issues or questions below. Also feel free to give any contribution or response to any of the issues/questions at any time. Kindly do not feel offended if I interject or ask for any clarification or more information when you will be responding.

Date: ............................................................................................................

Name (optional) ...........................................................................................

Gender ...........................................................................................................

Age (in years) ................................................................................................

Position ...........................................................................................................

Probing Questions

Demographic factors affecting utilization of ANC.

1. What type of work do you do? (Self-employed/employed by someone else)?
2. What do you see as your role in the community? (What positions do you hold (formal and informal)?
3. What do you understand by family planning?
4. There are two types of family planning methods; the modern and the traditional. Could you kindly mention a few of these that you can recall?
5. What is the level of awareness of family planning in the county (give a score of 1 to 10? 1 means low knowledge while 10 high awareness)?
6. Do you think women in the county use these services?
7. How would you categorize the level of utilization (give a score of 1 to 10: 1 means low utilization levels while 10 high levels of utilization)?
8. In your opinion what are the demographic factors that affect utilization of family planning service in this County?
   Probe: - women’s education, husband’s education, parity, age of women at marriage or at pregnancy, marital status, religion, caste and ethnicity, family size, poverty and knowledge on FP.

**Social factors affecting utilization of ANC.**

1. Are there social factors that affect FP utilization in this community?
2. How do people view ANC services in this community?

**Cultural factors affecting utilization of ANC.**

1. Are there any traditional practices are practiced in this community?
2. What are the cultural challenges that affect FP service utilization in this community?

**Economic factors and ANC utilization**

1. Are there economic reasons that affect the utilization of FP services that you know off?
   Probe: cost of services, socio-economic status or income of the household, occupation of woman/husband and employment

**Knowledge**

1. What are the problems associated with pregnancy in this community?
2. How is the utilization of FP services by women, perceived by members of your community?
3. With whom do members of your community consult regarding questions and concerns about FP?
4. What are the awareness/knowledge challenges facing women regarding FP service utilization in the county?

**Attitude**

1. What are attitude or reaction or perceptions of women regarding FP utilization
2. Are there women attitudes or reaction or perceptions regarding FP that affect FP service utilization?

**Practices**

1. What are the general practices regarding FP utilization in this county?
2. Are the FP service providers in this county?
3. Kindly mention all sources that you know
4. What is the general trend of women regarding FP service seeking practice?
   Probe – what gestation, who decides,

**Health**

1. Do the staffs have adequate knowledge on FP
2. How is the accessibility of FP services?
3. Does the facility have guidelines on FP services?
4. What are the attitudes of the service providers on women seeking FP services?

Note: Please summarize the discussion and thank the participant for their contributions and time.
Appendix VI: Key informant interview guide in somali

Lifaaqa 3b: Tilmaamaha Wareysiga Lala Yeelanayo Qofka

Cinwaanka: Sababaha la xiriira sida dumarka dhamma wada ee jira (15-49 sano) ay uga faaideystaan qorsheynta qoyska dagmada Mandheera.

**Hordhac:** Fursadaan aan u isticmaalo inaan idinku soo dhaweeyo wareysigaan oo laga yaabo inuu qaato 40-50 daqiiqadood. Nuxurka xiisadaan waa in la helo aaraadiina ku aaddan sababaha la xiriira sida dumarka jira da’da dhamma ee ah (15-49 sano) ay uga faaideystaan adeegyada qorsheynta qoyska ee Dagmada Mandheera. Ujeedooinkyaa ugu weyn waa in uu naga caawiyada wacyigalinta bulshada, heerka ka faaideysiga iyo asbaabta la xiriirka la faaideysiga qorsheynta qoyska ee Dagmada Mandheera. Fadlan xasuusnow inaysan jirin jawaabo saxan iyo kuwo qaldan oo ay leeyihiin arimaha ama suaalaha hoos ku xusan. Sidoo kale waxaad wax ka oran kartaa ama ka jawaabi kartaa wixii arimo/ama suaalo ah waqtigii aad doonto. Fadlan ha ka xumaan hadii aan kaa dhxgalo ama aan faahfaahin ku weydiyo ama qeexitaan marka aad jawaabta bixinayo.

Taariikh: …………………………………………………………………………………………………………..

Magaca (waad ka tagi kartaa hadaad doonto) ……………………………………………………………

Lab ama dheddig ……………………………………………………………………………………………..

Da’da (sanado)……………………………………………………………………………………………………

Jagada………………………………………………………………………………………………………………

Suaaloloo Baaritaan ah
Sababaha kala duwanaanshaha dadka ee saameeya ka faaideysiga daryeelka la siiyo dumarka uurka leh.

1. Shaqo nooce ah ayaad qabataa? (Ganacsi/ama inaad qof kale u shaqeyso)?
2. Maxay kula tahay inuu yahay downka aad bulshada dhexdeeda ku leedahay? (Jagadee haysaa (rasmi ha ahaato ama yaysan ahaan)?
3. Maxaad ka fahantaa qorsheynta qoyska?
4. Waxaa jira laba hab oo ah qorsheynta qoyska; hab casri ah iyo hab soo jireen ah.
   Fadlan ma magacaabi kartaa dhowr ka mid ah oo aad xasuusato?
5. Intee gaarsiisan yahay heerka wacyiga kusaabsan qorsheynta qoyska ee dagmadaan (jawaabtaadu ha u dhexeyso 1 ilaa 10. 1 waa hooseeyaa halka 10 ay tahay waa rareeyaa)
6. Miyaad u malaynaysaa in dumarka dagmadaan ay isticmaalaha adeegyadaaas?
7. Darajo intee dhan ayaad siin lahayd heerka ka faaideysiga (jawaabtaadu ha u dhexeyso 1 ilaa 10. 1 waa hooseeyaa halka 10 ay tahay waa rareeyaa)
8. Fikirkaaga hadii aad ka dhibato waa maxay sababaha kala duwanaanshaha dadka ee saameeya ka faaideysiga adeegyada qorsheynta qoyska ee Dagmadaan?
   Baar: - waxbarashada dumarka, waxbarashada ninka, sinnaan, da’da dumarka lagu guursado ama ay urka ku qaadaan, xaalada guurka, diinta, dabaqada ama qabiilka, qoysku inta uu dhan yahay, faqriga iyo aqoonta loo leeyahay.

Sababaha bulsho ee saameeya ka faaideysiga daryeelka la siiyo dumarka dhalayaa

1. Miyay jiraan sababo bulsho oo saameeya ka faaideysiga qorsheynta qoyska ee bulshadaan?
2. Sidee dadku u arkaan adeegyada la siiyo dumarka dhalayaa ee bulshadaan?

Sababaha dhaqan ee saameeya daryeelka la siiyo dumarka dhalaya

1. **Miyay jiraan dhaqamo soo jireen ah oo bulshadaani ku dhaqanto?**
2. **Waa maxay caqabadaha dhaqanka ee saameeya kafaaiideysiga adeegga qorsheynta qoyska ee bulshadaan?**

Sababaha dhaqaale iyo kafaaiideysiga daryeelka la siiyo dumarka uurka leh

1. Miyay jiraan sababo dhaqaale oo aad og tahay oo saameeya ka faaideysiga adeegyada qorsheynta qoyska?
   Baar: qiimaha ay joogaan adeegyadu, xaalada dhaqan dhaqaale ama dakhliga qoyska, shaqada dumarka/ninka iyo shaqaaleynta
Aqoonta

1. Waa maxay dhibaatooyinka la xiriira uurka bulshadaan dhexdeeda?
2. Sidee xubnaha bulshadaadu u arkaan sida dumarku uga faaideysto adeegyada qorsheynta qoyska?
3. Yay xubnaha bulshadaadu kala tashadaan suaalaha iyo walaaca ay ka qabaan qorsheynta qoyska?
4. Waa maxay caqabadhaha aqoonta/wacyigalinta ee soo wajaha dumarka marka laga hadlayo dhanka ka faaideysiga adeegga qorsheynta qoyska ee dagmada?

Fikirka

1. Waa maxay fikirka ama ficil celinta ama waxyaabaha dumarku ka aaminsan yihii ka faaideysiga qorsheynta qoyska?
2. Miyay jiraan fikrado dumarku qabaan ama ficil celin ama fikarado ku saabsan ka faaideysiga adeegga qorsheynta qoyska?

Dhaqamada

1. Waa maxay dhaqamada guud ee kutaxaluqa sida dagmadaan dadku uga faaideystaan qorsheynta qoyska?
2. Miyaa adeeg bixiyeyaasha qorsheynta qoysku joogaan dagmadaan?
3. Fadlan sheeg dhammaan ilaha laga helo adeegyadaa aad taqaano
4. Waa maxay isbaddalka guud ee kuyimid dumarka ee kuaaddan adeegga dhaqanka ka faaideysiga qorsheynta qoyska
5. Kafaaiideysi
6. Baar – jiilka, cidda goaamisa

Caafimaadka

1. Miyaa shaqaaluhu aqoon fiican u leeyihiin qorsheynta qoyska?
2. Waa sidee helitaanka adeegyada qorsheynta qoyska?
3. Miyaa xaruntu leedahay tilmaameyaal kusaabsan adeegyada qorsheynta qoyska?
4. Waa maxay fikradaha adeegbixiyeyaasha ee ku saabsan dumarka doonaya adeegyada qorsheynta qoyska?

