# Physiological-based Selection Criteria for Terminal Drought in Wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.)

A. R. Koocheki<sup>1\*</sup>, A. Yazdansepas<sup>1</sup>, U. Mahmadyorov<sup>2</sup>, and M. R. Mehrvar<sup>1</sup>

#### **ABSTRACT**

Drought is the most restricting factor in agricultural production in arid and semi-arid regions. This research was conducted on 19 facultative and winter wheat genotypes grown under normal irrigation (N), early post-anthesis ( $S_1$ ), and late post-anthesis ( $S_2$ ) drought stress conditions. The experiments were conducted at Karadj, Arak, and Jolgehrokh Agricultural Research Stations in Iran, during 2008-11 cropping seasons. Stress reduced grain number per spike, thousand grain weight, grain weight per spike, harvest index, biological weight, and grain yield. Effect of environment, irrigation, and genotype on most of the traits, including grain yield, was significant. Remobilization, efficiency of remobilization, and pre-anthesis photo-assimilate contribution to grain filling increased under drought stress condition. Correlation coefficients between those traits and grain yield were significantly positive under N,  $S_1$ , and  $S_2$  conditions. Based on different drought tolerance indices, the improved line Alvd//Aldan/Ias58\*2/3/Gaspard was identified as the most tolerant genotype under anthesis and post-anthesis drought stress conditions. It also had the highest remobilization, efficiency of remobilization, and preanthesis photo-assimilate contribution to grain filling under drought stress conditions.

Keywords: Bread wheat, Grain yield, Remobilization, Late drought.

# INTRODUCTION

Drought stress adversely affects yield performance of cereals (Rang et al., 2011). Iran, with an annual average precipitation of 240 mm, is located in the semi-arid and arid areas of the world (Kardavani, 1988). Drought stress occurs frequently in irrigated wheat (Jalal Kamali et al., 2009) due to inadequate access to underground water resources (Mohammadi and Karimpour Reihan, 2008). In fact, substantial portions of the 2.4 million ha of irrigated wheat in Iran suffer from irrigation water shortage, especially during post-anthesis i.e. through grain filling period (Jalal Kamali et al., 2009). The best option to attain stable production under drought stress conditions would be to develop drought tolerant

physiological genotypes through approaches, which needs deeper a understanding of the yield determining traits and processes. Drought tolerance can be through describing improved characteristics of the target environment, identifying associated drought tolerance traits, and developing the corresponding selection criteria to propose appropriate genotypes. Since drought environments may have heterogenic soils, Finding favorable selection criteria for drought tolerance of wheat would be a difficult task (Manavalan et al., 2004). Drought stress, if occurring in vegetative stages of crop development, decreases plant height, leaf area, number of tillers and biomass (Nouri et al., 2011), but the effects are even more drastic when it occurs during the reproductive stages of development

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Department of Cereals Research, Seed and Plant Improvement Institute, Karaj, Islamic Republic of Iran.

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author; email: arkoocheki@yahoo.com

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Department of Agronomy, Faculty of plant science, Tajik Agrarian University, Dushanbe, Tajikistan.



(Shpiler and Blum, 1986). Drought at anytime of crop development decreases leaf chlorophyll and photosynthesis, and hastens senescence (Dulai *et al.*, 2006). Drought during grain filling decreases individual grain weight, due to decrease in grain filling duration rather than decrease in grain filling rate (Wardlaw and Willenbrink, 2000). Blum (1998) reported that drought stress during grain filling decreased current photosynthesis and, consequently, increased the contribution of remobilization.

Drought tolerance or susceptibility indices, as functions of yield reduction determined through comparison of genotypes performance in drought and normal conditions, have been proposed to screen drought tolerant or susceptible genotypes (Mitra, 2001; Fernandez, 1992; Blum, 1996).

The objective of this study was to appraise the effects of post-anthesis drought stress on grain yield and some morphophysiological based selection traits and indices in wheat genotypes.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

The research was conducted on 19 wheat genotypes (Table 1) at Karadi, Arak and Jolgehrokh Agricultural Research Stations in Iran, during 2008-2011 cropping seasons. The experimental design was split-plot based on randomized complete blocks (RCB) with three replications, in which irrigation treatments were in the main-plots and the 19 winter and facultative wheat genotypes in the sub-plots. **Irrigation** treatments were normal irrigation (N), irrigation cut-off during early post-anthesis  $(S_1)$ , and irrigation cut-off during late i.e. 20 days after, anthesis (S2). The plot size for each genotype was 7 m<sup>2</sup> (1.2×6 m). Sowing was done with an experimental seed planter (Wintersteiger<sup>TM</sup>), using 450 seeds m<sup>-2</sup>. An area of 6 m<sup>2</sup> (1.2×5 m) was harvested with by an experimental plot combine harvester (Wintersteiger<sup>TM</sup>). Soil samples taken from 0-30 cm for all locations showed that: At Karaj, soil texture: loam, EC=1.7 dS m<sup>-1</sup>, pH= 7.6, available N, P, and K and organic carbon: 0.06%, 9.7 and 176 mg kg<sup>-1</sup> and

**Table 1**. Name or pedigree and some other characteristics of the studied genotypes.

| Genotypes | Name/Parentage   | Year of release | Growth      | Ploidy    |
|-----------|--|-----------------|-------------|-----------|
| codes     |  |                 | type        |           |
| G1        | Shahriyar  | 2001            | Winter      | Hexaploid |
| G2        | Alvand   | 1995            | Facultative | Hexaploid |
| G3        | C-80-4   | Promising line  | Facultative | Hexaploid |
| G4        | Gascogne//Rsh*2/10120/3/Alvd//Aldan/Ias58                | Promising line  | Facultative | Hexaploid |
| G5        | Alvd//Aldan/Ias58/3/MV17/4/Evwyt2/Azd//Rsh*2/10120       | Promising line  | Facultative | Hexaploid |
| G6        | Alvd//Aldan/Ias58*2/3/Gaspard                            | Promising line  | Winter      | Hexaploid |
| G7        | Mhdv/Soissons/4/Bloudan/3/Bb/7C*2//Y50E/Kal*3            | Promising line  | Facultative | Hexaploid |
| G8        | F4141-W-1-1/Pastor//Pyn/Bau                              | Promising line  | Winter      | Hexaploid |
| G9        | Au//YT542/N10B/3/II8260/4/Ji/Hys/5/Yunnat                | Promising line  | Winter      | Hexaploid |
|           | Odesskiy/6/Ks82W409/Spn                                  |                 |             |           |
| G10       | Id800994.W/Vee/3/Ures/Jun//Kauz/4/Bul5052.1              | Promising line  | Winter      | Hexaploid |
| G11       | Basswood/MV17  | Promising line  | Winter      | Hexaploid |
| G12       | Basswood/MV17  | Promising line  | Winter      | Hexaploid |
| G13       | Bhr*5/Aga//Sni/3/Trk13/4/Gaspard                         | Promising line  | Winter      | Hexaploid |
| G14       | Qds/4/Anza/3/Pi/Nar//Hys/5/Vee/Nac/6/Gascogne (PR-14)    | Promising line  | Winter      | Hexaploid |
| G15       | Qds/4/Anza/3/Pi/Nar//Hys/5/Vee/Nac/6/Gascogne (PR-15)    | Promising line  | Winter      |           |
| G16       | Omid//H7/4P839/3/Omid/Tdo/4/ICWHA81-                     | Promising line  | Winter      | Hexaploid |
|           | 1473/5/90Zhong87/6/Owl                                   |                 |             |           |
| G17       | Soissons/M-73-4//Owl 852524-*3H-*O-*HOH                  | Promising line  | Winter      | Hexaploid |
| G18       | Bilinmeyen-6   | Promising line  | Winter      | Hexaploid |
| G19       | Sn64//Ske/2*Ane/3/Sx/4/Bez/5/Seri/6/Chervona/7/Kleiber/2 | Promising line  | Winter      | Hexaploid |
|           | *FL80//Donskpoluk  |                 |             |           |