Xasuusnow: Fadlan umahadnaq ka qeybqaateyaasha ka soo qeybgalkoodii iyo waqtigii ay kuu soo hureen dartood.
Appendix VIII: Informed consent document for structured interviews

**Study Title:** Factors associated with the utilization of Family Planning amongst women of reproductive age 15-49 at Mandera County

**Institutions and Investigators:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Principle investigator</th>
<th>Institution</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Abdikadir Omar</td>
<td>Post graduate Student at Jomo Kenyatta University of Agriculture and Technology</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dr. Joseph Mutai</td>
<td>Kenya Medical Research Institute</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dr. Florence Kyallo</td>
<td>Jomo Kenyatta University of Agriculture and Technology</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Study Location:** The study will be conducted in all the six Sub-Counties, Mandera County.

**Purpose of the study:** The study aims to collect information on family planning availability, awareness, practices, attitudes and utilization amongst women of reproductive age 15-49 in Mandera County. You are being asked to participate in this face to face interview to gather information and would be grateful if you are willing to participate by answering questions from this study.

**Description of the study:** If you agree to participate in this study the following will occur:

i) You will sit with a trained interviewer and will be required to answer questions that have been prepared by means of face to face interviews on information regarding family planning. The interviewer will be recording your responses in a questionnaire.

ii) No identifying information such as name will be collected from you during this interview, except your age, level of education, marital status and your current occupation.

iii) You will be interviewed only once for approximately 20 minutes in a private setting.

**Risks:** There will be no risk in participating in this study.
Benefits: There is no immediate benefit to you individually. However, the results will be used to assist in formulating policies that may initiate improved access and utilization of family planning among women of reproductive age in your community. Your decision whether or not to participate in this study will not affect your current enrollment in any other study during your health care visit.

Confidentiality: All the information collected from you will be kept confidential. Only people working in this research study will have access to the information. We will ensure that any information included in our report does not identify you as a respondent.

Compensation/Reimbursement: There will be no compensation or reimbursement of time spent during the interview; however, your participation is highly appreciated. There is no monetary benefit for your participation in this study.

Time involvement: This study will be part of your routine visit for your maternal care and the general time involved during this visit may be extended subject to the length of the interview.

Record storage: The information collected from you both printed and recorded will be stored for a period of three years during the course of this study and thereafter destroyed.

Participation: Your participation in this study is completely voluntary. If you choose not to participate in the study or if you decide to stop participating in the study you will not get any harm. You can stop participating in this study at any time, even if you have already given your consent. Refusal to participate or withdrawal from the study will not involve loss of any benefits to which you are otherwise entitled. You will receive a copy of this signed consent form to take away with you.

Who to contact: If you have questions about this study, please don’t hesitate to contact: Abdikadir Omar, P.O Box 103122 - 00101 Nairobi, Mobile No. 0720-567425. For any questions pertaining to your rights as a research participant the contact person is: The secretary KEMRI Ethics Review Committee P.O Box 54840-00200, Nairobi. Tel 0202722541, 0722295901, 0733400003. E mail address ercadmin@kemri.org
Signature

I ................................................................. have read/understood the contents in this form. My questions have been answered. I agree to participate in this study.

Signature / thumbprint of Participant.................................................................

Signature of Interviewer.................................................................

Date .................................................................
Appendix I: Informed consent documents for structured questionnaire in somali

Lifaaqa 4b: Dukumiinti-daada weyn Wareysiga Laogolaaday

Cinwaanka Daraasada:
Sababaha lala xiriirsho ka faaideysiga qorsheynta qoyska ee dumarka da`da dhalmada kujira ee 15-49 sano jir ee Dagmada Mandheera.

Macaahiida iyo Cilmibaareyaasha:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cilmi baarahaa</th>
<th>Machadka</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cabdiqaadir Cumar</td>
<td>Arday Post graduate ah oo dhigta jaamacada Jomo Kenyatta University of Agriculture and Technology</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dr. Joseph Mutai</td>
<td>Kenya Medical Research Institute</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dr. Florence Kyallo</td>
<td>Jomo Kenyatta University of Agriculture and Technology</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Deegaanka Daraasadu Ka Dhaceyso
Daraasadu waxay ka dhacaysaa dhammaan dagmo-hoosaadyada lixda ah ee Dagmada Mandheera.

Qorshaha laga leeyahay daraasada
Daraasadu waxay diirada saareysaa in la soo ururiyo xog ku saabsan sababaha lala xiriirsho sida haweenka da`da dhalmada kujira ee 15-49 sano jirka ah ay uga faaideystaan Qorsheynta Qoyska ee Dagmada Mandheera. Waxaa lagaa dalbayaa inaad ka qeybqaadato daraasadaan waxaana ku faraxsanaan lahayn hadii aad ka qeybqaadan lahayd adigoo ka jawaabaya suuqaha daraasadaan.

Halista
Kaqebqadashadu Daraasadu halis malaaha.

Faaiidooyninka
Xogta aad bixinayso aad ayay muhiim u tahay oo u qiime badan tahay. Faa'idada la filaayo in laga helo daraasadaan waxaa ka mid ah in xogta aad na siinayso loo adeegsan doono in sare loogu qaado isticmaalka qorsheynta qoyska. Goankaaga ah inaad ka qeybqaadato ama aadan ka qeybqaadan daraasadaan saamayn kuma yeelanayo inaad iminka kujirto daraasad kale inta aad kujirto booqashada aad ku soo doonanayso daryeelka caafimaad.

Waxay wax ka tari doontaa sidii sare loogu qaadi lahaa fahamkeena ku saabsan heerka aqoonta, afkaarta iyo dhaqamada qorsheynta qoyska ee dumarka da’da dhalmada kujira ee 15-49 sano jira. Si kastaba ha ahaatee majirto faa’iido toos ah; ee natiijyoinka ka soo baxa ayaaxa loo isticmaali doonaa inay gacan ka geystaan qaabeynka siyaasadaha laga yaabo in lagu bilaabo helitaan iyo kafaaiideysi horumarsan oo ah qorsheyn qoys oo loogu tala galay haweenka bulshadiina.

**Waqtiga loo meel dhigayo**

Daraasadaan waxay qeyb ka ahaanaysaa hawlahaaga mar kasta oo aad u imaanayso daryeelka hooyooyinka la siiyoo oo waqtiga guud ee loo qoondeeyay booqashadaan waxaa laga yaabaa in la siyayn waxaad si ay haddii wareysiyo dheeraado.

**Qofka aad la xiriiri karto**

Hadii suaalo aad ka qabto xaquuqda aad leedahay maadaama aad tahay qof ka qeybqaadanaya daraasada, ama aadan kuqanacsanayn waqtigii ay ahaataba wax ka mid ah daraasadaan, durba la soo xiriir:

a) Cabdiqaadir Cumar oo laga helo sanduuqa boosto 103122 - 00101 Nairobi, Nambarka taleefanka 0720-567425

b) Wixii suaalo ah oo kusaabsan xaquuqda ka qeybqaataha cilmibaarista waxaad kala soo xiriireysaa:

Xoghayaha Guddiga Dibueegida Anshaxa ee KEMRI
Saxiixa


Saxiixa kaqeybqaataha......................................................

Magaca Wareysiqaadaha ......................................................

Saxiixa Wareysiqaadaha ......................................................

Taariikh .................................................................
Appendix IX: Informed consent for focus group discussions

**Study Title:** Factors Associated with the Utilization of Family Planning amongst women of reproductive age 15-49 at Mandera County

**Institutions and Investigators:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Principle investigator</th>
<th>Institution</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Abdikadir Omar</td>
<td>Post graduate Student at Jomo Kenyatta University of Agriculture and Technology</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dr. Joseph Mutai</td>
<td>Kenya Medical Research Institute</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dr. Florence Kyallo</td>
<td>Jomo Kenyatta University of Agriculture and Technology</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Study Location:** The study will be conducted in all the six Sub-Counties, Mandera County.

**Purpose of the study:** The study aims to collect information on family planning availability, awareness, practices, attitudes and utilization amongst women of reproductive age 15-49 in Mandera County. You are being asked to participate in these focus group discussions together with other women to gather information.

**Description of the study:** If you agree to participate in this study, we will invite you in a group of 12 other women in a central location where we will have a discussion lead by a trained interviewer. The discussions will be recorded and a trained note taker will be involved in taking summary of emerging issues. These discussions will last for approximately 60 minutes.

**Risks:** There will be no risk in participating in this discussion

**Benefits:** There is no immediate benefit to you individually. However; the results will be used to assist in formulating policies that may improve access and utilization of family planning among women of reproductive age in your community. Your decision whether or not to participate in this study will not affect your current enrollment in any other study during your health care visit.
Confidentiality: All the information collected from you will be kept confidential. Only people working in this research study will have access to the information. We will ensure that any information included in our report does not identify you as a respondent.

Compensation/Reimbursement: There will be no compensation or reimbursement of time spent during the interview; however, your participation is highly appreciated. There is no monetary benefit for your participation in this study.

Time involvement: This study will be part of your routine visit for your maternal care and the general time involved during this visit may be extended subject to the length of the interview.

Record storage: The information collected from you both printed and recorded will be stored for a period of three years during the course of this study and thereafter destroyed.

Participation: Your participation in this study is completely voluntary. If you choose not to participate in the study or if you decide to stop participating in the study you will not get any harm. You can stop participating in this study at any time, even if you have already given your consent. Refusal to participate or withdrawal from the study will not involve loss of any benefits to which you are otherwise entitled. You will receive a copy of this signed consent form to take away with you.

Who to contact: If you have questions about this study, please don’t hesitate to contact: Abdikadir Omar, P.O Box 103122 - 00101 Nairobi, Mobile No. 0720-567425. For any questions pertaining to your rights as a research participant the contact person is: The secretary KEMRI Ethics Review Committee P.O Box 54840-00200, Nairobi. Tel 0202722541, 0722295901, 0733400003. E mail address ercadmin@kemri.org

Signature
I ……………………………………………………………………. have read/understood the contents in this form. My questions have been answered. I agree to participate in this study.

Signature / thumbprint of Participant……………………………………………………
Signature of Interviewer…………………………………………………………
Date …………………………………………………
Appendix II: Informed consent for focus group discussions in somali

Lifaaqa 5b: Dukumiinta-daada weyn Wareysiga Laogolaaday

Cinwaanka Daraasada:
Sababaha lala xiriirsho ka faaideysiga qorsheynta qoyska ee dumarka da’da dhalmada kujira ee 15-49 sano jir ee Dagmada Mandheera.

Macaahiida iyo Cilmibaareyaasha:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cilmi baaraha</th>
<th>Machadka</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cabdiqaadir Cumar</td>
<td>Arday Post graduate ah oo dhigta jaamacada Jomo Kenyatta University of Agriculture and Technology</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dr. Joseph Mutai</td>
<td>Kenya Medical Research Institute</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dr. Florence Kyallo</td>
<td>Jomo Kenyatta University of Agriculture and Technology</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Deegaanka Daraasadu Ka Dhaceysa
Daraasadu waxay ka dhacaysaa dhammaan dagmo-hoosaadyada lixda ah ee Dagmada Mandheera.

Qorshaha laga leeyahay daraasada
Daraasadu waxay diirada saareysaa in la soo uruuriyo xog ku saabsan sababaha lala xiriirsho sida haweenka da’da dhalmada kujira ee 15-49 sano jirka ah ay uga faaideystaan Qorsheynta Qoyska ee Dagmada Mandheera. Waxaa lagaa dalbayaa inaad ka qeybqaadato daraasadaan waxaana ku faraxsanaan lahayn hadii aad ka qeybqaadan lahayd adigoo ka jawaabaya suaalaha daraasadaan.

Halista
Kaqebqadashadu Daraasadu halis malaaha.
Faauidooyinka
Xogta aad bixinayso aad ayay muhiim u tahay oo u qiime badan tahay. Faaiidada la filaayo in laga helo daraasadaan waxaa ka mid ah in xogta aad na siinayso loo adeegsan doono in sare loogu qaado isticmaalka qorsheyntha qoyska. Goankaaga ah in aad ka qeybqaadato ama aadan ka qeybqaadan daraasadaan saamayn kuma yeelanayo inaad iminka kuirrto daraasad kale inta aad kuirrto boqashada aad ku soo doonanayso daryeelka caafimaad.

Waxay wax ka tari doontaa sidii sare loogu qaadi lahaa fahamkeena ku saabsan heerka aqoonta, afkaarta iyo dhaqamada qorsheyntha qoyska ee dumarka da’dhaalmada kuijira ee 15-49 sano jira. Si kastaba ha ahaatee majirto faaideo toos ah; ee natiijooyinka ka soo baxa ayaa waxaa loo isticmaali doonaa inay gacan ka geystaan qaabeyntha siyaasadaha laga yaabo in lagu bilaabo helitaan iyo kafaaiideysii horumarso oo ah qorsheyn qoys oo loogu tala galay haweenka bulshadiina.

Waqtiga loo meel dhiigayo
Daraasadaan waxay qeyb ka ahaanaysaa hawlahaaga mar kasta oo aad u imaanayso daryeelka hooyooyinka la siiyo oo waqtiga guud ee loo qoondeeyay boqashadaan waxaa laga yaabaa in la sii dheereeyo hadii wareysigu dheeraado.

Qofka aad la xiriiri karto
Hadii suaalo aad ka qabto xaquqda aad leedahay maadaama aad tahay qof ka qeybqaadanaya daraasada, ama aadan kuqanacsanayn waqtigii ay ahaataba wax ka mid ah daraasadaan, durba la soo xiriir:

a) Cabdiqaadir Cumar oo laga helo sanduuqa boosto 103122 - 00101 Nairobi, Nambarka taleefanka 0720-567425

b) Wixii suaalo ah oo kusaabsan xaquqda ka qeybqaataha cilmibaarista waxaad kala soo xiriireysaa:
Xoghayaha Guddiga Dibueegida Anshaxa ee KEMRI

Sanduuqa boosto 54840-00200, Nairobi. Taleefanada 0202722541, 0722295901, 0733400003

E mail: ercadmin@kemri.org

Saxiixa


Saxiixa kaqeybqaataha......................................................

Magaca Wareysiqaadaha ..................................................

Saxiixa Wareysiqaadaha ..................................................

Taariikh .................................................................
Appendix III: Informed consent document for key informant interviews

Study Title: Factors associated with the utilization of family planning amongst women of reproductive age 15-49 at Mandera County

Institutions and Investigators:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Principle investigator</th>
<th>Institution</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Abdikadir Omar</td>
<td>Post graduate Student at Jomo Kenyatta University of Agriculture and Technology</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dr. Joseph Mutai</td>
<td>Kenya Medical Research Institute</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dr. Florence Kyallo</td>
<td>Jomo Kenyatta University of Agriculture and Technology</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Study Location: The study will be conducted in all the six Sub-Counties, Mandera County.

Purpose of the study: The study aims study is to collect information on family planning availability, awareness, practices, attitudes and utilization amongst women of reproductive age 15-49 in Mandera County among key persons with authority in this region. These key persons such as you will include men and women selected for their position of leadership, either formal or informal. The Key informants included health professionals, religious or tribal leaders, and experienced women in reproductive health well regarded in and from the community. You being one of these persons are being asked to participate in this face to face discussions to gather these information and clarify others that emerged during our initial focus group discussion and face to face interviews with participant in your location.

Description of the study: If you agree to participate in this study you will sit with a trained interviewer and will be required to participate in a discussion to answer questions that have been prepared by means of face to face interviews. Your response will be recorded using audio tapes as well as in form of summarized notes. None of your identifying information such as name will be collected from you during this interview,
except your age, level of education, marital status and your current occupation. This
discussion will last approximately 60 minutes in a private setting.

**Risks:** There will be no risk in participating in this study

**Benefits:** There is no immediate benefit to you individually. However; the results will
be used to assist in formulating policies that may initiate improved access and utilization
of family planning among women of reproductive age in your community. Your
decision whether or not to participate in this study will not affect your current
enrollment in any other study during your health care visit.

**Confidentiality:** All the information collected from you will be kept confidential. Only
people working in this research study will have access to the information. We will
ensure that any information included in our report does not identify you as a respondent.

**Compensation/Reimbursement:** There will be no compensation or reimbursement of
time spent during the interview; however your participation is highly appreciated. There
is no monetary benefit for your participation in this study.

**Time involvement:** This study will be part of your routine visit for your maternal care
and the general time involved during this visit may be extended subject to the length of
the interview.

**Record storage:** The information collected from you both printed and recorded will be
stored for a period of three years during the course of this study and thereafter destroyed.

**Participation:** Your participation in this study is completely voluntary. If you choose
not to participate in the study or if you decide to stop participating in the study you will
not get any harm. You can stop participating in this study at any time, even if you have
already given your consent. Refusal to participate or withdrawal from the study will not
involve loss of any benefits to which you are otherwise entitled. You will receive a copy
of this signed consent form to take away with you.

**Who to contact:** If you have questions about this study, please don’t hesitate to contact:
Abdikadir Omar, P.O Box 103122 - 00101 Nairobi, Mobile No. 0720-567425. For any
questions pertaining to your rights as a research participant the contact person is: The
secretary KEMRI Ethics Review Committee P.O Box 54840-00200, Nairobi. Tel 0202722541, 0722295901, 0733400003. E mail address ercadmin@kemri.org

**Signature**

I ……………………………………………………… have read/understood the contents in this form. My questions have been answered. I agree to participate in this study.

Signature / thumbprint of Participant………………………………………………

Signature of Interviewer…………………………………………………………

Date …………………………………………………
Appendix IV: Informed consent document for key informant interviews in somali

Lifaaqa 6b: Dukumiinti-daada weyn Wareysiga Laogolaaday

Cinwaanka Daraasada:
Sababaha lala xiriirsho ka faaideysiga qorsheynta qoyska ee dumarka da`da dhaltada kujira ee 15-49 sano jir ee Dagmada Mandheera.

Macaahiida iyo Cilmibaareyaasha:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cilmi baaraha</th>
<th>Machadka</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cabdiqaadir Cumar</td>
<td>Arday Post graduate ah oo dhigta jaamacada Jomo Kenyatta University of</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Agriculture and Technology</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dr. Joseph Mutai</td>
<td>Kenya Medical Research Institute</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dr. Florence Kyallo</td>
<td>Jomo Kenyatta University of Agriculture and Technology</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Deegaanka Daraasadu Ka Dhaceyso
Daraasadu waxay ka dhacaysaa dhammaan dagmo-hoosaadyada lixda ah ee Dagmada Mandheera.

Qorshaha laga leeyahay daraasada
Daraasadu waxay diirada saareysaa in la soo uruuriyo xog ku saabsan sababaha lala xiriirsho sida haweenka da`da dhaltada kujira ee 15-49 sano jirka ah ay uga faaideystaan Qorsheynta Qoyska ee Dagmada Mandheera. Waxaa lagaa dalbayaa inaad ka qeybqaadato daraasadaan waxaana ku faraxsanaan lahayn hadii aad ka qeybqaadan lahayd adigoo ka jawaabaya suaalaha daraasadaan.