0.53%, respectively. At Jolgeh Rokh, soil texture: clay loam, EC= 2.8 dS m<sup>-1</sup>, pH= 8.0, available N, P, and K and organic carbon: 0.05%, 14.0, and 250 mg kg<sup>-1</sup> and 0.50%, respectively. At Arak, soil texture: clay loam, EC= 1.2 dS m<sup>-1</sup>, pH= 7.8, available N, P and K and organic carbon: .08%, 18.0 and 230 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>, and 0.50%, respectively.

The experimental field was under two years cereal-fallow rotation and land preparations included stubble mulch fall tillage and next spring tillage with moldboard plow, disking, two times perpendicular land leveling, fertilizer application, and Making raised beds.

top-dress Application of basal and fertilizers were according to the soil test recommendations. Normal (N), S1 and S2 treatments received 6, 2 and 4 irrigations, respectively during the whole season. At physiological maturity, plant samples were randomly taken from the non-marginal plot area including 20 complete stems. Peduncle unit length weight and grain number per spike were determined. The samples were dried in a forced air oven for 72 hours at 70°C, then, total dry matter weight, spike weight, thousand grain weight (TGW), grain weight per spike, and harvest index were measured. The traits of stem assimilates remobilized to grain (SaGR), stem to grain reserve remobilization efficiency (SGRE) pre-anthesis photoassimilate grain (PAPCG) contribution to were estimated as follows (Ehdaie, 1998; Kobata et al., 1992):

$$SaGR = SdWA - SdWM \tag{1}$$

where, *SaGR*: Stem assimilates remobilized to grain; *SdWA*: Stem dry weight at early post-anthesis, *SdWM*: Stem dry weight at maturity.

Also, *SGRE* was calculated as follows (Palta *et al.*, 1994):

$$SGRE = \frac{SaGR}{SWA} * 100 \tag{2}$$

Where, *SGRE*: Stem to grain reserve remobilization efficiency; *SaGR*: Stem assimilates remobilized to grain, *SWA*: Stem dry weight in early post-anthesis

To calculate *PAPCG*, Equation (3) was used (Niu *et al.*, 1998):

$$PAPCG = \frac{SaGR}{GWM} * 100 \tag{3}$$

Where, *PAPCG*: Pre-anthesis photoassimilate contribution to grain; *SaGR*: Stem assimilates remobilized to grain, *GWM*: Grain weight at maturity.

The combined ANOVA for the three treatments i.e. N,  $S_1$ , and  $S_2$ , was carried out to determine the main effects of irrigation, genotypes, and their interaction on the studied traits. To evaluate drought tolerance of the genotypes, the indices of tolerance (TOL), mean productivity (MP) (Rossielle and Hamblin, 1981), stress susceptibility index (SSI) (Fischer and Maurer, 1978), stress tolerance index (STI) and geometric mean productivity (GMP) (Fernandez, 1992) were used. Pearson's correlation coefficients between the traits in normal (N) and drought stress conditions ( $S_1$  and  $S_2$ ) were also calculated.

## **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

Main effects of environment, genotype, and irrigation were significant on grain yield and most of the traits. The irrigation×environment, irrigation×genotype, environment×genotype, and genotype x environment x irrigation interactions on grain yield and most of the studied traits were found significant (Table 2). Drought stress intensities were 0.30 and 0.13 under  $S_1$  and  $S_2$  conditions, respectively, i.e. the applied drought stress at early post-anthesis was more severe than in late post-anthesis. The mean grain yields of the 19 genotypes under N, and  $S_1$  and  $S_2$  drought stress conditions were 5,936, 4,139, and 5,162 kg



Table 2. Combined Anova results for measured characteristics in normal and drought conditions (N, S2, S1).