Halista
Kaqebqadashadu Daraasadu halis malaaha.
**Faaaidooyinka**

Xogta aad bixinayso aad ayay muhiim u tahay oo u qiime badan tahay. Faaiidada la filaayo in laga helo daraasadaan waxaa ka mid ah in xogta aad na siinayso loo adeegsan doono in sare loogu qaado isticmaalka qorsheynata qoyska.. Gaankaaga ah in aad ka qeybqaadato ama aadan ka qeybqaadan daraasadaan saamayn kuma yeelanayo inaad iminka kuirjro daraasad kale inta aad kuirjro boqashada aad ku soo doonanayso daryeelka caafimaad.

Waxay wax ka tari doontaa sidii sare loogu qaadi lahaa fahamkeena ku saabsan heerka aqoonta, afkaarta iyo dhaqamada qorsheynata qoyska ee dumarka da’da dhalmada kujira ee 15-49 sano jira. Si kastaba ha ahaatee majirto faaideedto toos ah; ee natiijiooyinka ka soo baxa ayaa waxaa loo isticmaali doonaa inay gacan ka geystaan qaabeynta siyaasadaha laga yaabo in lagu bilaabo helitaan iyo kafaaiideyeysi horumarsan oo ah qorsheyn qoys oo loogu tala galay haweenka bulshadiina.

**Waqtiiga loo meel dhigayo**

Daraasadaan waxay qeyb ka ahaanaysaa hawlahaaga mar kasta oo aad u imaanayso daryeelka hooyooyinka la siiyo oo waqtiiga guud ee loo qoondeeyay boqashadaan waxaa laga yaabaa in la sii dheereeyo hadii wareysigu dheeraado.

**Qofka aad la xiriiri karto**

Haddii sualo aad ka qabto xaququnda aad leedahay maadaama aad tahay qof ka qeybqaadanaya daraasada, ama aadan kuqaansanayn waqtigiis ay ahaataba wax ka mid ah daraasadaan, durba la soo xiriir:

a) Cabdiqaadir Cumar oo laga helo sanduuqa boosto 103122 - 00101 Nairobi, Nambarka taleefanka 0720-567425

b) Wixii sualo ah oo kusaabsan xaququnda ka qeybqaataha cilmibaarista waxaad kala soo xiriireysaa:
Xoghayaha Guddiga Dibueegida Anshaxa ee KEMRI

Sanduuqa boosto 54840-00200, Nairobi. Taleefanada 0202722541, 0722295901, 0733400003

E mail: ercadmin@kemri.org

Saxiixa


Saxiixa kaqeybqaataha...........................................

Magaca Wareysiqaadaha ...........................................

Saxiixa Wareysiqaadaha ...........................................

Taariikh .............................................................
Appendix V: Assent form for participant between 15-18 years (structured interview)

Your daughter / spouse aged below 18 years is being invited to participate in a research study about family planning. This research is being conducted by Mr. Abdikadir Omar, a post-graduate student from Jomo Kenyatta University. The study intends to ask her questions about her personal particulars and information regarding her utilization of family planning services in Mandera County. The interview will take you about 20 minutes. It is unlikely that any form of injury could happen to her as a result of her being in this study. All study records will be maintained in a secured location. There will be no direct monetary benefit for her participation in this study however the results will be used to assist in formulating policies that may initiate improved access to family planning services among your community.

All her responses given will be kept confidential and will only be shared with research team members. We will ensure that any information included in our report does not identify her as a respondent. If you have read this form and have decided to allow your daughter/spouse to participate in this project, please understand her participation is voluntary and she has the right to withdraw your consent or discontinue participating at any time without penalty. She has the right to refuse to answer particular questions. Her individual privacy will be maintained in all published and written data resulting from the study.

Who to contact: If you have questions about this study, please don’t hesitate to contact: Abdikadir Omar, P.O Box 103122 - 00101 Nairobi, Mobile No. 0720-567425. For any further questions pertaining to her rights as a research participant the contact person is: The secretary KEMRI Ethics Review Committee P.O Box 54840-00200, Nairobi. Tel 0202722541, 0722295901, 0733400003. E mail address ercadmin@kemri.org
Signature

I ...........................................................................................................(Being the parent, guardian or spouse) of .................................I have read/understood the contents in this form. My questions have been answered. I agree to allow her participate in this study.
Signature / thumbprint of Participant.........................................................
Signature of Interviewer..........................................................................
Date .................................................................
Appendix VI: Assent form for participant between 15-18 years in somali

Lifaaqa 7B: Ogolaanshaha Dadka Da’da Yar ee Kayar 15-18 Sano Jir

Waxaa lagu soo dhaiweynayaa inaad ka qeybqaadato cilmi baaris ku saabsan qorsheynanta qoyska. Cilmi baaristaan waxaa samaynaya Mr. Cabdiqaadir Cumar, oo ah arday "post-graduate" ah oo ka socda jaamacada Jomo Kenyatta University. Waxaa lagu weydiin doonaa suuqada adiga kugu saabsan iyo inaad bixiso xog kusaabsan sida aad uga faaideysato adeegyada qorsheynanta qoyska ee Dagmada Mandheera. Wareysigu wuxuu qaadan doonaa qiyaas ahaan 30 daqiiqo. Ma dhaceyso in wax dhibaato ah ay kaa soo gaarto ka qeybqaadashada daraasadaan. Dhammaan qoraalada daraasada waxaa la dhiig doonaa meel ammaan ah. Ma jirto lacag lagu qaadanayo ka qeybqaadashada daraasadaan laakiin si kastaba ha ahaatee natiijooyinka ka soo baxa ayaa waxaa loo isticmaali doonaa inay gacan ka geystaan qaabeynta siyaasadaha laga yaabo in lagu bilaabo helitaan iyo kafaaiideysi horumarsan oo ah qorsheyn qoys oo loogu tala galay haweenka bulshadiina.

Dhammaan jawaabaha waxaa laga dhigayaa qarsoodi wakaana la tusinayaa xubnaha kooxda cilmi baarista oo kaliya. Waxaanu xaqiijin doonaa in wixii xog ah oo lagu soo daro warbixinteena aysan muujinayn in adigu aad jawaabo bixisay.

Haddii aad foomkaan aqrisay aadan goaansatay inaad ka qeybqaadato mashruucaan, fadlan faham in ka qeybqaadashadaadu tahay iskaa wax u qabso aadna xaq u leedahay inaad ogolaanshahaagii dib uga laabato ama ka qeybqaadashada aadan sii wadin waqtigii aad doonto ayadoon wax ciqaab ah jirin. Waxaad xaq u leedahay inaad diido inaad ka jawaabto suuqadaa gar ah. Magacaaga laguma xusu doono macluumaadka la daabacayo ama la qoray ee daraasadaan.
Qofka aad la xiriiri karto

Hadii suaalo aad ka qabto xaquuqda aad leedahay maadaama aad tahay qof ka qeybqaadanaya daraasada, ama aadan kuqanacsanayn waqtigii ay ahaataba wax ka mid ah daraasadaan, durba la soo xiriir:

a) Cabdiqaadir Cumar oo laga helo sanduuqa boosto 103122 - 00101 Nairobi, Nambarka taleefanka gacanta. 0720-567425

b) Wixii suaalo ah oo kusaabsan xaquuqda ka qeybqaataha cilmibaarista waxaad kala soo xiriireysaa:

xoghayaha Guddiga Dibueegida Anshaxa ee KEMRI

Sanduuqa boosto  54840-00200, Nairobi. Taleefanada  0202722541, 0722295901, 0733400003

E mail: ercadmin@kemri.org

Saxiixa

Anigo ah .......................................................... waan aqriyay/fahmay waxa ku qoran foomaan. Suaalhaygii waa laga jawaabay. Waan aqbalay inaan ka qeybqato daraasadaan.

Saxiixa kaqeybqaataha..............................................

Saxiixa Wareysiqaadaha ........................................

Taariikh ............................................................
Appendix VII: Assent form for participant between 15-18 years (focus group discussions)

Your daughter / spouse aged below 18 years is being invited to participate in a research study about family planning. This research is being conducted by Mr. Abdikadir Omar, a post-graduate student from Jomo Kenyatta University. The study intends to ask her to participate in a group discussion together with others about issues regarding women utilization of family planning services in Mandera County. The discussions will take you about 60 minutes. These discussions will be recorded as well as a trained note taker will summarize key emerging issues in form of notes. It is unlikely that any form of injury could happen to her as a result of her being in this study. All study records will be maintained in a secured location. There will be no direct monetary benefit for her participation in this study however the results will be used to assist in formulating policies that may initiate improved access to family planning services among your community.

The responses obtained from this discussion will be kept confidential and will only be shared with research team members. We will ensure that any information included in our report does not identify her as a respondent. If you have read this form and have decided to allow your daughter, spouse to participate in this project, please understand her participation is voluntary and she has the right to withdraw your consent or discontinue participating at any time without penalty. She has the right to refuse to answer particular questions. Her individual privacy will be maintained in all published and written data resulting from the study.

Who to contact: If you have questions about this study, please don’t hesitate to contact: Abdikadir Omar, P.O Box 103122 - 00101 Nairobi, Mobile No. 0720-567425. For any further questions pertaining to her rights as a research participant the contact person is: The secretary KEMRI Ethics Review Committee P.O Box 54840-00200, Nairobi. Tel 0202722541, 0722295901, 0733400003. E mail address ercadmin@kemri.org
Signature

I ...................................................(Being the parent, guardian or spouse) of ........................I have read/understood the contents in this form. My questions have been answered. I agree to allow her participate in this study.