|                                      |             |          |                      |           |               |           |                      |                      |                      |        |       | , ,      |  |
|--------------------------------------|-------------|----------|----------------------|-----------|---------------|-----------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|--------|-------|----------|--|
| PAPCG <sup>1</sup>                   | 18891.94**  | 1039.95  | 13083.12**           | 1374.51** | 1058.16       | **69'.296 | $221.98^{ns}$        | $348.81^{\text{ns}}$ | $291.96^{\text{ns}}$ | 277.64 |       | 85.64    |  |
| $SGRE^k$                             | 5205.2**    | 252.3    | $731.13^{\text{ns}}$ | 285.09**  | 279.45        | 409.06**  | 56.17 <sup>ns</sup>  | 89.56**              | $68.14^{\text{ns}}$  | 66.24  |       | 73.95    |  |
| SaGR <sup>j</sup>                    | 2.95**      | 0.11     | $0.34^{\rm ns}$      | 0.15**    | 0.11          | 0.15**    | $0.03^{\rm ns}$      | 0.04*                | $0.03^{\mathrm{ns}}$ | 0.030  |       | 74.88    |  |
| $TGWM^{'}$                           | 1572.96**   | 36.16    | 7445.02**            | 102.77**  | 15.93         | 68.52**   | $6.86^{\rm ns}$      | 24.16**              | $6.64^{\text{ns}}$   | 8.25   |       | 8.43     |  |
| $_{y}$ IH                            | _           |          |                      |           |               |           |                      |                      |                      |        |       | 8.77     |  |
| $\mathbf{G}\mathbf{M}\mathbf{M}^{g}$ | 11.24**     | 0.27     | 19.54**              | 0.17**    | 80.0          | 0.24      | 003**                | 0.08ns               | 0.04ns               | 0.038  |       | 14.53    |  |
| $GNM^f$                              | **19.5669   | 106.39   | 1136.96**            | 191.1**   | 44.63         | 262.15**  | 25.27 <sup>ns</sup>  | 67.55**              | 25.77 <sup>ns</sup>  | 23.74  |       | 12.45    |  |
| $\mathrm{BWPM}^{e}$                  | 94.62**     | 0.24     | 9.42**               | 1.19**    | 0.56          | 1.19**    | 0.24**               | 0.54**               | 0.20**               | 0.119  |       | 12.5     |  |
| $\mathbf{BWM}^d$                     | 52.6*       | 98.0     | 35.43**              | 0.57      | 99.0          | 1.26**    | $0.16^{\mathrm{ns}}$ | 0.35**               | 0.18ns               | 0.175  |       | 13.26    |  |
| $\mathbf{BWA}^c$                     | 32.10**     | 0.142    | 1.24*                | 0.55**    | 0.24          | 0.35      | $0.09^{\text{ns}}$   | 0.15*                | 0.77*                | 0.063  |       | 12.26    |  |
| $A\Gamma D^{p}$                      | 318801036** | 1418927  | 370501235**          | 5457234** | 260696        | 2915274** | $390394^{\rm ns}$    | 1078184**            | $319440^{\rm ns}$    | 316582 |       | 11.07    |  |
| $\mathrm{df}^a$                      | 7           | 16       | 7                    | 14        | 32            | 18        | 36                   | 126                  | 252                  | 864    | 1367  |          |  |
|                                      | Env         | Rep(Env) | Щ                    | En×Irr    | Rep( Env×Irr) | Gen       | IrrxGen              | EnvxGen              | Env×IrrxGen          | Error  | Total | $CV\%^m$ |  |

,\*\* Significant at the 5 and 1% probability level, respectively, and ns as not significant. a Degree of Freedom, b Grain Yield; c Biomass Weight at Anthesis; a Biomass Weight at Maturity; , Ebiomass Weight 20 days after anthesis; J Grain Number per spike; B Grains Weight per spike; Harvest Index; Thousand Grain Weight at Maturity; <sup>J</sup> Stem assimilates to Grain Remobilization; <sup>k</sup> Stem to Grain Reserve remobilization Efficiency; <sup>T</sup> Pre-Anthesis Photo assimilate Contribution to Grain, " Coefficient of Variation

ha<sup>-1</sup>, respectively (Table 3). Grain yield reduction due to post-anthesis drought stress has been previously reported (Gooding et al, 2003; Ozturk and Aydin, 2004; Sanjari Pireivatlou and Yazdansepas, 2008). These findings are not in accordance with Calhoun et al. (1994) and Van Ginkel et al. (1998) who reported a higher grain yield under early drought than late drought stress conditions. The reason for lower grain yield observed in early post-anthesis rather than late post-anthesis drought stress conditions may mainly be due to a reduction in TGW, which is determined in early post-anthesis while grain number per spike is determined pre- and post- anthesis.

All traits were reduced in stressed conditions (S<sub>1</sub> and S<sub>2</sub>) compared to normal irrigation (Table 3). The reduction was higher in S<sub>1</sub> than S<sub>2</sub> for all traits, except BWA. Thousand grain weight under N, S<sub>1</sub> and  $S_2$  drought stress conditions was 37.8, 29.8 and 34.5 g; and the number of grains per spike was 40.8, 37.7, and 38.9, respectively. These results were consistent with those of Inness et al. (1981) and Plaut et al. (2004) who reported that the rate of dry matter accumulation by kernels was considerably decreased by water deficit. Hatim and Majidian (2012) reported that grain yield was mainly influenced by TGW under both normal irrigation and water stress conditions, while in the present study, harvest indices of the studied genotypes were significantly different in all conditions.

There was a significant difference among genotypes in grain yield (Table 3). In this experiment, genotype no.6 had significantly the highest grain yield in both stress conditions with 4,737 and 5,713 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>, respectively, probably due to its highest harvest scores in index (46.3%),remobilization (0.38)g), remobilization efficiency (19.65%) and *PAPCG* (31.14%). The genotype no. 15 produced the highest grain yield (6,265 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) in normal irrigation condition. Drought stress generally reduced harvest index (Ehdaei, 1993), which was 42.35, 38.8, and 44.8% in late post anthesis, early post-anthesis, and normal



**Table 3.** DMRT for measured characteristics in normal (N), moderate  $(S_2)$  and severe  $(S_1)$  drought conditions.