Signature / thumbprint of Participant.................................................................

Signature of Interviewer.............................................................

Date .................................................................
Appendix VIII: Assent form for participant between 15-18 years (focus group discussions) in somali

Lifaaqa 8B: Ogolaanshaha Dadka Da’da Yar ee Kayar 15-18 Sano Jir

Waxaa laguugu soo dhoweynayaa inaad ka qeybqaadato cilmi baaris ku saabsan qorsheynta qoyska. Cilmi baaristaan waxaa samaynaya Mr. Cabdiqaadir Cumar, oo ah arday post-graduate ah oo ka socda jaamacada Jomo Kenyatta University. Waxaa lagu weydiin doonaa sualo adiga kugu saabsan iyo inaad bixiso xog kusaabsan sida aad uga faaideysato adeegyada qorsheynta qoyska ee Dargama Mandheera. Wareysigu wuxuu qadan doonaa qiyaa ahaan 30 daqiiqo. Ma dhaceyso in wax dhibaato ah ay kaa soo gaarto ka qeybqaadashada daraasadaan. Dhammaan qoraalada daraasada waxaa la higii doonaa meel ammaan ah. Ma jirto lacag lagu qadanayo ka qeybqaadashada daraasadaan laakiin si kastaba ha ahaatee natiijooyinka ka soo baxa ayaa waxaa loo isticmaali doonaa inay gacan ka geystaan qaabeentay siyaasadaha laga yaabo in lagu bilaabo helitaan iyo kafaaiideysi horumarsan oo ah qorsheyn qoys oo loogu tala galay haweenka bulshadiina.

Dhammaan jawaabaha waxaa lagu dhigayaa qarsoodi waxaana la tusinaya xubnaha kooxda cilmi baarista oo kaliya. Waxaanu xaqiijin doonaa in wixii xog ah oo lagu soo daro warbixinteena aysan muujinayn in adigu aad jawaabo bixisay.

Hadii aad foomkaan aqrisay aadna goaansatay inaad ka qeybqaadato mashruucaan, fadlan faham in ka qeybqaadashadaadu tahay iskaa wax u qabsan aad xaq u leedahay inaad ogolaanshahaagii dib uga laabato ama ka qeybqaadashada aan ugu wadinta waa meel aad doonto ayadoon wax ciqaab ah jirin. Waxaad xaq u leedahay inaad diido inaad ka jawaabto suaalo gaar ah. Magacaaga laguma xuso doono macluumaadka la daabacayo ama la qorayo ee daraasadaan.
Qofka aad la xiriiri karto

Haddii suuqo aad ka qabto xaquuqda aad leedahay maadaama aad tahay qof ka qeybqaadanaya daraasada, ama aadan kuqanacsanayn waqtigii ay ahaataba wax ka mid ah daraasadaan, durba la soo xiriir:

a) Cabdiqaadir Cumar oo laga helo sanduuqa boosto 103122 - 00101 Nairobi, Nambarka taleefanka gacanta. 0720-567425

b) Wixii suuqo ah oo kusaabsan xaquuqda ka qeybqaataha cilmibaarista waxaad kala soo xiriireysaa:

xoghayaha Guddiga Dibueegida Anshaxa ee KEMRI

Sanduuqa boosto 54840-00200, Nairobi. Taleefanada 0202722541, 0722295901, 0733400003

E mail: ercadmin@kemri.org

Saxiixa


Saxiixa kaqeybqaataha ..................................................

Saxiixa Wareysiqaadaha ..............................................

Taariikh .................................................................
Appendix IX: Map of Mandera county

Source: CCK
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Family planning utilization and correlates; perspective of women aged 15-49 years from Mandera County of North Eastern Kenya
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ABSTRACT
Background: Unmet need for modern family planning methods is an important health issue for women. The purpose of this study was to evaluate family planning awareness, utilization and associated factors among women aged 15-49 years from Mandera County, an arid part of North Eastern Kenya.

Methods: This cross sectional study randomly enrolled 117 eligible women from April to September 2015. Data was collected using structured questionnaire, key informant interviews (KII) and focused group discussions (FGD) guides. Up to 36 FGDs were conducted among women in health, leadership, education and religious sectors. 12 KIIIs among influential and knowledgeable members of the county were also conducted to gather qualitative data. STATA version 11 was used for qualitative data analysis. The thematic content analysis was used to analyze qualitative data.

Results: The mean age of the 117 women who responded was 29.9 (SD= 9.8) years. About 79.5% of these 117 women were aware of contraceptive and family planning methods mainly through family and friends (52.1%). Of the 41.9% who reported using family planning (FP) methods, 26.5% used condoms. In multivariate analysis, women who were from either the Northern (OR 4.3, 95% CI 1.1 to 18.2), Southern (OR 7.5, 95% CI 1.7 to 33.4) or eastern of Madera County (OR 4.7, 95% CI 1.1 to 20.8); had either secondary (OR 11.1, 95% CI 2.7 to 46.1) or tertiary (OR 11.9, 95% CI 2.2 to 59.9) level of education, were employed (OR 4.3, 95% CI 1.2 to 19.1); used either condoms (OR 5.7, 95% CI 1.3 to 24.5) or hormonal family planning methods (OR 5.8, 95% CI 1.4 to 25.2) were independently associated with utilization of FP. The FGD and KII confirms the low level of utilization of FP. These discussions identified location of origin, awareness, income, employment, religion and cultural practices as some of the factors limiting the utilization of FP.

Conclusion: Remarkably, a high proportion of women from Mandera County; an arid, region in the North Eastern Kenya, were aware and embraced FP. If deterrents such as socio-cultural, lack of education and awareness are tackled, this region is poised to record one of the highest uptake of modern family planning methods in Kenya.

Keywords: Family Planning; Utilization; Women of Reproductive Age.

INTRODUCTION
Globally, improved utilization of family planning contributes in achieving the 5th Universal Sustainable Development Goal (USDG), which focuses on achieving gender equality and empowering all women and girls by 2030 (ICSU, ISSC, 2015). Fostering family planning has been associated with acceleration of socio-economic development, promotion of gender equality, and decreased maternal and infant mortality (UNFPA & PATH, 2008). The past five decades have been marked by significant decrease in fertility rates in Asia, Latin America and North Africa. Sub-Saharan Africa, however, has not experienced the same rapid trend, and today, the region still has total fertility rates (TFR) of around five births per woman (Bongaarts, 2011). Kenya, like many developing economies, is characterized by exponential population growth. This is partly attributed to high fertility and birth rates, steady decline in death rates, low contraceptive utilization rate and high but declining mortality rate (Oyedokun, 2007; Lawoyin et al., 2007; Cleland et al., 2012; Population Reference Bureau, 2013). High population growth rate has been an impediment in the reduction of child mortality, improvement of maternal health, achievement of universal primary education, environmental sustainability and combating HIV and AIDS and other diseases as part of the Millennium Development Goals (MDGs) (Health Policy Initiatives,
In response, Kenya concentrated on birth control measures using family planning (FP) services which enable couples to determine whether, when, and how often to have children (USAID, 2011). FP has a profound effect in controlling population growth. At the micro level, FP contributes critically to birth spacing and controlling family size. Some forms of FP also play the dual role of controlling family size and protection against sexually transmitted diseases (STDs) (Mayo, 2004; Asimwa et al., 2013). Other benefits include improved maternal and child health, reduced cases of induced abortion and improved household welfare. At the macro level, the benefits of a well-controlled population growth include improved infrastructure and reduced burden on national budgets (USAID, 2011).

Since the Kenya Government incorporated FP into the country’s overall development policy in 1965, FP use increased from 18% (1987) to 58% (2014) with a decline in the fertility rates from 8.1 children per woman in 1977 to 3.9 in 2014 (Kenya Demographic and Health Survey, 2014). However, this increase has not been matched with a reduction in the unmet need for FP which has stalled at around 25% and is highest among the less privileged women and those in rural areas (Ojaka, 2008). The fertility rate in Kenya is lowest in Nairobi County (2.7 children per woman) and highest in North Eastern region (where Mandera county is located) (6.4 children per woman) (Kenya Demographic and Health Survey, 2014). Challenges facing reproductive health in the Mandera County include, but are not limited to accessibility to FP services, inadequate health personnel, lack of youth friendly clinics, high incidence of female genital mutilation (FGM), reluctance to accept modern FP methods and lack of sufficient education (National Coordination Agency for Population and Development Ministry of Planning and National Development, 2005). The sustained increase in the use of FP services among women aged between 15 and 49 years, is a major factor in fertility transition, providing women and couples with the means to help them plan pregnancies (Campbell et al., 2006; Republic of Kenya, 2007; USAID/HPI, 2009).

With the 2013 devolution of political power and economic resources from the Central government to the Country’s 47 Counties, Mandera County is ranked among the top 3 among Counties receiving the largest share of budgetary allocation. In the 2014/2015 financial year (FY) Mandera County received KES 7.8 billion (about USD 78 million) which was increased to KES 8.9 billion (about USD 87 million) in the 2015/2016 FY, representing 3.5% of Kenya total revenue collection (Republic of Kenya, 2015). The World Bank, Danish International Development Agency (DANIDA) among other agencies contribute significantly to Mandera County’s health needs. All these are allocated to mitigate the health challenges in Mandera county; upgrade of existing hospitals and construction of others, increase supplies of both pharmaceutical and non-pharmaceutical items, increased health personnel, enable free maternal health care, public health education campaign, improve public education, service delivery, restore public confidence in public health facilities and improve service utilization (Mandera County Government, 2015). This study sought to evaluate the utilization of FP services amongst women of reproductive age in Mandera County.