| Gen                | YLD                |                    |                   |                    | BWA                      |                    | BWM             |                      |                  |  |
|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|-------------------|--------------------|--------------------------|--------------------|-----------------|----------------------|------------------|--|
|                    | N                  | $S_2$              | $S_1$             | N                  | $S_2$                    | $S_1$              | N               | $S_2$                | $S_1$            |  |
| 1                  | 5621f              | 4710e              | 3352d             | 2.0def             | 1.88d                    | 1.85e              | 3.29bcd         | 2.98bcde             | 2.88ef           |  |
| 2                  | 5820bcdef          | 4988cde            | 3942bc            | 2.10abcde          | 2.07abc                  | 1.98cde            | 3.37bcd         | 3.14abcd             | 2.80cdef         |  |
| 3                  | 6074abcde          | 5071bcd            | 4151bc            | 2.15abcde          | 2.06abcd                 | 2.15ab             | 3.47bc          | 3.27ab               | 3.04ab           |  |
| 4                  | 5845bcdef          | 5166bcd            | 4206bc            | 2.06bcdef          | 2.05abcd                 | 2.07abcd           | 3.27cd          | 3.10abcde            | 2.88abcdef       |  |
| 5                  | 5758cdef           | 5047bcd            | 3953bc            | 2.19abc            | 2.09abc                  | 2.17a              | 3.56bc          | 3.16abcd             | 3.11a            |  |
| 6                  | 6102abcd           | 5713a              | 4737a             | 1.90f              | 1.93cd                   | 2.04abcd           | 3.10d           | 2.87de               | 2.75def          |  |
| 7                  | 6156abc            | 5356b              | 4300bc            | 2.02cdef           | 1.99abcd                 | 2.07abcd           | 3.46bc          | 3.19abc              | 2.90abcd         |  |
| 8                  | 5960abcdef         | 5178bcd            | 4262bc            | 1.97ef             | 1.89d                    | 1.93de             | 3.11d           | 2.82e                | 2.66f            |  |
| 9                  | 6077abcde          | 5178bcd<br>5182bcd | 4053bc            | 2.23ab             | 2.12ab                   | 1.98cde            | 3.60ab          | 3.27ab               | 2.89abcdef       |  |
|                    |                    |                    |                   |                    |                          |                    |                 |                      |                  |  |
| 10                 | 6111abcd           | 5317bc             | 4302bc            | 2.27a              | 2.05abcd                 | 2.02bcd            | 3.86a           | 3.25abc              | 3.02abc          |  |
| 11                 | 5975abcdef         | 5267bcd            | 4353b             | 2.08bcdef          | 1.94bcd                  | 1.98cde            | 3.41bcd         | 3.08abcd             | 2.84bcdef        |  |
| 12                 | 6229ab             | 5108bcd            | 4162bc            | 2.08bcdef          | 2.05abcd                 | 2.09abc            | 3.39bcd         | 3.14abcd             | 3.02abc          |  |
| 13                 | 5792cdef           | 4948de             | 3914c             | 2.08bcdef          | 2.05abcd                 | 2.02bcd            | 3.46bc          | 3.12abcd             | 2.97abcd         |  |
| 14                 | 6045abcde          | 5196bcd            | 4273bc            | 2.14abcde          | 1.91cd                   | 1.93d              | 3.51bc          | 2.96cde              | 2.79cdef         |  |
| 15                 | 6265a              | 5313bc             | 4180bc            | 2.13abcde          | 2.05abcd                 | 1.94de             | 3.47bc          | 3.25abc              | 2.8cdef          |  |
| 16                 | 5815bcdef          | 5076bcd            | 4181bc            | 2.16abcd           | 2.16a                    | 2.07abcd           | 3.59abc         | 3.35a                | 2.96abcd         |  |
| 17                 | 5779cdef           | 5198bcd            | 4092bc            | 2.09abcde          | 1.93cd                   | 1.98cde            | 3.40bcd         | 3.08abcde            | 2.85bcdef        |  |
| 18                 | 5701def            | 5223bcd            | 4328b             | 2.21ab             | 2.09abc                  | 2.10abc            | 3.59abc         | 3.19abc              | 3.03abc          |  |
| 19                 | 5670ef             | 5025bcd            | 3904c             | 2.14abcde          | 1.93cd                   | 2.00cd             | 3.54bc          | 3.06abcde            | 2.88abcdef       |  |
| Mean               | 5936               | 5162               | 4139              | 2.11               | 2.01                     | 2.02               | 3.44            | 3.12                 | 2.89             |  |
| Gen                |                    | BWPM               |                   |                    | GNM                      |                    |                 | GWM                  |                  |  |
|                    | N                  | $S_2$              | $S_1$             | N                  | $S_2$                    | $S_1$              | N               | $S_2$                | $S_1$            |  |
| 1                  | 2.82cdef           | 2.52fg             | 2.41d             | 40.8abc            | 36.5g                    | 36.1cde            | 1.47bc          | 1.21ef               | 1.04cd           |  |
| 2                  | 2.85cdef           | 2.68cdefg          | 2.52bcd           | 37.0de             | 38.8bcdefg               | 35.9de             | 1.46bc          | 1.32bcd              | 1.07bcd          |  |
| 3                  | 2.95bcde           | 2.79bcd            | 2.80a             | 39.7cd             | 40.4abcde                | 38.0abcde          | 1.53b           | 1.41abc              | 1.17ab           |  |
| 4                  | 2.86bcdef          | 2.61defg           | 2.76a             | 37.0de             | 37.4efg                  | 35.1ef             | 1.45bc          | 1.33abcd             | 1.10abcd         |  |
| 5                  | 3.33a<br>2.69fg    | 2.92ab<br>2.62defg | 2.80a<br>2.52bcd  | 41.8abc<br>40.8abc | 38.5bcdefg<br>39.9abcdef | 39.5ab<br>38.5abcd | 1.59ab<br>1.49b | 1.35abcd<br>1.33abcd | 1.16ab           |  |
| 6<br>7             | 2.091g<br>2.81cdef | 2.02de1g<br>2.41g  | 2.326cd<br>2.44cd | 40.8abc<br>41.7abc | 40.1abcdef               | 37.3bcde           | 1.490<br>1.60ab | 1.33abcu<br>1.42abc  | 1.18ab<br>1.17ab |  |
| 8                  | 2.57g              | 2.77bcde           | 2.45cd            | 34.5e              | 33.1h                    | 32.9f              | 1.34c           | 1.42abc              | 1.02d            |  |
| 9                  | 3.10b              | 2.91ab             | 2.53bcd           | 41.3abc            | 40.5abcd                 | 38.1abcde          | 1.54b           | 1.38abcd             | 1.11abcd         |  |
| 10                 | 2.98bcd            | 2.62defg           | 2.66abc           | 43.5a              | 40.9abc                  | 37.9abcde          | 1.71a           | 1.45a                | 1.20a            |  |
| 11                 | 2.90bcde           | 2.62defg           | 2.69ab            | 40.5abc            | 38.3cdfg                 | 37.6abcde          | 1.53b           | 1.31cde              | 1.15ab           |  |
| 12                 | 3.03bc             | 2.78bcdef          | 2.64abc           | 39.8bcd            | 38.4cdefg                | 38.1abcde          | 1.51b           | 1.31cde              | 1.4abc           |  |
| 13                 | 3.05bc             | 2.83abcd           | 2.65abc           | 41.7abc            | 37.4fg                   | 38.5abcd           | 1.57ab          | 1.30cde              | 1.16ab           |  |
| 14                 | 2.76defg           | 2.54efg            | 2.54bcd           | 41.4abc            | 37.8defg                 | 36.5bcde           | 1.55ab          | 1.29de               | 1.10abcd         |  |
| 15                 | 2.69fg             | 2.66cdefg          | 2.59abcd          | 41.6abc            | 40.00abcdef              | 38.3abcde          | 1.52b           | 1.37abcd             | 1.10abcd         |  |
| 16                 | 3.01bc             | 2.87abc            | 2.70ab            | 43.2abc            | 42.2a                    | 39.4abc            | 1.61ab          | 1.43ab               | 1.11abcd         |  |
| 17                 | 2.73efg            | 2.67cdefg          | 2.51bcd           | 43.4ab             | 41.4ab                   | 40.95a             | 1.52b           | 1.36abcd             | 1.13abcd         |  |
| 18                 | 2.95bcde           | 3.02a              | 2.78a             | 42.4abc            | 39.5abcdef               | 38.8abcd           | 1.60ab          | 1.34abcd             | 1.16ab           |  |
| 19<br><b>M</b> oon | 2.97bcde           | 2.87abc            | 2.67ab            | 42.9abc            | 38.9bcdefg               | 37.8abcde          | 1.60ab          | 1.34abcd             | 1.11abcd         |  |
| Mean               | 2.9                | 2.73               | 2.61              | 40.8               | 38.9                     | 37.7               | 1.54            | 1.34                 | 1.13             |  |

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> DMRT means followed by similar letters in each column are not significantly different at 5% level of probability. **Table3**. Continued



Table 3. Continued.

| Gen    | TGW               |                  |                             |           |                    | Н               |                     |                    |                   |  |
|--------|-------------------|------------------|-----------------------------|-----------|--------------------|-----------------|---------------------|--------------------|-------------------|--|
|        | N                 | N S <sub>2</sub> |                             | $S_1$     | N                  | $S_2$           |                     | $S_1$              |                   |  |
| 1      | 36.               | 1 de             | 33.1ef                      |           | 28.5ef             | 44.8bc          | 41.0e               |                    | 37.9bc            |  |
| 2      | 39.               | .6a              | 33.9cdef                    |           | 29.6ced            | 43.4c           | 42.5                | cde                | 38.0bc            |  |
| 3      | 38.4              |                  | 35.1abcd                    |           | 30.4abcd           | 44.2bc          | 43.6bcd             |                    | 37.8bc            |  |
| 4      | 39.4              |                  | 35.9ab                      |           | 31.68a             | 44.3bc          |                     |                    | 38.6bc            |  |
|        |                   |                  |                             |           |                    |                 | 43.4bcde            |                    |                   |  |
| 5      | 38.4              |                  | 35.2abcd                    |           | 29.5de             | 45.5bc          | 43.4bcde            |                    | 37.5c             |  |
| 6      | 36.8              | Bcde             | 33.4def                     |           | 30.9abcd           | 48.7a           | 46.8a               |                    | 43.3a             |  |
| 7      | 38.5              | iabc             | 35.3abc                     |           | 30.9abcd           | 46.4b           | 45.23ab             |                    | 40.1b             |  |
| 8      | 39.               | .6a              | 36.10a                      |           | 31.3abc            | 43.5c           | 41.9de              |                    | 38.2bc            |  |
| 9      | 37.6              | abcd             | 34.5abcdef                  |           | 29.2de             | 43.0c           | 42.8bcde            |                    | 38.6bc            |  |
| 10     | 39.2              | 2ab              | 35.3abc                     |           | 31.4ab             | 44.3bc          | 44.8abc             |                    | 39.7bc            |  |
| 11     | 37.9              |                  | 34.2                        | bcdef     | 30.3abcd           | 44.8bc          | 42.6cde             |                    | 40.1b             |  |
| 12     | 38.2              |                  | 34.5abcdef                  |           | 29.9abcde          | 45.9bc          | 42.1de              |                    | 37.8bc            |  |
| 13     | 37.8              |                  |                             |           | 30.1abcde          | 45.7bc          | 42.1de              |                    | 39.0bc            |  |
|        |                   |                  | 35.00abcde                  |           |                    |                 |                     |                    |                   |  |
| 14     | 37.6              |                  | 34.30bcdef                  |           | 29.8bcde<br>28.6ef | 44.5bc          | 43.7bcd             |                    | 39.2bc            |  |
| 15     |                   | 36.7cde          |                             | 34.1bcdef |                    | 44.3bc          | 43.52bcd            |                    | 39.8bc            |  |
| 16     | 37.2              |                  | 33.97bcdef                  |           | 28.4ef             | 44.6bc          | 43.5bcd             |                    | 37.7bc            |  |
| 17     | 35.               |                  |                             | .00f      | 27.4f              | 44.9bc          | 44.3bcd             |                    | 38.9bc            |  |
| 18     | 37.9              |                  | 34.2cdef                    |           | 29.8bcde           | 44.6bc          | 42.3cde             |                    | 37.9bc            |  |
| 19     | 37.2bcd           |                  | 34.1bcdef                   |           | 29.2d              | 45.1bc          | 44.0                |                    | 38.2bc            |  |
| Mean   | 37                | .8               | 34.5                        |           | 29.8               | 44.8            | 42                  | .4                 | 38.8              |  |
| Gen    |                   | SaGR             |                             |           | SGRE               |                 |                     | PAPCG              |                   |  |
|        | N                 | $S_2$            | $S_1$                       | N         | $S_2$              | $S_1$           | N                   | $S_2$              | $S_1$             |  |
| 1      | 0.18bc            | 0.11c            | 0.20c                       | 8.26bc    | 6.2c               | 10.bc           | 12.59bc             | 11.30c             | 22.bc             |  |
| 2      | 0.20abc           | 0.25b            | 0.25bc                      | 9.85b     | 12.2bc             | 11.6bc          | 16.30ab             | 24.9ab             | 28.7bc            |  |
| 3      | 0.22ab            | 0.20bc           | 0.27bc                      | 10.10b    | 9.2bc              | 12.9bc          | 16.06ab             | 14.9bc             | 28.3bc            |  |
| 4      | 0.23ab            | 0.28ab           | 0.29bc                      | 11.11b    | 13.0b              | 13.8bc          | 17.92ab             | 23.1abc            | 27.0c             |  |
| 5      | 0.23ab            | 0.28ab           | 0.23bc                      | 10.9b     | 12.7b              | 9.4c            | 14.92ab             | 21.4abc            | 19.9bc            |  |
| 6      | 0.30a             | 0.38a            | 0.48a                       | 15.91a    | 19.9a              | 23.1a           | 21.00a              | 30.1a              | 42.4a             |  |
| 7<br>8 | 0.17bc<br>0.20abc | 0.22bc<br>0.25b  | 0.33b<br>0.30bc             | 8.08bc    | 11.5bc<br>12.8b    | 15.5b<br>14.8bc | 11.49bc             | 18.4abc<br>21.1cab | 30.9abc<br>32.8ab |  |
| 9      | 0.20abc           | 0.23bc           |                             | 10.34b    |                    | 9.8bc           | 15.74ab<br>14.80abc | 17.9bc             | 21.9bc            |  |
| 10     | 0.186C<br>0.11c   | 0.23bc<br>0.22bc | 0.19c 8.59bc<br>0.20c 5.03c |           | 10.5bc<br>11.1bc   | 9.8bc           | 7.38c               | 17.9bc<br>17.7bc   | 19.9bc            |  |
| 11     | 0.11c             | 0.22bc<br>0.17bc | 0.28bc                      | 9.56bc    | 8.6bc              | 12.9bc          | 13.88abc            | 17.76c<br>14.8bc   | 26.3bc            |  |
| 12     | 0.20abc           | 0.17bc           | 0.20c                       | 9.95b     | 10.3bc             | 9.2c            | 15.17abc            | 18.5abc            | 20.5bc            |  |
| 13     | 0.20abc           | 0.22bc           | 0.20c                       | 9.15bc    | 10.2bc             | 9.5c            | 12.60bc             | 17.3bc             | 18.2c             |  |
| 14     | 0.18bc            | 0.22bc           | 0.24bc                      | 8.38bc    | 11.9bc             | 11.5bc          | 12.53bc             | 20.6abc            | 23.2bc            |  |
| 15     | 0.10bc            | 0.22bc           | 0.25bc                      | 8.93bc    | 10.9bc             | 12.3bc          | 12.35bc             | 17.6bc             | 22.2bc            |  |
| 16     | 0.19bc            | 0.24bc           | 0.22bc                      | 7.83bc    | 10.8bc             | 10.5bc          | 11.00bc             | 17.4bc             | 22.4bc            |  |
| 17     | 0.21abc           | 0.21bc           | 0.26bc                      | 10.15b    | 10.6bc             | 11.5bc          | 15.16abc            | 16.9bc             | 24.4bc            |  |
| 18     | 0.22ab            | 0.25b            | 0.22bc                      | 9.81b     | 11.4bc             | 9.9c            | 15.61ab             | 19.6abc            | 20.0bc            |  |
| 19     | 0.20abc           | 0.21bc           | 0.22bc                      | 9.95b     | 11.1bc             | 10.4bc          | 15.10abc            | 15.1abc            | 22.8bc            |  |
| Mean   | 0.20              | 0.24             | 0.26                        | 9.58      | 11.34              | 12.03           | 14.29               | 19.07              | 24.99             |  |