METHODS
Study design and Settings
This cross sectional study conducted from April 2015 to September 2015, recruited consenting women of reproductive age (15-49 years) living in Mandera County for the last two years. Formula for estimating the population proportion with specified relative precision described by Lemeshow et al. (1990), was used to determine the number of participants recruited in the study. Setting α at 0.05, and a FP utilization rate of 4% in the Northern region (Kenya National Bureau of Statistics (KNBS) and ICF Macro, 2009), a total of 117 women were recruited to achieve 0.90 power. Of the 117 women, 16 were recruited from Mandera North, 18 from Mandera South, 18 from Mandera East, 20 from Mandera West, 23 from Banisa and 22 from Lafey.

Data Collection
A total of 36 focus group discussions (FGD) were conducted to explore further the levels of FP service awareness, utilization and associated factors in this region. Randomly six women (two aged 15 – 25 years; two aged 26 – 36 years and two elders 37 – 49 years) of reproductive age from each of the six Sub-Counties were consented and enrolled. These persons were invited to participate in a FGD on a fixed time and date at a convenient location to them in each sub county. Up to 6 FGDs (depending on saturation point of the issues being probed) were carried out in groups of 12 individuals, each group having been selected to reflect the age groups above. A female moderator was trained to help in conducting these discussions in the preferred language of the group, provided the moderator and note taker were fluent in the language. A guide was used for all FGDs, with appropriate modification for different age groups. The discussions lasted approximately 45 minutes.

Key informant interviews (KII) were conducted to confirm and clarify any pending or new issues described in the structured questionnaires and FGDs. Key informant interviews have been shown to provide a valuable
Table 1: Baseline characteristics of study population (n = 117)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Unit</th>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gravidity</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pregnant</td>
<td></td>
<td>19</td>
<td>16.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lactating</td>
<td></td>
<td>28</td>
<td>23.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pregnant and lactating</td>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not pregnant and lactating</td>
<td></td>
<td>66</td>
<td>56.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean (± SD)</td>
<td></td>
<td>29.9 (± 9.8)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median (IQR)</td>
<td></td>
<td>29 (21-38)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (Years)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15-20</td>
<td></td>
<td>27</td>
<td>23.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21-30</td>
<td></td>
<td>33</td>
<td>28.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31-40</td>
<td></td>
<td>39</td>
<td>33.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥41</td>
<td></td>
<td>18</td>
<td>15.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education level</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary</td>
<td></td>
<td>23</td>
<td>19.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Secondary</td>
<td></td>
<td>26</td>
<td>22.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tertiary</td>
<td></td>
<td>26</td>
<td>22.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-Formal</td>
<td></td>
<td>42</td>
<td>35.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marital status</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Single</td>
<td></td>
<td>23</td>
<td>19.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Married</td>
<td></td>
<td>69</td>
<td>59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Divorced/Widowed</td>
<td></td>
<td>25</td>
<td>21.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Religion</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Christian</td>
<td></td>
<td>16</td>
<td>13.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Muslim</td>
<td></td>
<td>101</td>
<td>86.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Occupation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employed</td>
<td></td>
<td>23</td>
<td>19.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Self employed</td>
<td></td>
<td>36</td>
<td>30.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unemployed</td>
<td></td>
<td>58</td>
<td>49.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean (± SD)</td>
<td></td>
<td>20331.5 (±22953.2)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monthly Income (KES)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>None</td>
<td></td>
<td>45</td>
<td>38.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≤20000</td>
<td></td>
<td>50</td>
<td>42.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;20000</td>
<td></td>
<td>22</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Household Headship</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Husband</td>
<td></td>
<td>72</td>
<td>61.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Respondent's Mother</td>
<td></td>
<td>16</td>
<td>13.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Others</td>
<td></td>
<td>29</td>
<td>24.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Household population</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean (± SD)</td>
<td></td>
<td>5.22 (±2.5)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median (IQR)</td>
<td></td>
<td>5 (4-7)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≤4</td>
<td></td>
<td>50</td>
<td>42.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;4</td>
<td></td>
<td>67</td>
<td>57.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parity</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nulliparous</td>
<td></td>
<td>16</td>
<td>13.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-3</td>
<td></td>
<td>47</td>
<td>40.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥4</td>
<td></td>
<td>54</td>
<td>46.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Children Alive</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>None</td>
<td></td>
<td>19</td>
<td>16.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-3</td>
<td></td>
<td>50</td>
<td>42.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥4</td>
<td></td>
<td>48</td>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SD - Standard Deviation;  IQR - Interquartile range; KES- Kenya Shillings
Awareness of family planning and contraceptive methods
As presented in Table 2, the majority of the study participants (79.5%) were aware of contraceptive and family planning. Slightly over half (52.1%) of participants first heard about reproductive health and contraception from family and friends. About three quarters (74.4%) of them were aware of hormonal (Pills/Intrauterine Device-IUD/Injectable) method of contraceptive. More than half (56.4%) were not aware of the emergency contraceptive methods. Over two thirds (67.5%) of the participants preferred to have their first child before the age of 21 years. 73.5% of them preferred having below two years of spacing between children. Nearly half of the participants (46.2%) had no idea of the cost of family planning services. 60.7% of the participants said it was not common in the society to discuss FP issues with unmarried girls. Nearly half (49.5%) of them avoided or never discussed FP with their husbands/partners.

Table 2: Family planning awareness among study population

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Unit</th>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Awareness of contraceptive and family planning (FP)</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>79.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>20.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>First knowledge on FP</td>
<td>Family and Friends</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>52.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Media</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>School</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>15.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Health care/Professional</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>18.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Not stated</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>10.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Known methods of FP</td>
<td>Natural (Calendar/Withdrawal)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Barrier (Condoms)</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Hormonal (Pills/IUD/Injectable)</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>74.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>None</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Awareness of emergency contraceptive methods</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>43.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>56.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age of first child</td>
<td>Between 15-18</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>26.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Between 18-21</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Between 22-24</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>23.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Between 25-27</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>8.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ideal age of child spacing</td>
<td>One year</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>26.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>One to two years</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Three to five years</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>23.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Five years or more</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cost of FP</td>
<td>Affordable</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>43.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Expensive</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Free</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No idea</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>46.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Attitude of unmarried girls about FP</td>
<td>Not common in the society to discuss</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>60.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Shameful to discuss</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>26.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Commonly discussed</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>9.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Never thought about this before</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Attitude when discussing with husband/partner about FP</td>
<td>Embarrassing to discuss</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>12.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Enjoy discussing</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>37.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Avoid or never discuss</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>49.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Utilization and attributes of family planning methods

As shown in Table 3, 41.9% of the respondents were using contraceptive and modern family planning methods; with 32.5% of these participants were themselves users of these family planning methods. About 26.5% were using condoms, 12% were using the hormonal method, 3.4% were using Natural method. Majority (62.4%) of the participants believed that FP services can be obtained only at the health facilities, with about 35% ranking the quality of FP services as good. About two thirds (67.5%) of the participants lived more than 5 kilometers from the family planning service providers, and 53% identified cultural issues as the major hindrance to women seeking reproductive health services.

Table 3: Utilization and attributes of family planning methods

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Unit</th>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Utilization of FP</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>41.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>58.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Who uses contraceptives</td>
<td>Self</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>32.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>husband</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>9.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>None</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>58.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FP methods used</td>
<td>Natural (Calendar/Withdrawal)</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Barrier (Condoms)</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>26.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Hormonal (Pills/Injectable)</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>None</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>58.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Provider of FP</td>
<td>Health facility</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>62.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Work Place</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>7.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Other sources</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>9.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>None</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>20.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quality of FP</td>
<td>Best</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>7.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Better</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>16.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Fair</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>14.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>26.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distance to FP provider</td>
<td>Mean (± SD) (KM)</td>
<td>6.75</td>
<td>(7.734)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Median (IQR) (Km)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>(1-10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Range (Km)</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>(1-40)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&gt;5 KM</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>67.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&lt; 5.1 KM</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>32.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barrier to utilization of FP</td>
<td>Unaware of provider</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>30.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Expensive/Costly</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Distance</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>8.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cultural/Shame issues</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Poor provider attitude</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Factors associated with utilization of FP services

Table 4 summarizes the socio-demographic factors associated with utilization of family planning services. In the bivariate analysis, participants who were more likely to utilize the family planning services were those from Mandera North (OR 3.3, 95% CI 1.2 - 9.4); those who had either secondary (OR 4.8, 95% CI 1.8 - 13.3) or tertiary (OR 7.4, 95% CI 2.8 - 19.5) level of education; those that were Christians (OR 2.3, 95% CI 1.6 - 6.1); or employed (OR 3.2, 95% CI 1.6 - 6.1). On the other hand, participants who had non monthly income (OR 0.3, 95% CI 0.1 - 0.6) and those with less than 10,000 KES monthly income (OR 0.5, 95% CI 0.2 - 0.9) were less likely to utilize family planning services.