 $<sup>^</sup>a$  DMRT means followed by similar letters in each column are not significantly different at 5% level of probability.

conditions, respectively (Table 3). The results of reduction in grain yield due to drought stresses compared to normal condition (Gooding *et al.*, 2003; Ozturk and Aydin, 2004) was attributable to a reduction of thousand grain weight (TGW); total plant weight (BWM); number of seeds per spike (GNM), and seeds weight per spike (GWM) in S<sub>2</sub> and S<sub>1</sub> compared to treatment N (Table 3).

According to the results, there were significant (P< 0.05) positive coefficients of correlations between grain yield and the studied traits of remobilization; efficiency, and partitioning of the remobilized stem reserves to the grain in all three conditions  $(N, S_1 \text{ and } S_2)$  (Table not shown). Papakosta and Gagianas (1991) also reported the same results in wheat genotypes i.e. positive correlation between remobilized reserves and grain yield, which has been also reported in barley genotypes (Abouzar et al., 2012; Przulj and Momcilovic, 2003). The remobilized assimilates (SaGR) were 0.20, 0.24, and 0.26 g in normal, late postanthesis, and early post-anthesis drought stress conditions, respectively. Drought stress increased remobilization efficiency (SGRE) from 9.58% in normal conditions to 11.34 and 12.03% in late post-anthesis and early post-anthesis drought stress conditions, respectively, which are in accordance with the results reported by Ehdaie et al. (2006) and Ghodsi (2004). This increment due to the effect of drought stress was the same for partitioning of remobilized assimilates (PAPCG) (14.29, 19.07, and 24.99%) in normal, late post-anthesis, and early postanthesis drought conditions, respectively, which has been reported by Yang et al. (2000) and Yang and Zhang (2006). Based on the abovementioned findings, the highest values measured in the studied characteristics in wheat line no.6 compared with other genotypes resulted in less grain yield reduction in this genotype. Another advantage for the referred wheat line was more reliance on stem reserves during grain filling period, especially at early postanthesis and late post-anthesis drought stress

conditions. Generally, drought stress causes less photosynthesis and more remobilization during grain filling period. Therefore, efficient varieties in remobilization may have less grain loss in drought affected environments and more drought resistance (Niu et al., 1998; Yang et. al., 2000). The variations seen in studied genotypes for the efficiency, rate, contribution of remobilization has not been unexpected due to the different genetic structure of the genotypes expressed in terms of their different dry matter accumulation, distribution, and remobilization (Nagarajan et al., 1999).

Drought stress condition resulted in higher SaGR, SGRE, and PAPCG than normal condition in which  $S_1$  (severe stress) had higher values than  $S_2$  (mild stress). Among genotypes, the highest value of SaGR, SGRE, and PAPCG in N,  $S_1$  and  $S_2$  conditions belonged to genotype 6, so that 42.4, 30.1, and 21% of its grain yield resulted from SaGR, SGRE, and PAPCG, respectively. However, Shahryar variety (no.1) was the lowest yielding and the weakest in all susceptibility and tolerance indices at both  $S_1$  and  $S_2$  conditions (Table 3).

Gupta et al. (2011) reported that mobilized dry matter and mobilization efficiency in wheat were higher in the internodes of tolerant cultivar, both under control and stress conditions, which boosted translocation of stem reserves to the grains. It is generally accepted that stem reserve mobilization or percentage of stem reserves grains is affected by sink size, environment, and cultivar (Blum, 1998). In other words, the high amount of stem reserve in a variety does not necessarily that the variety has remobilization rate in drought environment, therefore, the sink activity and demand for stem reserves are very much important characteristics in drought tolerant varieties. The mentioned parameters are indirectly



observed and related to higher TGW, total plant weight (pre-anthesis biomass), and seeds weight per spike, which totally help to decrease grain yield losses in wheat line no.6 or it may also be due to its capability to synthesize and store higher concentration of soluble carbohydrates in the stems prior to anthesis (Conocono, 2002). While, Zhang *et al.* (2013) believe that water deficit increases WSC accumulation and remobilization, remobilization efficiency, and contribution to grain yield in non-leaf organs.

Latest results suggested by Lopes et al. (2012) showed that grouped adaptive traits for drought stressed environments in one genotype can increase yields, which is in agreement with the experimental results seen in wheat line no. 6. Also, based on the results of susceptibility and tolerance indices at early post-anthesis and late post-anthesis drought treatments, genotype no.6 had the highest yield under  $S_1$  and  $S_2$  conditions with 4,737 and 5,713 Kg ha<sup>-1</sup>, respectively. This genotype had the highest rank for all indices in  $S_1$  and  $S_2$  conditions, such that its mean rank (R) and standard deviation of rank (SDR) for MP, GMP, STI, SSI, and TOL in both stress treatments were 1 and 0, respectively (Results are not shown).

According to the results of drought tolerance and susceptibility indices, wheat line no.6 was the most drought tolerant line, while its remobilization rate, efficiency, and partitioning were the highest among the compared genotypes. It seems the grain yield stability and drought tolerance in line no. 6 can be attributed to its rate, efficiency, partitioning of remobilization. Mehrpouyan et al. (2011) also reported the relationships of wheat grain yield with its remobilization rate, efficiency, partitioning drought in stressed environments.

According to the calculated indices of MP, GMP, STI, SSI, and TOL in the studied genotypes (results not shown), genotype no. 6 was the most drought tolerant line, because the highest rate of efficiency and partitioning of remobilization belonged to this line. The highest grain yield also

belonged to the aforementioned line, in agreement with the report of Sanjari Pireivatlou and Yazdansepas (2008) who found that drought tolerant wheat genotypes with a high yield could be selected by using selection indices of MP, GMP, and STI. Thus, the stability and drought tolerance of line no.6 may be associated to its high rate, partitioning efficiency, and remobilization. We concluded that wheat line no.6 (Alvd//Aldan/Ias58\*2/3/Gaspard) might be the most drought tolerant genotype with higher grain yield under moderate and severe drought stressed conditions and it could be recommended to the farmers for on-farm experiments.

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors thank the people who helped with this study including technical assistance, experimental field husbandry and providing equipment at SPII.

## **REFERENCES**

- Abouzar, M., Shahbazi, M., Torabi, S., Nikkhah, H. R. and Nadafi, S. 2012. Post-Anthesis Changes in Internodes Dry Matter, Stem Mobilization, and Their Relation to the Grain Yield of Barley (Hordeum vulgare L.). Iranian J. Plant Physiol., 2(4): 553-557.
- 2. Blum, A. 1996. Crop Responses to Drought and the Interpretation of Adaptation. *Plant Growth Regul.*, **20:** 135-148.
- 3. Blum, A. 1998. Improving Wheat Grain Filling under Stress by Stem Reserve Mobilization. *Euphytica*, **100:** 77-83.
- Calhoun, D. S., Gebeyehu, G., Miranda, A., Rajaram, S. and Van Ginkel. M. 1994. Choosing Evaluation Environments to Increase Wheat Grain Yield under Drought Conditions. *Crop Sci.*, 34: 673-678.
- Conocono, E. A. 2002. Improving Yield of Wheat Experiencing Post-anthesis Water Deficits through the Use of Shoot Carbohydrate Reserves. PhD. Thesis, University of Western Australia, Australia.
- 6. Dulai, S., Molnar, I., Pronay, J., Csernak, A., Tarnai, R. and Molnár-Láng, M. 2006.



- Effects of Drought on Photosynthetic Parameters and Heat Stability of PSII in Wheat and in Aegilops Species Originating from Dry Habitats. *Acta Biologica Szegediensis*, **50:** 11–17.
- 7. Ehdaei, B. 1993. Selection for Drought Resistance in Wheat. *1<sup>st</sup> Agronomy and Plant Breeding Congress*, University of Tehran. Karadj, Iran. PP.43-62.
- 8. Ehdaie, B. 1998. Genetic Variation for Stem Reserve and Remobilization to Grain in Spring Wheat under Drought Stress Condition. *Proceedings of the 5<sup>th</sup> Iranian Crop Science Congress*, Karaj, PP. 1-25.
- Ehdaie, B., Alloush, G. M., Madore, A. and Waines, J. G. 2006. Genotypic Variation for Stem Reserves and Mobilization in Wheat. I. Post Anthesis Changes in Inter node Dry Matter. Crop Sci., 46: 735-746.
- Fernandez, G. C. J. 1992. Effective Selection Criteria for Assessing Plant Stress Tolerance. Proceedings of the International Symposium "Adaptation of Vegetables and Other Food Crops in Temperature and Water Stress, AVRDC Publication. Tainan, Taiwan, PP. 257-270.
- 11. Fischer, R. A. and Maurer, R. 1978. Drought Resistance in Spring Wheat Cultivars Grain Yield Response. *Aus. J. Agri. Res.*, **29L**: 897-912.
- Ghodsi, M. 2004. The Ecophysiological Effects of the Water Shortage on the Growth of the Wheat Cultivars. PhD Dissertation in Agronomy, University of Tehran, Faculty of Agriculture. Karadj, 250 PP.
- Gooding, M. J., Ellis, R. H., Shewry, P. R. and Schofield, J. D. 2003. Effect of Restricted Water Availability and Increased Temperature on the Grain Filling, Drying and Quality of Winter Wheat. *J. Cereal Sci.*, 37: 295-309.
- 14. Gupta, A. K., Kaur, K. and Kaur, N. 2011. Stem Reserve Mobilization and Sink Activity in Wheat under Drought Conditions. American J. Plant Sci., 2: 70-77.
- Hatim, M. and Majidian, M. 2012. Effect of Terminal Season Water Stress on Yield, Yield Component and Remobilization in Different Cultivar and Lines of Bread Wheat. *Intl. J. Agri. Crop Sci.*, 4(16): 1215-1220.
- Inness, P., Blackwell, R. D., Austin, R. B. and Ford, M. A. 1981. Effects of Selection for Number of Ears on the Yield of Winter

- Wheat Genotypes. *J. Agric. Sci.*, **97:** 523-532
- 17. Jalal Kamali, M. R., Asadi, H. and Najafi Mirak, T. 2009. Irrigated and Dry Land Wheat Research Strategic Program. Agricultural Research, Education and Extension Organization, 345 PP. (In Persian)
- 18. Kardavani, P. 1988. *The Arid Lands*. Tehran University Press, 376p. (In Persian).
- 19. Kobata, T., Plata, J. A. and Turner, N. C. 1992. Rate of Development of Post-anthesis Water Deficits and Grain Filling of Spring Wheat. *Crop Sci.*, **32:** 1238-1242.
- Lopes, M. S., Reynolds, M. P., Jalal-Kamali, M. R., Moussa, M. Feltaous, Y. Tahir, I. S. A., Barma, N., Vargas, M., Mannes, Y. And Baum, M. 2012. The Yield Correlations of Selectable Physiological Traits in a Population of Advanced Spring Wheat Lines Grown in Warm and Drought Environments. Field Crops Res., 128: 129–136.
- 21. Manavalan, L. P., Guttikonda, S. K., Trans, L. S. and Nguyen, H. T. 2009. Physiological and Molecular Approaches to Improve Drought Resistance in Soybean. *Plant Cell Physiol.*, **50**(7): 1260-76.
- Mehrpouyan, M., Zakavati, B. and Ajali, J. 2011. Effect of Terminal Moisture Stress on Metabolites Remobilization in Different Winter and Intermediate Genotypes of Wheat. *Plant Ecophysiol.*, 3: 71-77.
- 23. Mitra, J. 2001 Genetics and Genetic Improvement of Drought Tolerance in Crop Plants. *Crop Sci.*, **80:** 758-762.
- 24. Mohammadi, H. and Karimpour Reihan, M. 2008. The Effect of 1991-2001 Droughts on Ground Water in Neishabour Plain. *Desert*, **12:** 185-197. (In Persian)
- 25. Nagarajan, S., Rane, M., Maheswari, M. and Gambhir, P. N. 1999. Effect of Post-anthesis Water Stress on Accumulation of Dry Matter, Carbon, Nitrogen and Their Partitioning in Wheat Varieties Differing in Drought Tolerance. *Crop Sci.*, **183**: 129-136.
- 26. Niu, J. Y., Gan, Y. T., Zhang, I. W. and Yang, Q. F. 1998. Post-anthesis Dry Matter Accumulation and Redistribution in Spring Wheat Mulched with Plastic Film. *Crop Sci.*, **38:** 1562-1568.
- 27. Nouri, A., Etminan, A. J., Teixeira da Silva, J. A. and Mohammadi, R. 2011. Assessment of Yield, Yield-related Traits and Drought Tolerance of Durum Wheat Genotypes (*Triticum turgidum* var. Durum Desf.). *Aust. J. Crop Sci.*, **5:** 8–16.