In multivariate analysis, after adjusting for region, gravidity, age, education level, marital status, religion, occupation, monthly income, household headship and population, parity and number of children alive, participants who were from Mandera North (OR 4.3, 95% CI 1.1 - 18.2), Mandera South (OR 7.5, 95% CI 1.7 - 33.4), Mandera East (OR 4.7, 95% CI 1.1 - 20.8); those with secondary (OR 11.1, 95% CI 2.7 - 46.1) and tertiary
level of education (OR 11.9, 95% CI 2.6 - 55.2) as well as those who were employed (OR 4.3, 95% CI 1.2 - 19.1) remained associated with utilization of family planning services.

In Table 5, participants who were more likely to utilize the family planning services were those who were aware of family planning services (OR 6.1, 95% CI 1.5 - 24.9), those whose first knowledge about family planning was either at school (OR 7.9, 95% CI 1.1 - 61.5) or health care workers (OR 7.6, 95% CI 1.0 - 58.1); those who had heard hormonal (Pills/IUD/Injectable) as method of contraceptive (OR 7.1, 95% CI 1.1 - 51.4); Participants who were aware of emergency contraceptive methods (OR 3.2, 95% CI 1.7 - 6.1). Those who stated that the cost of family planning services were either affordable (OR 4.8, 95% CI 2.2 - 10.3) or expensive (OR 3.8, 95% CI 1.2 - 12.8); Participants whose partners had positive attitude or enjoyed discussing reproductive health and family planning (OR 3.1, 95% CI 1.7 - 5.8); Participants who used female FP methods (OR 7.4, 95% CI 3.4 - 15.9) or their husbands (male FP methods) (OR 6.2, 95% CI 2.3 - 16.5; those who were using the natural (OR 6.9, 95% CI 1.4 - 35) or barriers (OR 12.9, 95% CI 4.9 - 33.4) or hormonal (OR 13.8, 95% CI 4.9 - 38.3) methods of FP.

Lastly participants who believed the quality of family planning services were good and were more likely to utilize the FP services (OR 5.4, 95% CI 1.9 - 15.6). On the other hand, participants who were less likely to utilize the family planning services were those whose ideal age to have first child was between 15 to 18 years (OR 0.1, 95% CI 0.03 - 0.6) or between 18 to 21 years (OR 0.4, 95% CI 0.2 - 0.9); those whose ideal years of child spacing was one year (OR 0.2, 95% CI 0.05 to 0.8).

In multivariate analysis, after adjusting for awareness of FP, first knowledge of FP, Known methods of FP, awareness of emergency contraceptive, ideal age of first child, ideal age of child spacing, cost of FP, attitude when discussing with husband/partner about FP, who uses contraceptives, methods used for FP, provider of FP, participants who used either barrier (condoms) (OR 5.7, 95% CI 1.3 - 24.5) or hormonal (Pills/IUD/Injectable) FP (OR 5.8, 95% CI 1.4 - 25.2) remained associated with utilization of family planning.

DISCUSSION

This study is first of its kind to investigate the awareness and uptake of family planning and associated factors among women of reproductive age in Mandera County; an arid region in the North Eastern Kenya. The study was conducted two years post the 2013 devolution of political power and economic resources from the central government to the devolved county governments. Although initial surveys have associated the county with low utilization of FP at 1.9% in 2014 (Asinnumwe et al, 2013), this study has shown that the proportion of women aged 15 to 49 years embracing FP is considerably higher than previous studies standing at 41.9%. This rate was slightly lower than that of married women of reproductive age in Kenya (58%) who reported using FP in 2014 (Kenya Demographic and Health Survey, 2014).

During the study period, about 40.1% of these participants were either lactating or pregnant with more than 46.2% having given birth to ≤4 children, pointing to high fertility rate in the region. More than half of the participants were married, with close to 67.5% preferring to have children before the age of 21 years. This is a confirmation of a previous survey that indicated that in this region women have younger ages of sexual debut, young age of motherhood and younger age of first birth pointing to the unmet need for family planning services (Kenya National Bureau of Statistics (KNBS) and ICF Macro, 2009).

The FGDS and KII discussions confirms the young age of marriage and child birth. One participant in an FGD participant confirms the young age of marriage “women here are married at a really young age...for me I was married at my 14th birth day”. One KII participant said “if it is not for the current government administration...my husband’s clan’s men would have married off my three daughters before they attended secondary school, personally I have witnessed a lot of these cases before”.

Although 79.5% of the participants were aware of family planning only about half of them (41.9%) were currently using contraceptive and family planning. This is not unique to this region. In many developing countries reports shows that despite the campaign on the usefulness of family planning in having smaller and healthier families, contraceptive use is still low (Adeloye et al., 2010; Kenya Demographic and Health Survey, 2014; Lusisi et al., 2014; Nettey et al., 2015). One study in the Kinshasa Districts of Ghana reported even higher family planning awareness level (97%) but lower (25.3%) utilization of any modern family planning method (Nettey et al., 2015). Our results and these others show that awareness does not necessarily influence utilization.
Table 4: Association between utilization of FP services and socio-demographic characteristics of study participants from Mandera County

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Sample size</th>
<th>Utilization of Family Planning</th>
<th>Bivariate OR (95% CI)</th>
<th>Multivariate OR (95% CI)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Region</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>No %</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mandera North</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>12 24.5</td>
<td>3.3 (1.1-9.9)</td>
<td>4.3 (1.1-18.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mandera South</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>8 16.3</td>
<td>1.9 (0.6-5.5)</td>
<td>7.9 (1.7-33.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mandera East</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>6 12.2</td>
<td>1.4 (0.4-4.8)</td>
<td>4.7 (1.1-20.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mandera West</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>9 18.4</td>
<td>1.9 (0.7-5.5)</td>
<td>2.6 (0.8-8.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Banissa</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>9 18.4</td>
<td>1.7 (0.6-5.1)</td>
<td>1.8 (0.6-6.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lafoy</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>5 10.2</td>
<td>Referent</td>
<td>Referent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Gravity</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pregnant</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>5 26.3</td>
<td>0.5 (2.1-12.2)</td>
<td>0.5 (2.1-12.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lactating</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>9 32.1</td>
<td>0.6 (0.3-1.3)</td>
<td>0.6 (0.3-1.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pregnant and lactating</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0 100</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not pregnant and lactating</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>25 53</td>
<td>Referent</td>
<td>Referent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Age (Year)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15-20</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>7 14.3</td>
<td>0.9 (0.3-3.2)</td>
<td>0.5 (0.1-2.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21-30</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>14 28.6</td>
<td>1.3 (0.5-4.2)</td>
<td>1.1 (0.5-3.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31-40</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>23 46.9</td>
<td>2.1 (0.8-5.1)</td>
<td>2.1 (0.8-5.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt; 41</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>2 10.2</td>
<td>Referent</td>
<td>Referent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Education level</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>6 26.1</td>
<td>2.1 (0.7-7.2)</td>
<td>1.7 (0.4-6.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Secondary</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>15 57.7</td>
<td>4.8 (1.8-13.3)</td>
<td>1.1 (2.7-46.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tertiary</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>23 88.5</td>
<td>7.4 (2.8-21.9)</td>
<td>1.1 (2.6-55.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-Panal</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>5 11.9</td>
<td>Referent</td>
<td>Referent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Marital status</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Single</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>13 56.5</td>
<td>1.5 (0.7-3.7)</td>
<td>1.6 (0.4-5.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Married</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>27 39.1</td>
<td>1.1 (0.5-2.3)</td>
<td>1.2 (0.5-3.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Divorced/Widowed</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>9 26</td>
<td>Referent</td>
<td>Referent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Religion</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chaliseen</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>13 81.3</td>
<td>2.3 (1.2-4.3)</td>
<td>0.5 (0.2-1.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Muslim</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>36 35.6</td>
<td>Referent</td>
<td>Referent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Occupation</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employed</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>20 87</td>
<td>3.2 (1.6-6.1)</td>
<td>4.3 (1.2-19.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Self-employed</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>13 36.1</td>
<td>1.3 (0.6-2.7)</td>
<td>1.4 (0.5-4.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unemployed</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>16 27.6</td>
<td>Referent</td>
<td>Referent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Monthly Income (KES)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;10000</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>10 22.2</td>
<td>0.3 (0.1-0.6)</td>
<td>2.8 (0.5-16.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10001-20000</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>14 40</td>
<td>0.5 (0.2-0.9)</td>
<td>1.9 (0.5-6.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20001-30000</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>7 46.7</td>
<td>0.5 (0.2-1.4)</td>
<td>1.8 (0.5-6.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;30001</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>6 75</td>
<td>0.9 (0.3-2.3)</td>
<td>1.1 (0.4-3.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Household Headship</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Husband</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>29 40.3</td>
<td>0.9 (0.5-1.7)</td>
<td>1.2 (0.6-2.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Respondent's Mother</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>7 43.8</td>
<td>1.1 (0.6-2.4)</td>
<td>1.1 (0.4-3.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Others</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>13 44.8</td>
<td>Referent</td>
<td>Referent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Household population</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≤4</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>21 42</td>
<td>1.1 (0.6-1.8)</td>
<td>0.9 (0.5-1.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;5</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>28 41.8</td>
<td>Referent</td>
<td>Referent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Purity</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>None</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>10 62.5</td>
<td>1.8 (0.8-3.8)</td>
<td>1.9 (0.1-25.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-3</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>20 42.6</td>
<td>1.2 (0.6-2.3)</td>
<td>1.1 (0.3-3.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;4</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>19 35.2</td>
<td>Referent</td>
<td>Referent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Children's Age</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>None</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>11 57.9</td>
<td>1.7 (0.8-3.7)</td>
<td>0.9 (0.1-11.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-3</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>22 44</td>
<td>1.3 (0.7-2.5)</td>
<td>1.3 (0.4-4.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;4</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>16 33.3</td>
<td>Referent</td>
<td>Referent</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