- 28. Ozturk, A. and Aydin, F. 2004. Effect of Water Stress at Various Growth Stages on Some Quality Characteristics of Winter Wheat. *J. Agron. Crop Sci.*, **190:** 93-99.
- Papakosta, D. K. and Gagianas, A. A. 1991. Nitrogen and Dry Matter Accumulation, Remobilization, and Losses for Mediterranean Wheat during Grain Filling. Agron J., 83: 864-870.
- Palta, J. A., Kobata, T., Turner, N. C. and Fillery, I. R. 1994. Remobilization of Carbon and Nitrogen in Wheat as Influenced by Post-anthesis Water Deficits. *Crop Sci.*, 34: 118–124.
- 31. Plaut, Z., Butow, B. J., Blumenthal, C. S. and Wrigley, C. W. 2004. Transport of Dry Matter into Developing Wheat Kernels and Its Contribution to Grain Yield under Postanthesis Water Deficit and Evaluated Temperature. *Field Crops Res.*, **86**: 185-198.
- 32. Rang, Z. W., Jagadish, S. V. K., Zhou, Q. M., Craufurd, P. Q. and Heuer, S. 2011. Effect of High Temperature and Water Stress on Pollen Germination and Spikelet Fertility in Rice. *Environ. Exp. Bot.*, 70: 58–65.
- 33. Rossielle, A. A. and Hamblin, J. 1981. Theoretical Aspects of Selection for Yield in Stress and Non-stress Environment. *Crop Sci.*, **21:** 943-946.
- Przulj, N. and Momcilovic, V. 2003. Dry Matter and Nitrogen Accumulation and Use in Spring Barley. *Plant Soil Environ.*, 49: 36-47.

- 35. Sanjari Pireivatlou, A. and Yazdansepas, A. 2008. Evaluation of Wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) Genotypes under Pre and Postanthesis Drought Stress Conditions. *J. Agric. Sci. Tech.*, **10**(2): 109-121.
- 36. Shpiler, L. and Blum, A. 1986. Differential Reaction of Wheat Cultivars to Hot Environments. *Euphytica*, **35:** 483–492.
- 37. Van Ginkel, M., Calhoun, D. S., Gebeyehu, G., Miranda, A., Tian-you, C., Pargas Lara, R., Trethowan, R. M., Sayre, K., Crossa, J. and Rajaram, S. 1998. Plant Traits Related to Yield of Wheat in Early, Late, or Continuous Drought Conditions. *Euphytica*, 100: 109-121.
- Wardlaw, I. F. and Willenbrink, J. 2000. Mobilization of Fructan Reserves and Changes in Enzyme Activities in Wheat Stems Correlate with Water Stress during Kernel Filling. New Phytol., 148: 413–422.
- 39. Yang, J., Zhang, J., Huang, Z., Zhu, Q. and Wang, L. 2000. Remobilization of Carbon Reserves Is Improved by Controlled Soil Drying during Grain filling of Wheat. *Crop Sci.*, **40:** 1645-1655.
- 40. Yang, J. and Zhang, J. 2006. Grain Filling of Cereals under Soil Drying. *New Phytol.*, **169(2):** 223-236.
- 41. Zhang, Y. P., Zhang, Y. H., Xue, Q. W. and Wang, Z. M. 2013. Remobilization of Water Soluble Carbohydrates in Non-leaf Organs and Contribution to Grain Yield in Winter Wheat under Reduced Irrigation. *Intl. J. Plant Prod.*, **7**(1): 97-116.

# معیارهای فیزیولوژیکی گزینش گندم در شرایط تنش خشکی آخر فصل

# ا. ر. کوچکی، ا. یزدان سپاس، ع. محمد یوروف و م. ر. مهرور

#### حكىدە

خشکی محدود کننده ترین عامل تولید محصولات کشاورزی در مناطق خشک و نیمه خشک محسوب می شود. تحقیق حاضر به بررسی واکنش ۱۹ ژنوتیپ گندم نیمه زمستانه و زمستانه به شرایط آبیاری معمول و قطع آب آبیاری یا خشکی در مراحل گردهافشانی و پس از گردهافشانی پرداخته که در سه ایستگاه تحقیقاتی کرج، اراک و جلگه رخ در سال های زراعی ۹۰–۱۳۸۷ به اجرا در آمد. تنش خشکی تعداد دانه در سنبله، وزن هزاردانه، وزن دانه سنبله، شاخص برداشت، وزن زیست توده و



عملکرد دانه را کاهش داد. اثر عوامل محیط، آبیاری و ژنو تیپ بر اغلب صفات از جمله عملکرد دانه معنی دار بود. تنش خشکی باعث افزایش انتقال مجدد، کارایی انتقال مجدد و سهم مواد فتوسنتزی انتقال مجدد، یافته به دانه قبل از گردهافشانی گردید. ضرایب همبستگی بین عملکرد دانه و صفات انتقال مجدد، کارایی انتقال مجدد و سهم مواد فتوسنتزی انتقالیافته به دانه قبل از گردهافشانی در هر سه شرایط آبیاری معمول و قطع آب آبیاری یا خشکی در مراحل گردهافشانی و پس از گردهافشانی مثبت و معنی-دار بودند. بر پایه نتایج بر آمده از شاخص های ارزیابی تحمل و حساسیت به خشکی شامل میانگین بهره-وری، میانگین هندسی بهرهوری، شاخص تحمل به خشکی، شاخص حساسیت به خشکی و تحمل، لاین اصلاح شده شماره ۶ متحمل ترین ژنو تیپ در شرایط تنش خشکی ملایم و شدید شناخته شد. همچنین از میان ژنو تیپهای مورد بررسی در شرایط خشکی، ژنو تیپ شماره ۶ بیشترین مقادیر مربوط به انتقال مجدد، کارایی انتقال مجدد و سهم مواد فتوسنتزی انتقالیافته به دانه قبل از گردهافشانی را به خود اختصاص داد.