No = Number; % = Percentage; OR = Odds ratio; CI = confidence interval; ND = Not done
Table 5: Association between FP utilization and awareness patterns of participants

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Sample size</th>
<th>Utilization of Family Planning (%)</th>
<th>Bivariate OR (95% CI)</th>
<th>Multivariate OR (95% CI)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Awareness of Family Planning (FP)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>50.5</td>
<td>0.1(1.5-24.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>8.3</td>
<td>Referent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>First knowledge on FP</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Family and Friends</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>32.8</td>
<td>3.9(0.5-29.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Motha</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>5.6(0-556.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>School</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>66.7</td>
<td>7.9(1.1-64.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health card/Professional</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>63.6</td>
<td>7.6(1.6-58.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not stated</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>8.3</td>
<td>Referent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Known methods of FP</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Natural (Calendar/Withdrawal)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barrier (Condoms)</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>28.6</td>
<td>4.1(0-4-35.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hormonal (Pills/Injection)</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>50.6</td>
<td>7.1(1-1-51.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>None</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>7.1</td>
<td>Referent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Awareness of emergency FP</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>68.6</td>
<td>3.2(1.7-6.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>21.2</td>
<td>Referent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ideal age of first child</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Between 15-18</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>9.7</td>
<td>0.1(0.03-0.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Between 18-21</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>35.4</td>
<td>0.4(0.2-0.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Between 22-24</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>9.0(4.4-2.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Between 25-27</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>Referent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ideal age of child spacing</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>One year</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>19.4</td>
<td>0.2(0.05-0.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>One to two years</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>32.7</td>
<td>0.3(0.09-1.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Three to five years</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>78.6</td>
<td>0.8(0.25.2.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Five years or more</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>Referent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cost of family planning</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Affordable</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>70.6</td>
<td>4.8(2.3-10.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expensive</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>57.1</td>
<td>3.8(1.2-12.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Free</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>1.4(0.2-10.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No idea</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>14.8</td>
<td>Referent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Attitude when discussing with husband/partner about FP</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Embarrassing/avoid to discuss</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>33.3</td>
<td>1.2(0.3-4.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Positive/we enjoy discussing</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>70.5</td>
<td>3.1(1.7-5.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A word/avoid discuss</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>22.4</td>
<td>Referent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Who uses contraceptives?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Self</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>86.6</td>
<td>7.4(3.4-15.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Husband</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>72.7</td>
<td>6.2(2.3-16.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>None</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>11.8</td>
<td>Referent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Methods used for FP</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Natural (Calendar/Withdrawal)</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>6.9(1.4-25.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barrier (Condoms)</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>93.3</td>
<td>12.9(4.9-33.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hormonal (Pills/Injection)</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>13.3(4.9-33.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>None</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>7.2</td>
<td>Referent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Provider of Family planning</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health facility</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>56.2</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Work Place</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>77.8</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other sources</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>9.1</td>
<td>Referent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>None</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Referent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quality of family planning</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Best</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>33.3</td>
<td>2.5(0.6-11.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rather</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>31.6</td>
<td>2.4(0.6-8.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Good</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>70.7</td>
<td>5.4(1.9-15.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fair</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>41.2</td>
<td>0.6(0.3-1.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>12.9</td>
<td>Referent</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

No = Number; % = Percentage; OR = Odds ratio; CI = confidence interval; NS = Not significant; ND = Not done
From the FGDs and KII discussions this unmatched awareness and utilization of family planning methods was evident. One participant in an FGD participants confirmed awareness “that most information including family planning issues are discussed in non-formal settings including Madrassa and in the family units”. Concerning the family planning types known, most qualitative participants were able to mention at least one modern method but not necessarily approving the method. One participant in an FGD participant “If I knew method such as condoms which are available at the clinic”. The second participant in FGD participant said “I have seen condom shown to me by the village health worker who also told us about cutting off the Uterus...which I cannot use ... I want to die with my whole organs”.

A participant in an FGD reported that not all women in the region were aware of family planning - “If I knew family planning before, I would have not given birth to these children... My children would have been well spaced and much stronger”. Emergency contraceptives are not known; KII stated “most women here give birth every year because other than condoms no other family planning methods available can be used quickly to help prevent unplanned children, in fact most pharmacists do not stock these pills”. A Key informant participant KII stated “for increased uptake of family planning services, promotion that facilitates awareness about the available family planning services and their possible side effects and benefits is paramount”.

This study showed utilization of FP was significantly associated women’s region of origin, education level, wealth status (occupation and income), religion, awareness and exposure to the media, and utilization of reproductive health services including modern hormonal contraceptives, and the perceived importance of family planning.

Women from Mandera North, East and South were more likely to utilize family planning. These regions are the most developed constituencies within the County. Mandera East hosts the County government offices and is by infrastructure the most developed. Mandera South and North follow in that order in terms of Infrastructure and developed. As expected socioeconomic status, education level, availability and capacity of health care services as well as supplies of available modern family planning methods are considerably better in these three sub-county compared to the other regions within the County. The relationship between development and use of FP has also been reported by other studies (Gizaw & Regassa, 2011).

The role of region of origin to utilization of FP was also shown in the FGD and KII discussion. One participant in FGD participant from Leye said “I wish I could see these services hear and cheaply, we could be all using these services”. One participant in the KII participant from Mandera East said “in this region of Mandera North some of these family planning services thank to devolution are now available in some health facilities”.

Women who had secondary and tertiary level of education were more likely to utilize FP, which is consistent with other studies (Wanyenze et al., 2011; Creanga et al., 2011; Rutagengwa et al., 2011). Higher education level provides women with a better and wider understanding of the FP options including availability, and invariably the benefits of family planning and regulation. Further, education increases awareness of the side effects of contraceptive methods and preference for the most convenient ones (Mckemm & Workia, 2011).

One participant in an FGD reported on the importance of education: “I use modern family planning methods because I was made aware of their importance when I was in college”. High monthly income and employment equating to wealth had a direct relationship with women’s utilization of FP. Women from richer households or high wealth quintiles are empowered are able to afford modern FP services and are most likely better exposed to current reproductive health, FP and contraceptive related issues. Wealth and riches are equated to utilization of modern FP even in other studies (Rutagengwa et al., 2011). One participant in an FGD said “I am able to use these family planning methods because I buy them on my own”. Although majority of population in this region are predominantly Muslims, participants who were Christians were more likely to uptake family planning. Christian especially the protestants are often highly accepting of contraceptive use compared to Catholic counterparts. This argument is consistent with literature elsewhere where Christian protestant women were more likely to use highly effective contraceptive methods (Jones & Drewke, 2011). Singh et al., (2003) notes that there is no mention of contraception in Quran (first source of Islamic law), and only mentioned in the sayings of the Prophet Mohammed (the second source of Islamic law) advocating for coitus interrupts to control family size. Further, most Muslims either do not send their children to school or send them to madrasas run by Muslim trustees, the overall environment of the later institutions helps in the continuation
of their traditional values and thus hindering social changes including family planning (Agadjanian et al., 2009).

The FGD and KII discussions highlights the role of religion on uptake of FP. One KII participant on religion and family planning said “Islam forbids a couple from choosing to practice FP through the use of surgeries which are irreversible”. One participant in an FGD said “we are majority Muslims and we rarely are taught about family planning methods. It is like a taboo for us to talk about sex and issues surrounding family planning among family circles.

Schools and health care as a source of knowledge family planning messages, increased use of FP. Further awareness of modern family planning methods such as emergency contraceptive, condoms and hormonal contraceptives (Pills/IUD/Injectable) were key in the utilization of FP. Exposure to information has been equated to increased demand for learnt services as well as in the long run, behavior change (Wakefield et al., 2010). Positive attitude of husband/partner on reproductive health and family planning predicted uptake of utilization of.

The socio-cultural role of husband or partner has been shown to influence family related issues including FP. This and other similar studies shows strong male influencing in the overall family outlook (Rutencwe et al., 2011). Therefore, male-to-male outreaches and identifying male champions for family planning in various settings are important in promoting modern FP utilization.

Family planning utilization does not all depended on the women: one FGD stated “for a woman to use family planning services, partner’s approval must be granted”. Another FGD participant on barriers to FP stated “even if we are asked to have fewer family size since the economy continues to worsens, the overall say lies on the hands of household head”. “If I use family planning methods without asking my husband, this will be tragedy for me. He will be seen as weak in the community which no man allows here. I must follow his decision; this is the tradition in this area”.

Limitations and Conclusions

This was a cross-sectional study with relatively small sample size of participant in the structured interviews. This could partly explain the observed lack of association of some important factors such as age and FP utilization. Further, we were not able to establish the actual role of devolution and the utilization of FP.

Given the limitation in this geographically defined population, hardship and insecurity significant proportion of women were using FP compared to the Kenya demographic health surveys reports. Further, socio-cultural, religion and awareness attribute of the participants, provide an important avenue to evaluate the interplay if any of the multifaceted and multilevel factors that impact availability and utilization of FP. Ultimately for the improvement in the proportion of women embracing FP in Kenya especially in the initially marginalized counties experiencing hardship (such as droughts) and insecurity; all concerted efforts must be undertaken to promote and to tackle the socio-cultural deterrents of FP utilization. Should this be achieved, these regions could record one of the highest utilization of FP compared to other wealthy and affluent regions of Kenya. Further studies will be required to shed more lights on our study findings.
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